Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/21/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
I can't answer this and would need more information on the pros/cons of changing the engagement panel

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
This is the key component for me, to preserve the lands for the public and future generations and prevent development of housing units on the property

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/22/2018

Name (Optional)
Greg

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Self

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Land should be preserved for future use like solar, windmills, etc. Also for Education groups as Cal Poly, etc. Opening it up to the public is a giant mistake. Look at other public use areas. Now police and fire resources are needed time to time at additional cost. Also the public ruined pristine area when places like this is opened to the public.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Once you open this land to public access, there is no going back. It will put unnecessary added stress to resources, fish and game, police, fire service, maintenance, etc.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/23/2018

Name (Optional)
Edward Halpin

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Halpin Leadreship Industries Inc.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Some thoughts to consider-start the vision statement with safety not the thought that it should begin immediately. Make sure you include emergency planning and evacuations in the concept of "safety".

Although there are mentions of it in the submittal, there is no focus of regional economic development in the bullets above nor is it prominent in the vision statement.

There is a comment buried in the vision statement on "successor entity". There should be no discussion of transferring the license or the land to some other entity so that PGE can write it off the books as a liability. The company has a responsibility to over see the dismantling of this great facility.

I agree with Alex Darlin in that there needs to be a more robust committee established over this process. Although I think this committee has done well ,as CNO it's not exactly what I envisioned when this announcement was made.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
The concept is supported. That said, it should not consist of elected officials although some should populate this forum. San Luis Obispo has the most number of retired executives, workers and leaders in the country living in this area that's being affected. Try populating the committee with individuals who truly understand the process and have strategic direction/mindset.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Suggestion-you use a parenthetical of 'National Lab". I would not bias the discussion to a national lab.

General Comments or Questions
I believe the submittal needs to highlight jobs for the workers at Diablo Canyon. That sounds like something easy for me to say from my computer, but as the previous CNO who is now in the thick of things when it comes to regional economic development, it was always my vision to redeploy the employees after enabling them to do decommissiong work. Thats why we negotiated for the 11+ million in the CPUC settlement and established a program with Cuesta College which just received a 150k grant for the NRC. Keeping the families in this area has far reaching economic and social impacts that we are responsible for as leaders in our community.

In regard to safety transportation, everything you have stated lacks a plan. As the CNO who oversaw Humboldt Bay decommisioning which had 50 trucks of potentially contaminated material per week for 5 years moving out of the state, I recognize how important this aspect of the process is. Keep in mind one of those trucks hit another resulting in a death, a hazmat team cleaning up the mess, and a highway that was shutdown for 2 days. Having that happen locally would significantly impact this community which is based on the wine industry, PGE, Mind Body, The prison and local tourism. Specifics of how this will be accomplished is essential for local community leaders.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
11/23/2018

Name (Optional)
Scott Barnes

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
I wish to comment I have lived here in San Luis Obispo, CA for over 18 years, the closing of this wonderful power plant is just wrong and will have decades of impact on our schools, taxes, employment etc.

It should NOT be closed but renewed, if in fact it is going to close I will sell my home of 18 years prior to its closing as it will and is going to gratefully affect our home prices and our local infrastruce as well as the entire county will suffer.

I think the closing is a VERY bad decision and we all will end up suffering

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/26/2018

Name (Optional)
Jasmine

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/26/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
The reason for a medical treatment facility should be further established. What is the basis for needing the facility? PG&E should not be the only entity producing the necessary information on building re-purposing. Rather, PG&E in conjunction with possible future tenants or owners of these facilities should develop a collaborative outline on how the facilities may be re-purposed. Early engagement with these possible tenants/owners (for example, national research labs, DOE, Cal Poly State University) should be a part of the vision. Perhaps they can be an extended "industry" arm from the DCDEP?

General Comments or Questions

Good draft. I appreciate the thorough insight and discussions leading to the recommendations.

I would like to see a section on community mitigation in regards to the existing employees at the facility and recommendations on employee retraining and other possible job loss mitigation. DCPP employs over 1400 employees (plus contractors) and even more on a temporary basis during outages. This is quite a large impact on the community and how people will transition through the workforce due to DCPP closure.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/26/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I agree with the ALTERNATIVE VISION: CPUC SHOULD CHARTER A MORE ROBUST & SUSTAINABLE DECOMMISSIONING
ADVISORY PANEL (Vision of Alex Karlin – November 14, 2018)

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/26/2018

Name (Optional)
Sherri Danoff

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Avila Valley Advisory Council; Concerned Citizens for Avila; DCDEP

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
Under Safety in the first section, change 3 bullets to

- Recommend to the CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) that PG&E be directed to thoroughly investigate and evaluate potential for both ship and truck transport of dismantled facilities from the site and communicate findings to the DCDEP and CPUC
- Recommend to the CPUC that PG&E be directed to avoid transporting any demolished facilities and materials through Avila Beach and surrounding communities during times of peak traffic
- Recommend to the CPUC that PG&E be directed to avoid transporting radioactive spent fuel through Avila Beach and surrounding communities during times of peak traffic

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/26/2018

Name (Optional)
F & M Powers-Hood

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Parents & Grandparents

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
We would like to have seen it close in 2019, ideally.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Really not enough knowledge to make a truly informed decision on this topic.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Common sense.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
We should honor, care and cherish for the land which we have used in this manner of Diablo, and which now should be returned to its natural beauty and for nourishment of the (our) environment.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

Cautious support offered here. May be best to allow the land to reclaim those areas and return to the earth.

General Comments or Questions

Such a beautiful place should not be under the shadow of a nuclear power plant. The sooner it closes down, the better for all concerned.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/26/2018

Name (Optional)
Sherri Danoff

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Avila Valley Advisory Council; Concerned Citizens for Avila; DCCEP

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

- Recommend to the CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) that PG&E be directed to thoroughly investigate and evaluate potential for both ship and truck transport of dismantled facilities from the site and communicate findings to the DCDEP and CPUC
- Recommend to the CPUC that PG&E be directed to avoid transporting any demolished facilities and materials through Avila and surrounding communities during times of peak traffic
- Recommend to the CPUC that PG&E be directed to avoid transporting radioactive spent fuel through Avila and surrounding communities during times of peak traffic

STRENGTHEN BULLET RECOMMENDATIONS AS SUGGESTED ABOVE

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

Strengthen existing bullet Recommendation to read:

- Recommend that the CPUC direct PG&E to ensure that a management/access plan for the Diablo Canyon Lands is developed when transferring land that at a minimum includes, a multiuse non-motorized trail system, restricted access in the sensitive intertidal zone, low intensity rotational grazing, habitat restoration, protection of cultural sites and minimizing traffic through Avila and surrounding communities during times of peak traffic

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
11/26/2018

Name (Optional)
Sandra Heller

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
I like the recommendations, especially those regarding the land. I would support having the Land Conservancy and State Parks having control, but not the Federal government at this time.
I support the ALTERNATIVE VISION: CPUC SHOULD CHARTER A MORE ROBUST & SUSTAINABLE DECOMMISSIONING ADVISORY PANEL
This makes sense to me.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/28/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
There are enough Govt enities involved through the CPUC and the various state organizations. Creating new govt positions is not warrented unless the utility is not following the codes and staandards provided by these other organizations. The demo is not a political activity, it has codes nd standards that must be met. Placing govt further in this process will only invite political interference causing higher cost and a longer duration. Let the utility and construction experts do their jobs. They know the codes and standards, and what is required to meet them. Once this process starts, having elected officials involved directly will only increase the possibility of some major change in direction based on mere politics. That is not good and will only increase the cost to the ratepayers and the public. The way PG&E has setup the committee is better as long as there is adequate transparency between the utility and the public.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
This is a tough one. I am not sure this is a really great idea as is a certain level of liability involved. I would hope that any use of the property would include a limiting of the liability or complete elimination of all use liability of PG&E. Management is good, but allowing use can result in unacceptable liability.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
This is a tough one. I am not sure this is a really great idea as is a certain level of liability involved. I would hope that any use of the property or facilities would include a limiting of the liability or complete elimination of all liability of PG&E. This includes the roads, buildings, facilities, utilities, and land formations.

General Comments or Questions
over all well thought out and it can be implemented

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/28/2018

Name (Optional)
Kathy Redden

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Atascadero Horsemen's Club and Trail Alliance of SLO Co.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Protection of native and natural resources, ensuring public access, creation of the inland and coastal trails with segmented loops, minimal camping for hikers and equestrians, access to neighboring open spaces, and purchase or sale of Wild Cherry Canyon to leave as open space are in line with my goals for our community. Land should be either brought into Montana de Oro State Park or managed by a local land Conservancy along with Native Americans.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
11/28/2018

Name (Optional)
Bob Linsheid

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Cal Poly SLO

Comment
The report looks very comprehensive and having read the report along with several faculty serving in an advisory capacity we don't find many surprises..We appreciate that the content includes recommendations leaning toward land conservation of Wild Cherry Canyon and the other lands around the power plant. This seems to be in alignment with the wishes of the community including Cal Poly. It would be great if the Engagement Panel would recommend faculty with expertise and potentially Cal Poly staff to sit on the Decommissioning Advisory Panel as part of your recommendation to the PUC. During our meeting at the Hot House I believe your colleagues saw value in what Cal Poly could bring to the process so feel free make a request in that regard.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
11/28/2018

Name (Optional)
Cynthia Massey

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
I would like to express request that Diablo Canyon land use include riding trails for horses and hiking trails.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Karen Larson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Coast Mounted Assistance member

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Please include equestrian access to trails that pass across property and that would connect near Port San Luis from Montana De Oro State Park. This should include parking and staging areas.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Gary Beckerman

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Aaron Smathers

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Keep Diablo open, it provides many good paying jobs!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Dont belive Al Gore

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
I oppose shutting down Diablo canyon because I don't feel we have a sustainable replacement for it's power production capability, particularly given the duck curve.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
Nuclear power is not a good option due to potential risks involved with nuclear technology. I do not know the specifics of the plan to decommission but I am highly in favor of decommissioning nuclear power plants and going in a less risky direction with our power sources. Thank you

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Gary Kirkland

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Libertarian Party

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Your job should be to keep the Diablo Canyon Power Plant open.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Extensive effort should be made to retuacted by rn the natural environmnet of th eland and ocean impthe Diablo Canyon plant.

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Julia Todd

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
The preservation of sacred Native American sites and recognition of ownership by the local Native American community should be the main priorities.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Rosemarie Bem

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Aaron Smathers

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Keep Diablo open, it provides many good paying jobs!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Dont belive Al Gore

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
James Wagner

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
PG&E Shareholders should bear the cost in perpetuity rahter than PG&E energy customers

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
PG&E Shareholders should bear the cost in perpetuity rather than PG&E energy customers

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
PG&E Shareholders should bear the cost in perpetuity rather than PG&E energy customers

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Long overdue decommissioning and decontamination.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Annette Vera DeHate

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Decommissioning should include job relocation for those not taking a part in the decommissioning and a big part of the conversation should be alternative forms of sustainable energy to replace it. That should start NOW and the employees can be trained in the mean time to transfer to them.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
All community members, especially native Americans should be included in the process.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Since the electricity produced by Diablo all went to the valley, they should bear the cost, not local residents who did not benefit from the electricity produced here.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

What will be done about aquatic life that has flourished where the warm waters from the plant entered the ocean?

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Long overdue decommissioning and decontamination.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Diablo Canyon makes a low carbon contribution to our county energy needs. To be blunt - if something goes wrong it will be terrible for us but likely not harmful to the Earth as a whole. So my wish is shutdown and decommisioning be delayed as long as reasonable safety allows.

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
John Adams

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none.... Just aware :)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Why haven't we looked into turning the thing into a desalinization plant? We should strongly look into this as a resource for water. This would serve the central coast in the best possible way and eliminate the need for more reservoirs or for drastic cutbacks at times of drought.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Carol Courcy

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Avila Beach resident

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
Under Section A, strengthen existing bullets to read:
· Recommend to the CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) that PG&E be directed to thoroughly investigate and evaluate potential for both ship and truck transport of dismantled facilities from the site and communicate findings to the DCDEP and CPUC
· Recommend to the CPUC that PG&E be directed to avoid transporting any demolished facilities and materials through Avila and surrounding communities during times of peak traffic
· Recommend to the CPUC that PG&E be directed to avoid transporting radioactive spent fuel through Avila and surrounding communities during times of peak traffic

Under Section B: good as is:
· Recommend that the CPUC ascertain if PG&E has adequately researched and considered costs and community impacts of both land and sea transport of facility components from the site

Under Section C, strengthen existing bullet to read:
· Recommend that the CPUC direct PG&E to ensure that a management/access plan for the Diablo Canyon Lands is developed when transferring land that at a minimum includes, a multiuse non-motorized trail system, restricted access in the sensitive intertidal zone, low intensity rotational grazing, habitat restoration, protection of cultural sites and minimizing traffic through Avila and surrounding communities times of peak traffic

Under Section D, augment existing bullet to read:
· Recommend that the CPUC require PG&E or a successor interest to incorporate into leases the requirement that uses not generate traffic through Avila and other surrounding communities during times of peak traffic

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
James

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Non

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
should be maintained in service

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments
the plant should continue operation

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Clayton

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
We should be building up clean nuclear power, not shutting it down.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Nuclear power is the power of the future. It is disgraceful that politicians in California are putting radical, anti-energy groups in charge of our energy policy. We cannot function without nuclear!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
John Cloonan

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Home

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
No more atomic.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Should be minimal costs to citizens.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
Plan should not delay removal of unsafe material.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Keep the plant running we need the carbon free power particularly in light of automotive electrification.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Keep the plant operating solar and wind are to unreliable to depend on for California's future

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Nuclear power should never have been initiated in the first place, since there was never found a solution to its waste. Once it was initiated, we, as a community and state, have become committed to maintenance for safety until the end of time, now and forever after all is decommissioned. The CPUC should not just contemplate successors to the DCDEP, but should keep that entity forever active, with technical support always allowed, or an equivalent.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Safety of community and environment are utmost the focus, and forever after decommissioning. Any committee should be required to have frequent inspection of site, and analysis.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Closing Diabo

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Closing plant seriously hurts low and middle income people. Energy prices are much to high already without closing this source of power.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
William Tickell

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Life long Avila resident (AVAC comission member)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Eliminate any possibility of for current or future profit land development with in Diablo land area.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Leslie W Halls

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
retired

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Sam Scarbrough

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
SAMARY, LLC

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Need to move more toward solar, wind, and tidal sources-encourage lower usage lights like LED.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Sid Abma

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Sidel Global Environmental LLC

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Nuclear power is clean power like renewable solar and wind. We need to keep it operating. It is 100% reliable. Solar and wind are not.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
When CA's wind towers start failing and the solar farms are not producing as much, then what? Brown Outs? Is that what we have to live with?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

We might need to build a natural gas power plant to provide us with electricity. The structure is there.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Peter Bresler

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
Now that it is there, decommissioning Diablo Canyon is a huge waste. It was an enormous task to get it built, and we need the energy. I understand it supplies 10% of electricity for California. This is part of the equation to reduce greenhouse gases. We need a solution to onsite nuclear waste. Yucca Mountain should move forward.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Lorraine Bourgeois

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
As long we do something with the nuclear waste safely and maybe we could open up it to homeless.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
John Blaubach

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
We should NOT be decommissioning this reliable, carbon free energy power plant.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
We should NOT be decommissioning this reliable, carbon free energy power plant.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Janet Watson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Kevin Rose

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Electrical Engineer in Santa Barbara

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
What energy generation will take the place of Diablo Canyon? One thing I like about nuclear power is that it is a clean way to generate electricity although issues with waste do concern me. If this site is decommissioned, how could we restore the land to public use? I think it is important to make the land safe to occupy again.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Public safety and the restoration of land to public use are what I consider to be the highest priorities.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
All contaminated items should be removed from this site.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
This could also provide some great educational opportunities to students of all levels from learning about nuclear power to learning about chemistry and toxicity.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Strongly Support

Comments
Remove waste products sooner rather than later. How could waste be recycled and reused?

General Comments or Questions
Restore the land so that no living thing is in danger crossing it.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Pam Thomas

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Molly Haidy Schmitt

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
It would be absolutely impossible to have any faith in the corrupt politicians of this state. Certainly non in PG&E.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
It would be absolutely impossible to have any faith in the corrupt politicians of this state. Certainly non in PG&E.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
It would be absolutely impossible to have any faith in the corrupt politicians of this state. Certainly non in PG&E.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
That is hysterical. As PG&E and the Government stole the land from the owners. And that was not the Indians.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
jim gall

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
I believe they should not decommission the plant. Keep it operating

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Keep it operating

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Dori Mathieu

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
The health, safety and well-being of the local community should be ensured for as long as it takes to make it safe.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
No development should be allowed in Cherry Canyon or adjacent lands for some time. Soil contamination should be taken care of and infrastructure on roads and bridges need updates before even considering development!!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

I have toured the facility twice with college groups and the buried nuclear waste is a concern. I do not want to see development at all on the lands owned by PG&E. Preservation of Native American grounds and land should be utmost.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Drew Hart

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
from Santa Barbara

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
The French are in the process of phasing out their nuclear plants -- you should collaborate with them, and figure out highest and best approach. Please --

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
ROBERT GARING

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Decommissioning will cost the ratepayers in the form of higher electricity costs and the environment from more pollution from fossil derived power.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Decommissioning will cost the ratepayers in the form of higher electricity costs and the environment from more pollution from fossil derived power.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Decommissioning will cost the ratepayers in the form of higher electricity costs and the environment from more pollution from fossil derived power.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Decommissioning will cost the ratepayers in the form of higher electricity costs and the environment from more pollution from fossil derived power.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

Decommissioning will cost the ratepayers in the form of higher electricity costs and the environment from more pollution from fossil derived power.

General Comments or Questions

Decommissioning will cost the ratepayers in the form of higher electricity costs and the environment from more pollution from fossil derived power.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Kelly

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Bob Blatner

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
It should never have been ordered to shut down. No reason to all the scare tactics.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Mark Maki

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
The lose of nuclear power with a plant that ran safely for all those years, that will have to be replaced by fossil fuel is not acceptable.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Foolish move to do a land grab at taxpayers' expense. Also, a photo op for you, Mr. Armpit.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Foolish move to do a land grab at taxpayers' expense. Also, a photo op for you, Mr. Armpit.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
sheila blake

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
pismo beach city council

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
the vision statement is mostly boilerplate ....it depends on how that vision is activated and then protected

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
once again it depends on what is exactly decided and how whatever committee is in place decides. I have found that the more committees created the less gets done. It's almost like the lawyers get all the money and nothing is done.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
Excuse me, but I don't think PG and E has any intention of saving the ratepayers money. The latest regulation that guarantees the company's viability by absolving them of any liability in wildfires is the latest insult.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
No doubt PG and E will NOT relinquish their acreage without some very clever maneuvers.....For instance, some of the acreage is owned by another entity, but STILL Pg and E affiliated.Watch for some of those lands to increase quietly in sales from official PG and E to the separate company

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
If you want to spport conservation of the marine resources, stop the intake of the seawater that destroys the microplankton and don't schedule any more sonar searches of the seafloor.

General Comments or Questions
Since it is Christmastime, I will believe in Santa Claus. I"m hoping against all hope that everyone will see the golden, once in a lifetime opportunity to LEAVE THE LAND THE HELL ALONE. Sign it all over to the state or a nonprofit like the Nature Conservancy to preserve this mostly pristine land with 14 miles of Coastline for all time. Go ahead and use the buildings but RESIST the urge to
let development be done. It will never end.Developers will tell you the usual song and dance.....there will be jobs, there will be needed housing, the designs will be ecologically positive, we will only build on a small parcel and leave the rest,blah blah blah. I'm begging you-anyone who has influence of a decision.Keep this land for posterity

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
John Cole

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
CPhA

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
I'm for retaining the Diablo Nuclear Power Plant. It provides a non-replaceable source of electrical energy. It provides plenty of well paying jobs and a great source for taxes to the cities and the county of San Luis Obispo which help support the school districts, fire departments, and police departments. Once the plant closes there will be a very big slow down of the housing market and the commercial businesses in this county, and property values will rapidly decrease. There will be a large drop off of sales taxes and income taxes to the cities, county, and State of California.
Why did all of you Liberals demand the shut down of the Diablo Power Plant, and "THEN COMPLAIN LIKE HELL, AND CRY ALOT TO NOT CLOSE THE PLANT" after you succeeded in having PG & E agree to close the plant! You liberals should think and look past your nose before you try to accomplish something that is going to create a hardship for everyone, including yourselves!
"THINK BEFORE YOU OPEN YOU STUPID MOUTHS!"

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I'm for retaining the Diablo Nuclear Power Plant and keeping an inexpensive source of electricity available for the surrounding neighborhoods. I'm also against opening the surrounding power plant's territory to hikers, campers, and home builders. A buffer area around Diablo is always necessary, even if the plant was closed.
Next time listen to people who have some common sense and not people who are hysterical about things they do not understand.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Foolish move to do a land grab at taxpayers' expense. Also, a photo op for you, Mr. Armpit.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Nancy Foley

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Stella James

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Self

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Decontamination is the most important. Opening the property to the public would be preferable. I would imagine that a desalination plant has been considered. I was very involved in the blockade in Sept. of '81. Very grateful that mistakes were corrected before the plant started up and that everything

has been handled with care since the start-up. So happy that the local Indian community will be reclaiming their sites and involved with future caregiving of this beautiful land.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Foolish move to do a land grab at taxpayers' expense. Also, a photo op for you, Mr. Armpit.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
SAFSTOR is a great option. We may still need Diablo Canyon. The electricity models are highly uncertain.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments
Re-purposing facilities will simply allow PG&E to avoid demo work. Most of the building are horribly worn out.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
PG&E OWNS this land. They can do with it what they want. It's both arrogant and illegal to assume that you or anyone else can decide what to do with it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

Make PG&E return it to the natural state before the plant was there. Otherwise, who will? Just like the "Cal Poly Pier" which is falling apart now, who will eventually dismantle and clean it up.

General Comments or Questions

Use public surveys like this more often! Share the results! Great idea.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Kelly Rose

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Without the ability to generate electricity from clean sources, California will never meet its clean energy sources. While Nuclear power isn't ideal, it is environmentally a lot better than natural gas and coal.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
See comment to first question

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
See response to first question. Additionally, based on PG&E's track record for self regulation and safety, nothing should be left to PG&E's control.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
See answer to question #1

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
See answer to question #1

General Comments or Questions
I am firmly opposed to California exiting the nuclear power field.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
We better get busy & show californians how to generate most or some of their own energy. It's possible in ways such as in solar, windmills, generators, etc.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Cynthia Kennedy

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Private Citizen

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Thank you for decommissioning the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant. Please develop clean, green, renewable energy sources. Thank you!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Don Maruska

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Don Maruska & Company, Inc. and County resident for 25 years

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
See support for Alternative Vision for the DCDEP itself.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
The DCDEP has done a heroic job thus far in providing the opportunity for community voices in PG&E's decommissioning planning. I agree with the Alternative Vision, which recognizes that this will be a long process requiring institutional legitimacy, technical expertise, and staying power.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
It's not clear that repurposing should occur wherever "feasible." There are many considerations that need to be involved in planning reuse. These include County zoning, carrying capacity of infrastructure, other planning parameters, and optimization of the overall set of assets. A more holistic approach to planning would be helpful.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
At some point, the conservation of Diablo Canyon Lands interacts with desirable reuses of existing facilities. For example, use of access routes for the two purposes, etc. There will need to be a balance and search for complementary uses.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
I encourage revisions to the recommendations on pages 13 and 14 as follows: (a) Recommend that PG&E, County planning, and other entities undertake a coordinated scoping effort to determine the permissible uses, carrying capacity of existing infrastructure, and potential improvements that would support reuse.
(b) Recommend that PG&E, County planning, and other entities develop a holistic planning perspective for reuse of the existing facilities and what uses would optimize the economic, social, and overall community value, i.e. how the whole can be greater than the sum of the parts.
(c) [Almost any use has the potential to generate traffic. Thus stating that "uses not generate traffic," as an absolute is too constricting. The intent is not to burden surrounding communities with traffic impacts. Balance will be important as it is any large-scale planning effort. Thus, change language in second to last bullet on Recommendations for Existing Facilities.]

General Comments or Questions
Thank you DCDEP for your dedicated efforts and service to our community.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Kendal

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Input is a must from all stated sources in last paragraph, not just a possibility

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Barbara Phillips

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Allen Pritzlaff

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
This shutdown should not take place at all. It is a testament to the failure of political will to establish a central repository for nuclear waste, whether in Nevada (a logical place) or somewhere else. It is also a failure to realize that creating alternatives to hydrocarbons is a national security issue and also an ecological necessity, and nuclear power is a part of that.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Since power lines are already in place, the site should be repurposed for other energy-producing activities, such as wind, tidal or other sources.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments
See above. The spent nuclear fuel needs to be reprocessed and sent to a central facility, like in Nevada. The political logjam that prevents this from happening is the fault of both parties, but particularly falls on the head of Harry Reid and those who enabled him.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments
There is nothing in the draft vision statement about the potential of using the site for alternative energy.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
scott palmer

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Dr.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
convert the property to solar and wind

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Bill Majors

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Valerie Bentz

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
I just wonder if this is some kind of trick to keep Diablo going!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
It's so stupid to decommission with out a viable plan in place that will provide power.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
I read that twice...and I have a really big brain....know a lot..alot of words...but I don't understand this.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
as long as they don't start selling off the land.... see below

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
John Thermos

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Huge dichotomy here. We desperately need the energy, on the other hand I understand that this power plant is located on an earthquake fault. Hopefully there are already excellent alternatives ready to go online. I don't see wind or solar making any significant impact at this time. Eventually clean energy sources will become available. Hopefully in my lifetime! For now drill, drill, drill !!!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Mary Lou Johnson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Whatever is done through the process, it is imperative that the desal plant be maintained as a source of water to insure water security for the central coast.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
There is a critical need for recreational campground facilities in California. Opening up coastal access on the property for tent camping and RV use would meet this need for additional recreational uses with the least I amount of infrastructure.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
Open the area to camping and RV use.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

Removal of contaminated storage items is unlikely give the Obama Administration shut down the Nevada stoarafe site which the public paid for. Good luck on that one. We are stuck for eternity with the waste on site unless by some miracle congress gets busy and reactivates the Nevada site.

General Comments or Questions

I think the area merits a state of the art destination nature reserve, lodging and camping facilities.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Moore David J.

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Nick Beadman

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Paul

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
retired, former Navy & Federal employee

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
It's a shame we ignore the past history of nuke fuels for public utilities. So many expects in a myriad of scientific fields opposed Diablo Canyon facility, none were heeded. Thus, much public funding did go to the risky proposition of a nuke reactor to create steam. Currently the discussions are how to safely decom' the facility. Again, much public funding will be required. The spending of such money is my lone concern, especially when nuke processes to create steam and from that steam, electricity generation. It is the wisdom of the commissions who allowed the nuke reactor's building and subsequent operation that I call into question. What was the rush then despite evidence to contradict such perilous use of nuke fuels. Much technology and social considerations loom in the near future. Employment of those scientific and engineering ventures need to be considered much more carefully, especially when such project could imperil the public safety. Funding and profit should be THE LAST object to consider prior to any venture.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

Native Americans have been neglected too long. It is beyond proper times they, as an ethnic group, be involve more in the use of heritage lands.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Please look to the safe and effective completion of this project. Do pay particular attention to fiscal responsibility (a factor which is dismally attended where Federal oversight is prevalent).

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Mary Furner

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
We need to do this for public safety and climate change recovery.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None-local resident

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
In my opinion, entities and activists wanted the power plant closed. It will be closed. Now they are demanding financial support due to the extensive loss of well paid direct and non-direct jobs that will be lost. It matters not what the citizens ask, elected official and activist judges in California will simply overrule it. It will not take long to notice how quickly public infrastructure, schools, housing will change/decay in San Luis Obispo once the cash flow slows down and career professionals depart the area and in their place so called renewable energy programs are born!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Alan J Martin

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Nuclear Energy is an essential component of a non fossil fuel strategy

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Nuclear Energy is an essential component of a non fossil fuel strategy

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Nuclear Energy is an essential component of a non fossil fuel strategy

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Nuclear Energy is an essential component of a non fossil fuel strategy

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Nuclear Energy is an essential component of a non fossil fuel strategy

General Comments or Questions

Nuclear Energy is an essential component of a non fossil fuel strategy

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
DANIEL OGRADY

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Keep the plant running until the embodied energy existing in the plant is less than the energy necessary to keep it running.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
See above.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
"establishment of a successor entity... to include..." YES

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments
PG&E is a nearly $14B corporation and should be responsible for a portion of the decommissioning costs.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Richard A Newbre

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Lompoc High School

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Are there renewable energy possibilities to replace the lost nuclear energy?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
PG&E should pay...NOT ratepayers. This is THEIR plant, THEIR business. No other business could charge customers to close their doors. PG&E has lots of money right now...THEY should pay for the decommissioning.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
PG&E should pay ALL the costs of decommissioning. Ratepayers should not pay for any of this. Cost of decommissioning should have been built into PG&E's original business plan.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
This country does nothing until big money is evolved. Nuclear power has never been a viable power option. But then neither are fossil fuels. But they have the big bucks. How about a truly non-polluting energy source? And not in 10 years, but by next year. You know it could be done---if those in charge wanted to do the right thing, rather than the profitable one.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Where are the spent fuel rods going???

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Why should we pay as customers in San Luis Obispo, when we did not even receive any of the energy? We have, and still are living next to a huge potential threat to our health, safety and environment???

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
DCPP should be kept open to fight climate change.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
DCPP should be kept open to fight climate change.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
DCPP should be kept open to fight climate change.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
DCPP should be kept open to fight climate change. Also, the land belongs to PG&E. The public has no more claim to it than they do to your living room.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
DCPP should be kept open to fight climate change.

General Comments or Questions

DCPP should be kept open to fight climate change. The new federal report shows this is an emergency. Solar and wind cannot solve the problem without devastating results to the land and create massive amounts of toxic waste. Nuclear power is the solution.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Guy Crabb

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Prefix*

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Timothy D Townley

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Comet Realty

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
You should do everything in your power to keep that plant running.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
You should do everything in your power to keep that plant running.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
You should do everything in your power to keep that plant running.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
You should do everything in your power to keep that plant running.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
You should do everything in your power to keep that plant running.

General Comments or Questions

You should do everything in your power to keep that plant running.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Dennis Koski

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I hope that the land might, in part, be used for renewable energy development such as solar and wind power..

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Richard Page

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
I don't feel I have enough info to comment.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Lynda Courtney

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Resident of Santa Barbara, Science background

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
It appears that the proper research and follow-up requirements for such a monumental project are being followed. I appreciate your keen and watchful interest in seeing that this is done properly.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

It is too bad that we cannot count on this energy source. However, I will personally feel safer after the plant is decommissioned.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Savage Bates

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
Utilize the desalinization plant to provide clean water to Los Osos and other parts of the county that don't have a ongoing supply of water.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments
Utilize the desalinization plant to provide clean water to Los Osos and other parts of the county that don't have a ongoing supply of water.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Rob Speaker

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
I oppose the decommissioning the DCPP in the first place. As I understand it, its operations are very safe to employees and the environment. It provided good paying jobs in the community; thus, it has a positive, beneficial impact on the area. But since the decision to decommission has been made, I think your decommissioning vision statements are very well thought out and thorough; however, I do not agree with "the establishment" of a "successor entity" or "augmenting the technical capabilities..." statement. In my opinion, it is just another level of regulation, which is added cost; just use the present regulatory provisions!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
I oppose additional regulatory entities; the current DCDEP and the DCISC are sufficient.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Again, I oppose the decommissioning of the Plant, but since the decision has been made, the vision statements regarding funding seem comprehensive.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
The statements seem to incorporate all interested parties.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
The repurposing statements seem to exclude potentially new types of industry, i.e., desalinization plant, which could be an option since California is in dire need of additional potable water resources. It would provide well paying jobs and thus boost the local economy. The loss of the DCPP will have a negative effect on our economy. Other "Clean" industry, besides wind, solar, etc., should be included.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Darrell Puckett

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Should not be shut down. It could provide clean power for several years. The left wing wackos that want it shut down should be decommissioned.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
R. Michael Gros

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Given the existing energy transmission infrastructure that is in place, I think it critical that the sight be utilized for solar or wave energy AND also used as a desalination facility. Water shortage is already a factor on the central coast and the Diablo facility is already primed to support non-nuclear energy and water development.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Adolph Schulbach

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
1938

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
I recommend Safestor as a more affordable solution.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Some aspects of this statement are not as clearly stated as they should be!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Some wording is vague and general, needs clarification.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
More specific details need to be included in all of your proposals.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
James Davis

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments
I was a former researcher at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and worked on radiological contamination at former uranium mill sites and served on expert committees for the USNRC, DOE, and the NEA (headquartered in Paris). The "complete removal of all radiological contamination" is impossible and begs the question about what is contamination versus natural radioactivity. The statement should read "removal of radiological contamination to below background levels". This accounts for the fact that there is natural background radiological elements in natural soils and building materials.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Dawn

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
Make solar,wind and wave power and use the existing grid.

General Comments or Questions
Make solar, wind and wave power from the facilities to pay for decommission and safety cost

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Probably a good idea

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Todd Beights

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
I didn't read anything relative to spent-fuel management/removal processes and costs.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Bud Stuart

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Board member of El Escorial!!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
It is the Right thing to do!!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
It is the Right path to follow!!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
It looks like the right path to follow!!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
It looks like the best path to follow!!

General Comments or Questions

I hope Good sense plays a large part in this!!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Are there plans to develope hiking, biking, and horse trails with parking at each trail head?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
John Richards

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
- None -

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
The Diablo Canyon plant could be converted to a saltwater desal plant. It would cost a lot of money, and it would require new water main infrastructure. It would be able to provide water to both San Luis Obispo County and Santa Barbara County. It would also provide an ongoing economic base for employment and hopefully extra Water Resources during times of drought. There are many levels of political regulation and approval that would need to be maneuvered. The Santa Barbara County grand jury considered writing a report about this at one point but since we couldn't get any feedback from San Luis Obispo County officials due to the separations inherent in Grand Jury operations, it didn't go anywhere.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
The Diablo Canyon plant could be converted to a saltwater desal plant. It would cost a lot of money, and it would require new water main infrastructure. It would be able to provide water to both San Luis Obispo County and Santa Barbara County. It would also provide an ongoing economic base for employment and hopefully extra Water Resources during times of drought. There are many levels of political regulation and approval that would need to be maneuvered. The Santa Barbara County grand jury considered writing a report about this at one point but since we couldn't get any feedback from San Luis Obispo County officials due to the separations inherent in Grand Jury operations, it didn't go anywhere.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

The Diablo Canyon plant could be converted to a saltwater desal plant. It would cost a lot of money, and it would require new water main infrastructure. It would be able to provide water to both San Luis Obispo County and Santa Barbara County. It would also provide an ongoing economic base for employment and hopefully extra Water Resources during times of drought. There are many levels of political regulation and approval that would need to be maneuvered. The Santa Barbara County grand jury considered writing a report about this at one point but since we couldn't get any feedback from San Luis Obispo County officials due to the separations inherent in Grand Jury operations, it didn't go anywhere.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments
The Diablo Canyon plant could be converted to a saltwater desal plant. It would cost a lot of money, and it would require new water main infrastructure. It would be able to provide water to both San Luis Obispo County and Santa Barbara County. It would also provide an ongoing economic base for employment and hopefully extra Water Resources during times of drought. There are many levels of political regulation and approval that would need to be maneuvered. The Santa Barbara County grand jury considered writing a report about this at one point but since we couldn't get any feedback from San Luis Obispo County officials due to the separations inherent in Grand Jury operations, it didn't go anywhere.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Robert and Carol Scott

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I'm glad that Diablo plant is closing I was never in favor of it opening to begin with and we should make sure they clean the area up completely. At mostly there cost not the tax payers.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Don't close it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Don't close it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Don't close it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Don't close it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Don't close it

General Comments or Questions

Don't close it.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
Get the politicians and money grabbing special interests out!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
This sounds like a "free for all" to sap decommissiong funds. Putting the technical people at the end of the list is wrong. The technical people should be the total staff. We do not need a bunch of emotional money grabbing politicians and special interests messing up the proper and efficient decommissiong process.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
PG&E should decide the land sale. It should not go to the government and become a taxpayers sink hole. Government land grabbers are why you want all the special interests and politicians involved. YOU HAVE SHOWN YOUR HAND SALUD!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

I remember the fight the leftist environmentalists put up to stop the building of this plant in the seventies. Just like platform Holly you want it to land in the public hands without knowing the long term costs. Nuclear decontamination is not something you want government beurocrats managing. Some government oversight is needed.

For example Platform Holly will deteriorate, leak and crumble but thanks to the stupidity of people like Hanna Beth Jackson it is now the taxpayers responsibility. I laughed until it hurt when Hanna said we needed to set aside a million dollars in case it leaked. That amount is way too low for just the downpayment. HOLD PG&E's FEET TO THE FIRE ON THIS ONE. FORGET THE LAND, IT IS NOT WORTH IT!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Calvin D Marble

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Select...

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Madame Gina Roxanne

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
I was against this terrible nuclear power plant from the very beginning. I sincerely hope that it never runs again. I hope they can safely get rid of all radioactivity.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
It needs to be in the public domain. The public needs to have a day in what ever decisions need to be made

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Use wind and solar at the site

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Douglas Burbank

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
UCSB retired geologist

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
My opposition (to de-commissioning, in general) stems from my knowledge that nuclear power is one of the few carbon-free technologies that generates electricity on demand and has provided a substantial fraction of California's electrical needs. It is misguided to target nuclear for elimination when we still rely so heavily on fossil fuels-- fuels that are contributing greenhouse gasses that pose a very real, relevant, known, and large hazard globally. The environmental grounds for closure of this nuclear plant are tenuous at best: blue-green algae, really? One of the most abundant life forms in the ocean (algae) isn't going to be impacted by the use of ocean water in cooling towers. If it were, then all cooling towers for all sorts of plants should be shut down everywhere. The only truly justifiable reason for closing the nuclear plant were if a creditable threat existed of an earthquake large enough to destroy the containment infrastructure. To my knowledge, the plant was designed to withstand such earthquakes.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I predict that this decommissioning will be regarded as a wrong-headed decision in which inflamed, overwrought, and over-estimated environmental concerns persuaded a naive public to act against their best interests.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Ralph Bush

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Diablo is safe and necessary, what is on the horizon to take it's place, windmills?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Do not decommission

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Richard Moen

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
retired

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Diablo Canyon should not be shut down!! It has proven to be a SAFE and non poluting source of energy. If it is shut down PG&E should NOT be required to give the county any money to help in any transition to something else. NO rate hikes to get what some people want!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Lorin Bronson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Decommissioning is a very big mistake. Keep the power plant working. It has no carbon footprint. "Concerned Scientists" would agree.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The best alternative is to keep Diablo Canyon working.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Government already take 30% of GDP and is trillions in debt. Now you want to take more from children that can't vote.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Keep unauthorized people away from the facility. That would reduce the probability of terrorist attack.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

The best purpose is to support low-cost power production.

General Comments or Questions

Keep Diablo Canyon functioning and "commission" Yucca Mountain.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Agree this should be gin immediately for the welfare and well being of the community. Sooner is better than later in this regard. Time is of the essence!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
This seems to provide better oversight and more diverse stakeholders from the community versus allowing PG&E to make these appointments themselves.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
This seems quite equitable to the ratepayers and to PG&E.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Agree that this space should be managed by the agencies noted and preserved as open space (as much as possible and safe))for the enjoyment of the community. We've endured the dangers associated with our proximity to this plant so it's equitable this property be preserved and open to the public in perpetuity.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Deborah Denker

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
deborah denker photography

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Radical Environmentalists were successful in shutting down Diablo Canyon, costing SLO County huge numbers of well paying jobs and tax revenue not to mention a cheap source of electrical power which we desperately need. Utter stupidity.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
PG&E is capable of shutting down Diablo Canyon on it own, PG&E it the expert NOT our elected representatives. keep government out of the process.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The cost of decommissioning Diablo Canyon should be paid by all those environmental groups that called for its closing.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Sell the land on the open market to the highest bidder.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

Catastrophic loss of human life and private property is the direct result of government agencies and politicians catering to radical environmental groups and refusing to allow time proven forest management practices of removal of dead and diseased timber from forest and rural lands. The Radical Environmentalists for years pushed to close Diablo Canyon, they achieved their goal, They should be assessed any and all costs incurred due to the closure.

General Comments or Questions

The fallacy of environmental groups and government working together is reflected in the Catastrophic loss of human life and private property resulting from government agencies and politicians catering to radical environmental groups and refusing to allow time proven forest management practices of removal of dead and diseased timber from forest and rural lands. Now the closure of Diablo Canyon is costing Billions in lost jobs, revenue and economical electric power to Kalifornia residents.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Bob Bretz- governmental absurd legislation that has caused the downfall of Diablo Canyon is a travesty.Here we are now having to pay neighboring states to buy our excess subsidized solar power ?????? Ridiculous-best option would be to modernize the existing plant and stay carbon free and reliable not waiting for the sun to shine or the wind to blow.r

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Retired Calif native

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
giving up 20% of taxpayer/ratepayers Calif reliable paid for power is totally unacceptable.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
John Pokrajac

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments
PG&E must be fully responsible for the decommissioning of Diablo Cyn. Not the rate payers.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Let the PG&E Board of Directors sleep with the spent fuel rods!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
David and Sue Sevier

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
We sure would like to have your support for the border wall.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Jerry Soxman

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
We should do everything possible to keep thr power plant in operation

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
steven lefler

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
modular lifestyles inc

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Housing for staff to decommission may be a big issue

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Create Tiny House Village in place of offices and other strutures

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Native American Tiny Hous eVillages to reclaim the land

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Opportunites to build affordable housing is needed

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Fred Sanford

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
It should never be shut down in the first place. You enviros caused this with loss of jobs, dependable power and loss of tax dollars. You want the cooling water to be returned to the ocean at the same temp as when it was extracted? Why use it at all. All of the shrimp and warm water fish that live there now will be murdered!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Use taxpayer money. They are the ones who called for the shutdown

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
It is private land. Keep the public out!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

The radioactivity is completely and safely contained for 10,000 years. Leave it alone!

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Prefer its usage to be related to helping and improving the services of Montano de Oro, an extension and connection to the state park.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments
Overall, this is good but it would be best to include the area as PART OF MONTANO DE ORO STATE PARK

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
IT WOULD BE BEST FOR OUR FUTURE GENERATIONS TO INCLUDE DIABLO LANDS AS PART OF THE MONTANO DE ORO STATE PARK, AND ALSO THE STRUCTURES INVOLVED.

General Comments or Questions

I HOPE THERE WILL ALSO BE RETRAINING AND HELP IN FINDING OTHER WORK FOR DIABLO WORKERS.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Jim Mee

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
My impression of this whole process is it's overly complicated and doomed to be a very ploddingly unimaginative boondoggle. Wasting time, energy, tax $$$'s and precious resources. Majorly amongst them is the potential to be the first to do nuclear right. I am totally opposed to they typical light and heavy water reactors. They are a stupid design that was only implemented to make fissionable waste to make nuclear bombs with. But there is another way and it's all explained in 5minutes in the YouTube https://youtu.be/uK367T7h6ZY
We could be the second LFTR (Liquid Floride Thorium Reactor)plant in the US(the first being Oak Ridge where the design was proven, funded by the US). Recommissioning Diablo into a LFTR would provide jobs, put the site to use, provide power AND because the LFTR doesn't need water cooling, the 1M +gal desal plant that is ALREADY there could all go for providing water for Central Coast communities.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
COLE LUCAS

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Water is essential to the Central Coast and all potential water sources must be explored. PG&E at this facility utilizes desalter water, this water source must be protected for future use.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
I have been a long-time advocate against the use of nuclear power. I was in the Young Democrats during the 70s but though I refused to protest the opening of a nuclear power plant, due to protesters who were unkind to me when I returned from Vietnam in uniform, I continue to oppose the use of nuclear power. What happened at Three Mile Island and elsewhere, I'm citing the Tsunami in Japan, is certainly proof that the use od nuclear power is dangerous and represents the opposition to the development of safer forms of power, for example, the development of solar.

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Democrat

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Steven Fields

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Mr.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
the shutdown must be conducted as swiftly and safely as possible. alternative sources of energy can be used in place of nuclear. a panel of local citizens should be maintained throughout the entire process.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
why should this process cost the tax-payers money. this is a business, isn't it?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Charlie J Mohammed

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Retro fit and Keep the Plant Open

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
It would be a bunch of hypocrites with nothing more then their own agenda

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
Retro fit and keep the plant open

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Retro fit and keep the plant open

General Comments or Questions

Retro fit and keep the plant open

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Jeff Scott

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Mr.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Decommissioning Diablo has to be one of the most stupid PC things done and a job killer for us. It provides 15% of the total power for California and it will be gone. The county of San Luis Obispo will suffer with loss of income and the trickle down effect of those lost jobs and taxes will bankrupt the county. You should have forcefully gone against your party and stopped this. You seem to be a real job killer for us and have you actually brought in any meaningful, high paying jobs since you were elected??? Orange robe Buddhist show up and a bunch of right wing environmentalist and you caved. Hope you take my point but I do not expect you to actually represent your district just your handlers.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Stephen Curran

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
it doesn't matter how the spent fuel is stored. it isn't going anywhere. you can thank the ineffective congress for that.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
decommissioning DCPP is a mistake.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
decommission is in the license. it's going to cost BILLIONS and rate payers are going to pay for it. decommissioning DCPP is a mistake.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments
people act like they will have access to these lands the day after DCPP quits operating. the decommission process will take something like 30 years. most of the people arguing for his will be dead before it happens.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

the spent fuel isn't going anywhere until Yucca mountain is opened and spent fuel is stored there. good luck with that.

General Comments or Questions

closing DCPP at this time is a mistake. failure to renew DCPP's operating license is a mistake. this will result in huge increases in electricity costs and less reliable electricity for all Californians because it is not possible to replace safe DCPP (GREENHOUSE GAS FREE) energy with greenhouse gas free energy. Coal and natural gas generated power will be required to supplement wind and solar, as they are required to do NOW! every business that requires consistent reliable electricity to operate will be forced to leave California.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Richard Lamb

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Taxpayer

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
This is a bunch of pap with leading questions designed to influence the opinions. Why do you just give one side?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
We don't need to pay for a commission of volunteers - what are their qualifications? What is cost we ratepayers bear? You don't give enough info to make an informed opinion. I don't understand why you apparently want to add costs for your very constituency - people of moderate and low incomes. They pay more for basic need and the rich don't even notice it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
This is reasonable.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments
If lands are to be transferred, they should be done so to the highest bidders under strict land use codes.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

The IPCC recent statement: "The IPCC report concludes that achieving the 1.5C goal will require global greenhouse gas emissions to start reducing almost immediately. This will require a faster switch to electricity for energy end use and for that greater electricity demand to be met by low-carbon generation, including nuclear. Nuclear generation increases, on average by around 2.5 times by 2050 in the 89 mitigation scenarios considered by the IPCC".

Congressperson Carbajal, are you in favor of increased nuclear power generation as part of our base load, always available, 90% efficiency capacity?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
Funding means money. Where are the figures? How much will it cost?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The land belongs to the Native American community without including including State Parks, the US Bureau of Land Management, the Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County, and the City of San Luis Obispo

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
Will the repurposing bring back the abelone?

General Comments or Questions

Do to the nature of nuclear power the land is contaminated. Why pussyfoot around about what needs doing?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Diablo Canyon should not be shut down in the first place

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
William R. Jones

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Mr.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Think it should stay open, but it appears done.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Their desalinization plant should be linked to the envisioned pipeline to Lopez Lake, since our fresh water problems are only going to get worse. Follow S. Barbara's lead, with the basic machinery already in place.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
Utilize the desalinization plant for local good!

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Harold

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I think that Calif public universities should be drawn in immediately so they can design, organize and carry out 3rd-party audits and reviews in order to make controversies-disagreements-conflicts truthfully understandable for the public, especially voters, and to facilitate mediation to resolve stumbling-blocks effectively and efficiently. This could become a costly donnybrook.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Get it done

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Thomas Becker

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
CCCMB, LCSLOC

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Paul Stull

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
personal constituent

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
We are going to need nuclear power to reduce the carbon footprint of our power grid. I didn't see any of the above that contemplated reconstruction of a nuclear power plant, more modern and safer, at the same location. After all, the electric grid access is already installed. I think this should be considered.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Vivian Cocca

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Who would fund this commission? and how much would it cost? It is always good to have a second opinion, so could support it if there was more information regarding the roles, responsibilities and functions.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
How will the costs be made transparent? Is there any incentives associated with trying to save money & transfer those savings back to the taxpayers?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Diablo Canyon has provided the county with not only with electricity, but also jobs. The vision statement does not mention how these benefits will be preserved or even expanded in light of the land-use transition. Will the community experience an overall gain or loss of equivalent benefits as a result of this vision? It is unclear. We are paying a lot to have this powerplant decommissioned and it would seem to make sense that we at least get some jobs or income reflected in the vision. Please consider adding a cost-benefit analysis associated with recommended futures.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Wayne Peacock

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Bob Harmuth

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
We have dozens, if not hundreds of Navy personnel in the County trained in nuclear power. Ask them.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
Ask the multitude of nuclear power trained Navy personnel in nuclear power. They live here.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
Use personnel assets living in the County. I suggest US Navy.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments
Use all the Navy nuclear power personnel in the County. Ask them, they lived with it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments
Above

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Utilize our own County Navy assets.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Dennis

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
US Govt (Retired)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
Groups that opposed the operation of the plant should fund the shutdown

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
restart the plant

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Rayleen Moran

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Alzheimer's Association

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I would love to see the facilities and coastal lands used for a Cal Poly extension to create a marine study facility.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
G. F. Light

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Dear Ladies and Gentlemen: My Loved Ones have lived for over 40 years with fear and unease about our health and our environment. The spectre of DIABLO CANYON must be stopped. It is a risky and dangerous concept to build such a facility ON AN EARTHQUAKE FAULT!

Enough is enough. Please envision with us that our society and our neighbors throughout the planet can and must pursue renewable, sensible and affordable energy alternatives. So many jobs can be created by replacing obsolete and environment-destroying energy sources. The health and productivity of billions of people are improved when we replace destructive sources of fuel - coal, gas, nuclear - with those that harness the wind, the sun and the water.

Please hammer the last few nails in the coffin of "the devil's canyon."

Thank you.

Gianna Light
Santa Barbara, CA

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions
The future of our descendants is determined by the actions of our leaders.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Andrew Ross

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
This site with repurposed buildings would make a great saltwater aquarium featuring the local sea life with cafes, restaurants, and shops similar to the one in Monterey. Water and power is already in place. In addition, it could be used for music events and festivals without any noise complaints. The safety

infrastructure already exists.There is a large parking lot with several smaller ones and widening the road, while necessary, should be relatively easy.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Beth Anderson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Self

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Having worked at DCPP I'm familiar with the astounding beauty of this pristine coastal area. Keep it & preserve it for everyone.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Thomas Wilker

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Consider repurposing and expansion of existing facility capabilities which may provide significant benefit to local communities such as desalinization

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Consider repurposing and expansion of existing facility capabilities which may provide significant benefit to local communities such as desalinization

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Consider repurposing and expansion of existing facility capabilities which may provide significant benefit to local communities such as desalinization

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Alan S. Doctor

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Home Owner

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
I am in favor of Nuclear Power. I realize that storage of the rods is a problem. I do not understand why Yucca Mountain can't be used.

It seems that the NIMBYs hate any kind of clean, safe power system. The old fashioned systems are killing the planet.
climate change very real. Why not concentrate on that and use neuclear, wind and solar.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
See above

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
If we have to get rid of Diablo Canyon this plan makes sense. However I still approve of Nuclear Power.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

This is good if we must close Diablo.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
Why not turn it into a desalination system?

General Comments or Questions
1--Keep the nuclear. 2--Convert to desalination. 3--By all means preserve the land and ocean front. 3--Build up a bird and animal preserve accompanied by park land.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Jon Marinello

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Ann Dusenberry

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
I don't know to what Parcel P refers.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Debra Frye

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Ed Pilkington

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
How much is this going to cost Customers for the Decommissioning? How many people will lose their jobs? What is the County going to do to make up for the hundreds of thousands of dollars this Plant provides today? Those who push for all these Shut Downs aren't worried about the cost to them. Those who can afford more taxes dropped on them are the one's who will suffer. Those working for the County will get raises to make up for their costs but, Working Class people will be eating worms for a living. Cost to the Working Class is of no consequence to those feeding off of the people they are suppose to represent. They call it representing but, what it really is, is blood sucking from others to enrich their lives. No accountability for all the fraud cost placed on the Taxpayers.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

This is what Liberals are doing to American every day. They are the cause of the many Homeless on our streets today. The Forest Fires are a development of Liberal Environmentalists. They are the people who stopped the Forestry Department from clearing excess over growth and removing dead trees. Control burns were shut down by the same Liberal idiots who said it would change the Wildlife Habitat. Ask the Animal that were cooked alive how they like what the Liberals did for them.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
We oppose decommissinging,Renewable Power Sources Misleading

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Renewable Power Sources Misleading
Those who oppose to the use of Nuclear Power and think that solar, wind and others will be substitutes do not understand that the real issue is in the numbers. Those nuclear power plants turn out 2-3 billion watt-hours of power every hour of every day, night and day! That is enough for 2 million

homes! California's power needs peaked at 50 billion watt hours last summer, the highest in the country. Our power requirements are growing at about .5 billion-watt hours per year.

The alternative renewable power sources are good for about 300-400 million watt-hours but only when the sun is shining or the wind is blowing. That is good for about 12,000 homes. While renewable power sounds good, it is in itself not sufficient to meet the present or growing needs of the California population! Renewable sources can reduce the amount of fuel that is used by the present big power producers but they are no substitute for the 24-hour a day plants that we need to meet our daily requirements in the biggest state in the Union. Renewable power is just too puny to provide our main power needs.

25 nuclear power plants would provide all the power needs of California for the foreseeable future.

The accusations of extremist groups like the Nuclear Peace Foundation and the Mothers Against Nuclear, etc. are hysterical and without any basis in fact.

For 50 years now nuclear has proven to be the safest source of energy for both peaceful and military uses. No one has died from nuclear power plants. New reactor designs are even more reliable.

The US and Russian Navy's have used nuclear power for their ships for decades without any problems. The US latest super aircraft carrier, the President Ronald Reagan, powered by nuclear reactors, can sail for 20 years without refueling itself.

If we listened to these hysterical extremists concerns over safety, we would never get into a car, an airplane, a train or certainly not a cruise ship. Every one of these systems have had problems in the past but we still use them. Nuclear is no different. It has a record of being reliable and safe, that is why we should continue to use this great power source as our prime source.

Nuclear does not pollute the atmosphere while providing our maximum power needs while using a minimum of land for power generation.

Nuclear plants cover about 16-30 acres to generate the billions of watts of power while renewable sources must cover thousands of acres to equal one nuclear plant in power generation. The environment is impacted by these extensive renewable power plants.

The source of nuclear power, uranium, is almost limitless. The U.S. controls the nuclear fuel sources not the Arabs. We have unlimited nuclear fuel sources in the continental US if the politicians will let them be developed. And, by recycling spent fuels, the yield on uranium is increased to 75% from 5% and therefore, the waste is greatly eliminated and source greatly increased.

The government has got to overcome being afraid of the process. The chance that terrorists are going to steal the plutonium is negligible even if they knew what to do with it. It requires extensive facilities and technology know-how to make it into anything dangerous. A small possibility, if any.

With nuclear, the U.S. can free itself from any and all foreign threats to our energy requirements.

The refining of uranium may take some energy but so does building thousands of 400 foot tall wind generators and thousands of solar panels.

For the investment, more power comes from nuclear.

Today nuclear waste products from commercial and military are stored in depots or at the power plants. With the approval of the Yucca Mountain storage facilities by the federal government, there is no reason why California should not go full speed ahead with new and expanded nuclear power plants.

Those groups that are fighting nuclear fuel developments are really working for the foreign interests not for the U.S. They are the very people who are opposing safe waste storage and delaying full implementation of nuclear energy. Their hypocrisy is obvious.

Government subsidies are spent on renewables as well as nuclear. But nuclear should be the major source of power with the smaller renewables as supplements.

Our recommended solution to our power needs is nuclear plants to power electric homes, cars and trucks, and nuclear powered sea travel.

With nuclear, fossil fuels can be phased out for power generation but we will still need oil and gas to produce all those plastics, road asphalts and air travel that we have all become used too.

With nuclear, air pollution can be reduced and the amount of nuclear power can be slightly reduced by the use of renewable wind and sun generated power when they are available.

Justin M. Ruhge, Physicist, Engineer, UCLA; studies in nuclear reactor engineering..

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Jim Bower

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Jim Bower's Marketing Communications

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
I believe greater focus should be made on removing the radioactive spent rods and containers from Diablo Canyon and converting the plant into a desalination source.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Curtis Reinhardt

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Certain local governments and the SLO City Schools have benefitted from Diablo taxes for decades. Once the plant closes I don't think that PGE (and me as a PGE customer) owes any government entity or school district another plug nickel! Those organizations should be thankful that they got all the money for years and not try to get another penny from PGE and its ratepayers. Make sure that PGE responsibly

closes the plant and takes care of the facilities and waste. After that let the market and land planners take over.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
It is ironic that after years of people demanding Diable be shut down, their imprications have been honored. And the response? How dare you do this!! How dare you take away our high-paying jobs? How will we manage without the taxes Diable generated? Moral: one does well to consider the implications of what one asks for.

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
Where is Solomon when we need him? It will take such an individual to bring consensus among the diverse group listed above.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

There will be numerous times when frustrations overwhelm those tasks with creating an exit process. It is important to provide the emotional and technical support.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Linda Calderon

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
N/A

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I do not want any nuclear power used anywhere in the U.S. It is far too dangerous. We have wind, wave, solar available, so let's use those. All should be cleaned up immediately. Storage of nuclear waste is a problem also and another reason for not using it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
I believe Native American interests should be upheld. Most likely these lands belonged to them - possibly there is even a treaty that gave them the land and it is being overlooked or was overlooked. Perhaps they would be open to the lands being accessible to the public, in general. Work out an agreement that is good for all.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

I don't feel that I have enough knowledge at this time in some areas and that is why I marked some as neutral.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Charlie Crabb

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Sounds like a costly process that is not necessary

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
This should be a rate payer cost. We are the ones that benefited from the power, we need to assume the “life cycle” cost of producing that power.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
Some of the land has value for housing or hotels. That land should be developed and the income used to create a trust fund used to maintain the land that would be used for public access and recreation.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Wendy S. Liepman

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
The Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County should work to protect this land and keep it as a nature preserve, allowing for citizens and future generations to spend time in nature and for the land to remain undeveloped. Native American communities should also have access to the land.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Alternative Green energy site, Solar, wind, should be considered.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
James m Alessi

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I am strongly opposed to the closing of Diablo Canyon. It is ludicrous and a pipe dream to think that alternative sources of energy production could ever replace the energy that Diablo produces. Government should do everything to ensure that Diablo continues to operate for many years to come. Additional nuclear power plants are essential for our country's future, not less.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Bruce McRae

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments
Lands ought to be used for the greater good of the majority of people whom will primarily use it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Small special interest groups shall not minimize larger groups majority for most beneficial uses of land and purpose

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
citizen of SB county

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Area is prone to earthquakes. We don't want another Fukushima.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Jeannie Pollak

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Kirsten

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Decommission Diablo!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Not clear to me what alternative is

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Haven't read

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Diablo should never have been constructed on this beautiful site

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Can’t wait to see it decommissioned

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Mike Bono

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The plant should not be shut down. Nuclear energy is safe and the public is only hysterical.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
clean it up, no delays

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
sounds good to me

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
i have concerns about health and safety

General Comments or Questions
how soon can it happen? what's the hold up?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments
Safety first, not cost effectiveness!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
C Randall Cook

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none-individual

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
Do not transfer any land to Native Americans, but preserve any historical spots.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I have lived in San Luis Obispo County since 1985. The closure of Diablo Canyon power plant was due in part to the actions of the citizens of SLO County, who knew that the shut down of Diablo Canyon power

plant would result in a severe loss of real property taxes and other income to the County and its residents. Therefore since the citizens of SLO County did not want the power plant, taxpayer dollars, i.e. government subsidies, should not be used to offset the loss of income. SLO County cannot and should not get its cake(shut down of the power plant) and eat it too(government subsidies).

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Hib Halverson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
William(Bill) Matthew

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
Pecho Valley Road should extended from Montana deOro State Park through to Avila Beach for public access.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Montana de Oro State Park should be accessible via the extension of Pecho Valley Rd through the park to connect with Avila Beach, making the park accessible via Los Osos and Avila Beach.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
peter

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Convert to solar energy, the way it should have been done in the beginning!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Robert Wetzel

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
I'd like to see the desalination plant continue to be operative

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
I'd like to see the desalination plant preserved as operative

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Suzanne Pope

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Retired-Disabled

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Is there any way the decontamination Process could Somehow be Launched into Space in a Space Object of some sort? One that would not return back to Earth or stay in Earth's Atmosphere? Nor Any of the Other Planet's Atmosphere in Our Solar System. Launched as Far Beyond any Space Ship Has Ever Been Launched?? by Any Country on Earth...?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
There is no need to rush the decommissioning (decontamination) process. It should be done in the SAFEST way not the FASTEST way.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
People on the DAP should be knowledgable or schooled in the technical aspects of DCPP.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Ralph Bocock

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Self

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I like the repurposing of the facilities for research and recreation, and the consideration of Native American sensitivities. The

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The alternative approach states government can do it better. Oh contrair! Government means unlimited cost, overruns, and constant delays. Every move will be fought for political purposes like all California endeavors. Judges will make all the decisions that engineers should be making. Ludicrous proposal.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
I'd like to know what the rates will be to the consumers. I really do not understand why customers should pay for a business to essentially go out of business. If Diablo is being shutdown because of environmental activists and California government demands, let the activists and the legislature pay for it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
It will be interesting to see how many lawsuits and judges and lawyers issuing conflicting political barricades to the completion of this project.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Kevin O'Gorman

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Ken Van Corbach

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
New Generation Pest Management Inc

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Please let them continue to operate. We can't meet the power needs of our state without nuclear power. They have an excellent safety record, are an asset to the community, provide high paying jobs, and have been wonderful stewards of this land. I have toured it personally. It is shameful they are being forced to shut down simply for political reasons.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Same reason, let it continue to operate.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Why should native Americans get special treatment on this?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Can some of the nine hundred acres be repurposed for solar energy?

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
John Mendoza

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
No organization

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
These lands should be preserved for all time, for all Americans, and for managed uses, such as hiking, camping, birdwatching, while not compromising the land.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Plan is on track to restore the areas to the status quo ante

General Comments or Questions

thank you to the Panel for the best plan looking towards the future

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Larry Jamison

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Citizen

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The Los Angeles Times rented my darkroom twice to generate photos during the protests to the Diablo Canyon Power plant so I am well aware of the difficulty in getting it commissioned in the first place. I have met a Nuclear Engineer at a local dance and feel this plant is staffed by very responsible hard working people and I feel the plant should be allowed to continue operation because I feel safe with it being there.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Sounds like a thoughtful process if it happens that way.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
ROLAND R ATWELL

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
This is a broad vision statement that seems to cover the basics. I m sure many people want to kno

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I like some of the concepts espoused - complying with Brown Act, engaging more technical membership and Native American input, and regulatory agency input.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Native American ownership and managed public access are not mutually exclusive.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
Need to consider geologic issues too (faults etc)

General Comments or Questions

This is a promising first step in process which undoubtedly will be followed by more detailed strategies. I am interested in keeping abreast of this process and being able to provide comments and input.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Ned Brandt

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
After years of abuse from CA politicians, environmentalist and Cal poly teaches, as I can remember well, PG&E finally through the towel in and is closing it. You guys finally got your wish and now there will be no free money to come in and now you wonder how to fund your Bureaucracy. Good luck fools. actually, good luck to the tax payers, middle class like me that will be forced to fill the huge gap. AGAIN.....

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
really?? Native American, Environmental, public interest BS. What a joke. To avoid conflict of interest! Well done politicians. So glad i'm not on the list. Just tell me how much more we will have to fork out.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Good luck. Transparency?? Sale of assets? hold the trust fund. Again, good luck. Joke.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Man, dont even know where to start with this. It was all safe when PG&E held and ran it. Lets see what happens when politician and the state get their hands on it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
More smoke and mirrors by Salud and others. I have to get back to work. Taxes are do in a few months and I owe like every other foolish business owner in this state.

General Comments or Questions
You really don't want to know and I dont care to waste anymore time. Good luck CA. There are 4 reasons the state wont make it. Find them.
https://www.forbes.com/sites/thomasdelbeccaro/2018/04/19/the-top-four-reasons-california-is-unsustainable/#1ceb1a3c3a23

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Cathee

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
There should be some kind of regulatory committee that will ensure safety for the process and community- within reason - too many representatives could be abroad- block to progress.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I would like to see some of the area repurposed to provide an aquarium where sea life could be viewed and researched much like Monterey bay aquarium. Cal poly students could serve as interns and do

research and the public could be educated through aquarium viewing. This could provide jobs and bring money into San Luis county as well as preserve the land and water ecology.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Kim Murry

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
I completed this survey but neglected to add my contact information. If there is a mailing list to keep informed as this process progresses, please add me to the list. Thank you.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Tim Rochte

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
40 Year Property Owner

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Get rid of all radioactive waste immediately.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Rate payers should in no way get left holding the bag, nor taxpayers; only stockholders.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
Ensure all appropriate infrastructure remains in place for renewable energy sources such as wind and tidal.

General Comments or Questions

Do not stick rate or taxpayers for decommissioning costs!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Joyce Northup

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
These are the same short sighted idiots who decided to close the plant with no knowledge of job impact, electric impact or anything else. This just puts more stupid people making stupid decisions.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
I guarantee it would be made up of the same stupid people.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

This was the stupidest decision ever made. Now we have lost tax dollars, jobs and reasonable electricity. Can't there be anyone on the Central Coast who can make a good decision about anything? It is embarrassing.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Albert Maxwell Goldberg

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
This one has to go if it blows and follows the wind path of the Fukishima, Japan melt down it will blow massive quantities of radiation all the way down to Malibu. Fukishima years later still is burning down into the earth. If it works properly with the thousands of fish it takes in everyday with the millions of gallons of sea water each day to cool the nuclear reactorsm it spews thousands of dead fish into the sea daily. There has been a massive amounts of cancer in men women and children close to the plant when it operates normally. The lease has run out it never should have been renewed. DON'T FORGET IT IS BUILT ON AN EARTH QUAKE FAULT SO IT'S A ACCIDENT WAITING TO HAPPEN. REMEMBER STUPID IS AS STUPID DOES!!!!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Turn it into an alternative clean energy plant

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
Turn it into an alternative clean energy plant

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments
Turn it into an alternative clean energy plant

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
Turn it into an alternative clean energy plant

General Comments or Questions
Its poison waiting to kill millions clean it up.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Jennifer

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Janet M. Anderson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Ultrasonic inspection inside the reactors, Hoss hardened on site storage containers are only warranted for 26 years, so inspection and replacement into perpetuity. Department of energy storage sites have leaks. Hanford leaks into the Columbia river. WIPP caves collapsed onto containers. Keep it on site where it was created and forego risks of transport and leaks elsewhere.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Stop the waste ASAP or contend and pay for more of a crime against humanity.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
Costs are local and federal into perpetuity.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments
If it is stored on site hoss kept here, as I vote for, people should be kept away, and hero workers monitor and contain it forever more.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
Repurpose it into containment facility.

General Comments or Questions
We need help from a superior intelligence now.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Walter Ramage

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
The spent fuel rods should be removed from the site due to documented earthquake faults in the immediate area of their storage! Assuring that our coast and adjacent areas are protected from contamination!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
William Mitcheltree

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
NA

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
No decommissioning should be done. More safety measures should be implemented, but we need MORE Nuclear Power plants to meet the demand at a lower cost to the consumers.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I didn't realize that nuclear waste could be decontaminated -- and that is the word used in the vision statement. Why didn't Chernobyl decontaminate? And what about decontaminating at the plant that nearly melted down after the earthquake and tsunami in Japan? As far as Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant goes, why wasn't the waste decontaminated immediately following its usefulness? (Sorry...but the waste is a HUGE issue for me. Who would want to do business on a site that stores/stored nuclear waste? Getting another business in that spot seems to be VERY important for our community, so this issue needs to be addressed. Forgive me if I missed any information that is crucial here -- but I have not heard a single word about what they're going to do with the waste.)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments
Huh? They built a nuclear power plant on some of those 12,000 acres, forever changing much of the sensitive habitat of that land. They also changed the sensitive habitat of the ocean when they piped used but not radioactive WARMER water back into the ocean. The change of a few degrees can be deadly to some species -- and at the very least, other species were forced to flee the area because of the change. Please don't get me wrong....my intent isn't strictly to criticize -- but you asked.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
Now they're talking about the waste. Do they have any idea what they're going to do with it and how they're going to do it? It appears as though they had /have no exit plan -- and exit plans should have been in place before the plant was even built.

General Comments or Questions
Thank you for listening to the public. I admit that prior to the plant opening, I was opposed to it. That opposition waned as the years went by and I pretty much got used to living next door to a nuclear power plant. Now PG&E is done with it and they want to leave NUCLEAR WASTE right there in or on the land that they describe as having "sensitive habitats"? Sorry....but that makes no sense to me. I realize that the amount of nuclear waste from the plant is surprisingly small -- but we can't think because its small in size that it's not DEADLY. Figure it out, PG&E. Please.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
michael baudanza

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
on the lands visiion: add a provision for some percentage to be residential/commercial development, proceeds to offset costs of zero electrical generation and rate payers costs to decommission. Development to be thoughtfully conceived.
repurposing facilities: why have it totally controlled by PGE?
drop the idea for a national lab...we have enough
drop the idea for mental health facilities
do not have the DAP populated solely by appointees or bureaucrats.
drop the idea or veiled suggestion of windmills or solar...inefficient exorbitant land use for poor return on power generation.
maintain all electrical facilities that can be utilized to import energy to california since the whole idea/plan will decimate CA power generation

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
make sure DAP combines all efforts under one streamlined group, make sure DAP has not more than 50% appointees/bureaucrats, the balance need to be elected or selected in other fashion...

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments
don't understand the funding

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments
see above. the entire 12,000 ac should not be "public" lands. allow some thoughtful percentage for development...exclude mental health facilities, prisons, etc.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
another great place for thoughtful commercial development as well as continued electrical use to support importation of energy from other states.

General Comments or Questions
great and thoughtful start. do not lock this up in bureaucracy and land trusts that will not pay for themselves.
the public trust needs to include marine access for fishing, boating, scuba. the trails need to include livestock access/use including equestrian activities. separate public lands can be for hiking, camping, birding and other environmental preservation...but do not lock the entire 12,000 acres.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Plutonium otn the end of a pin is enough to kill 100,000 peiple. No container can be built that can last to store it. Get rid of it out of the county.

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Barbara Henry

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
As long as California is a sanctuary State we cannot expect any financial funds .

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Turn the facility into the world's very best Combo-Solar-Wind-Wave Power Facility.

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Self

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
SWWP of the future. A World's first.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
n the facility into the world's very best Combo-Solar-Wind-Wave Power Facility

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
n the facility into the world's very best Combo-Solar-Wind-Wave Power Facility

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
SWWP OF THE FUTURE, A WORLD'S FIRST

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

SWWP IS THE FUTURE
Solor-Wind-Waves Power

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Julie Smith

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
As soon as possible. Let's go full-board on renewable/natural energy. The welfare of humanity, if not the entire physical world, lies in the balance.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
It'ssimply the right thing to do. Put politics and profit aside.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Thank you for taking action and for asking for our input. We certainly do not want a Fukushima type incident on the central coast of California. I like the ideas that you have presented here so far.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Kathleen E. Martin

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
private citizen

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
The land should be restored to natural habitat and kept for public use.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
JannZeilenga

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Kenneth Naylor

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Sierra club

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Vickie Backman

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
retired engineer

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
I have seen too many Diablo committees in the past that caused a great waste of time and funds. The issues are highly technical, and stacking a committee with anti-technical people who pride themselves on dragging their feet won't help.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
I'm sorry, I think there are already other groups that control decommissioning. The DCISC was a total waste of money.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

It's not clear to me that there is much there that would be truly useful. Maintenance costs for "repurposed" facilities are likely to be high, and it is far away. This would require pipelines (water system), roads, etc.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Donald Hanson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The only reason they are shutting down is because of liberal representatives like you who hassle power companies.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I oppose everything you propose. You are a typical tax and spend liberal.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Margie KK

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
Why avoid SAFSTOR?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The sale of assets should take environmental impacts into account, not just how much money PGE can make by selling off environmentally fragile unique ecosystems.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
Just unclear what managed use means.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Could repurposing the plant include utilizion of the desalination plant?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
cannot make a decision, as I cannot determine pros and cons of this proposal.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments
don't know what this means: "The sale of assets acquired through ratepayer assessments could be used to offset decommissioning costs"

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
don't understand the implications of this statement: "The repurposing of facilities should only include land associated with Parcel P that is developed and necessary for a buffer of ongoing decommissioning activities"

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Mayra Ramos

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Community Leader

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
David Krier

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Community Action Commission of SB County

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Thank you for all the work on this project to keep the site safe and make it available for public access while preserving the natural beauty and wildlife flora and fauna for future generations.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
G.M. Robertson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Donna Evans

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
I am not sure WHY the Fed has to get any more involved than they are ready. Senator Carbajal mentioned in his opening statements of I believe it was August 2017, how the Federal Government could help the citizens of SLO county during this decommissioning process. It is not the job of the FED to ease this process for us. They have already established a commission that oversees nuclear power plants on a National basis. They are already a part of this process. I believe this is a job for the local government and the state government and PGE. I don't believe the Federal Government is here to ease our everyday personal lives. I don't believe any Government is here to do that. That is up to the individual.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
Thomas Wilker

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Consider repurposing and expansion of existing facility capabilities which may provide significant benefit to local communities such as desalinization

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Consider repurposing and expansion of existing facility capabilities which may provide significant benefit to local communities such as desalinization

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Consider repurposing and expansion of existing facility capabilities which may provide significant benefit to local communities such as desalinization

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)
John Allen

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

Diablo
canyon Nuclear Power Plant should not shut down but continue to generate electricity long into the future. New nuclear technologies should be implemented (such as mini nuclear power plants similar to the ones on submarines or aircraft carriers) to provide Californians with electricity.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Would appreciate more info on pros and cons of this vs alternative vision.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Would appreciate more info on pros and cons of this vs alternative vision.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
This sounds feasible, but I would like to know if there are other ideas or opponents and what those comments are.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
This sounds feasible, but I would like to know if there are other ideas or opponents and what those comments are.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

This sounds feasible, but I would like to know if there are other ideas or opponents and what those comments are.

General Comments or Questions

I would like to know if there are other ideas or opponents and what those comments are along with pros and cons. It's difficult to view only one option when we don't know what other options might be.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/29/2019

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
our congressmen is ****

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
concressmen eats ****

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Robert Bullock

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
American

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Decommissioning, Hell there should be six built by now. Friggen Eco-Nazi's.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Make the Mother's for Peace pay for the whole damn thing..

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Give the land back to the family who owned it..

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Isaac Wollman

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Mothers For Peace

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Dear Senator Carbajal;
First off, I would like to say that I voted for you, and you are emblematic of a changing America; You are a voice to the voiceless, and a bastion of hope in an uncertain political climate.
I feel in my community that Diablo Canyon is an eyesore, it is not regulated enough and subsequently; As a citizen of San Luis Obispo I am worried about the devastating effects of background radiation on our ecosystem, our oceans, and our beautiful lush mountaintops.

as a citizen a voice on this issue.

Thank you Senator Carbajal for all of the good work and deeds that you are doing for our community.

Sincerely,

Isaac S. Wollman

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
t should not be shut down at all. Nuclear powerplants should re-process the fuel

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
We need the electricity from the clean and safe Diablo Canyon powerplant

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The best fiscal decision is to retain the high paying jobs at Diablo by keeping it going

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
In spite the Dream Act passed by San Luis Obispo voters over a decade ago regarding diablo Canyon land, the land is owned by a private corporation which has the right to determine its use or disposition.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The best use of the plant is continuing electricity production as a nuclear plant. Diablo Canyon should also be used to power de-salination plants.

General Comments or Questions

Paradoxically, many elected local officials had spoken against Diablo Canyon, but now that it may shut down, they are crying because of the loss of future tax dollars. It has been a pathetic show of hypocrisy listening to them now begging for money from PG&E. We need the energy. We also need the electricity from other generators in the mountains which are powered by water pumped up by Diablo electricity at night and which then flows down during the day. We need the renewable, clean electricity from Diablo Canyon. We need the good jobs. It seems that PG&E needs stronger management willing to stand up to extreme environmentalists who are committed to shutting down the nuclear industry.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Shannan Ulrich

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Self

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
I think that people should seriously be educated on all of the alternatives of nuclear energy. Nuclear does not affect the planet as much as the many alternatives and is less expensive as solar, water - which we don't always have- or corn- which uses A LOT OF WATER.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
All of America was Indian land so all of it can be considered sacred. Using that as an argument is exhausted. So what they are going to but a casino there? Then we still can't hike on it?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

PG$E are already doing this and in front of Diablo is already one of the best fishing areas.

General Comments or Questions

They are already taking great care. Of the land and sea. It should stay in business. Where are you planing on putting all of the used solar and windmill parts?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
rhino

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Just get it shut down now, before it ruins the central coast and becomes our version of Fukushima.....its bad enough having to live under the threat of nukes.....thanks for making it a topic of yours.....waiting till 24, 25 is too long...

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Val McClure

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Retired Senior

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
The desal plant should be retained and given to the San Luis Obispo County

General Comments or Questions
See comment above. This is a once in a lifetime opportunity for San Luis Obispo County to secure much needed water.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Bill Cockshott

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
rate payer

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
cost should not go on rate payers, but on owners-investors.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments
Investors should pay for costs. If I owned a shoe store & had to close, my customers don't pay the closing costs

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
who are indians who want to own it?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Janette Wesch

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Ride Nipomo

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
I support of conservation of the 12,000 acres, including public access on the two multi use trails; and ask that they add in their recommendations that the coastal and inland multi-use trails include equestrian use and a parking area that will accommodate horse trailers; and some trails that link the coastal and inland multi use trails so loops of various lengths can be made.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Support of conservation of the 12,000 acres, including public access on the two multi use trails; and ask that they add in their recommendations that the coastal and inland multi-use trails include equestrian use and a parking area that will accommodate horse trailers; and some trails that link the coastal and inland multi use trails so loops of various lengths can be made.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Jeff

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
DCPO Employee

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The plant should not be shut down at all. This plant produces nearly 10% of California's power greenhouse gas free. It is the cheapest source of greenhouse gas free power and it's been paid for by the state for years. The ratepayers/tax payers in the state are flipping the bill for other experiments. Why not stick with something that works and is proven.
It is totally unethical to close down this pant. If I were the lawmaker considering this I would be very cautious.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Nancy Crane

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Radiation is one of the most dangerous things on EARTH. Please get rid of it!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Nancy Davis

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I protested the original building of this plant, and will be very excited to see it come down. We do not need this energy. We need 100% renewable sources such as wind and solar to protect our fragile communities. That plant should never have been built. It can't come down soon enough.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Rob Freyer

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
A "do good" statement. Yes, all of these goals are mandatory. This is what decommissioning is.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
One boss here is enough, choose wisely. And don't hire more people to do the same thing.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments
PG E has shown poor fiscal policies. Some other entity should be in charge. County? Private for profit restructuring business?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
No to habitat exclusions.
No to tribal connection- this is America and they are Americans. Equal use by all.
Yes to safe monitoring.
Yes to public envolvement
And ok to sell, divide, develop this property.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Oppose

Comments
Just do it.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Dave Ogilvie

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Mr.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
I don't trust the industry to follow through because they never have

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Don't trust industry

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
Don't trust industry

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments
Don't trust industry

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
Don't trust industry

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
MICHAEL LANDINO

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Retired

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I would want an alternative use of the nuclear energy remaining, rather than some sort of "burial" ceremony. An idea? Engineer a "black box" system as used in airplanes. The device can be used to run water or electric powered cars. The "black box" withstands plane crashes and should be able to deal with automobile collisions. Let's get creative for the "reuse" of nuclear power in a different way. This idea should provide for research and development jobs as well engineering, construction and design opportunities.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
See my comment above!!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
See my comments above!!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
See my comments above!!

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Ray Johnson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
We could keep it running just for desalination. It could meet SLO's needs

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Susan Stewart

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Small business owner/Morro Bay

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Kathy Johnson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Ride Nipomo

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
The land is spectacular and the crown jewel of the county. Please create recreational use including horse riding trails . It would creat a boost to our local economy and be a tourist destination and provide a local place for locals to get outside and exercise!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Darryl G Buck

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Repurpose the desalination plant to serve the community.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Repurpose the desalination plant to serve the community.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
Add more horse and biking trail. No residential or commercial development.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
Repurpose the desalination plant to serve the community.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Paul Ekstrom

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I have always loved the central coast. That area is a treasure and I hope it can be made into a public accessible recreation area. Also the area could be also used to explore wind and solar power.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
MICHAEL BROWN

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
Seems overly complicated and takes away a lot of the voice of community members.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
Every penny for the complete shutdown and cleanup should come from PG&E and not from the ratepayers. PG&E built it where we told them not to, now they can't run it cost-effectively so they need to 100% shoulder the burden. They cannot dump even more of the cost of this onto the community.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

I support this but 100% of all radioactive materials needs to get moved away. They cannot just leave stuff in place and hope that they don't have to deal with it in the future. Hold them accountable until every grain of sand is gone. It's PG&E's problem where it goes, not ours.

General Comments or Questions

Toxic radioactive materials are coming home to roost, after we tried and tried and tried to stop it. This is not the community's problem, it's PG&Es and they MUST pay 100% of the cleanup and removal out of profits and shareholder value. Not a penny from customers that paid and paid and paid through the years. We're not paying any more.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Martha Aubin

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Phil Wagner

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Ted Key

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Self

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Get it gone!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Get it gone!!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Get it gone!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Get it gone!!!

General Comments or Questions

Replace with wind power as fast as possible!!!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
A comprehensive document that few people will actually read (5,600 words, 25 pages). From what I have read, it looks quits good with a lot of oppportunities for further development. This grand opportunity should be taken to really do a good job of planning this process. My only criticism is that the US Federal Government should bear much of the cost of storing, removing, and ultimate disposition of the nuclear waste. Let us not allow this project to be guided by for profit, private enterprises that do not serve the public in general.

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Break this document down into smaller "bites" that people will be encouraged to read through multi-media entities.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
I do not understand what this alternative vision really means.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments
This (power plant) should never have been allowed to be any part of a for-profit endeavor. The profits have been taken out and distributed by the few who now bear little of the cost or responsibilities of decommissioning. Therefore, the U.S. Govt. should bear the responsibilities and cost of storing, transporting, and ultimately disposing of the nuclear waste.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
This (power plant) should never have been allowed to be any part of a for-profit endeavor. The profits have been taken out and distributed by the few who now bear little of the cost or responsibilities of decommissioning. Therefore, the U.S. Govt. should bear the responsibilities and cost of storing, transporting, and ultimately disposing of the nuclear waste.

This plan is a good start!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Dr. Carol Shabrami

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
This is an extremely important project and should proceed as planned.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Excellent ideas--preservation of the environment and public access are essential

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Thank you for providing us with this timely information and requesting feedback

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Marc Barnum

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Private citizen and resident SLO County

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions
The loss of Diablo Canyon is devastating for SLO County. Rep. Carbajal fails to support the huge financial revenues that Diablo Canyon pays local residents at all levels.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Kalai Kennedy

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Time to move on to better energy resources!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Dewayne Cargill

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The Diablo Canyon Power pant should not be shut down, but upgraded for continued. Decommissioning is one of the worst ideals liberal environmental morons have had in a long time.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
decommissioning doesn't make sense.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Julie Towery

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
PG&E officials and share holders should cover the cost of decommissioning. I was against the building of Diablo because of the issue of spent fuel and the poisoning of the environment around the plant.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
The US (CA more specifically) is being very short sighted in not continuing to improve and up date Nuclear power. It does not create carbon pollution and new breeder reactors don't have much waste. Solar power requires polluting batteries. Wind is not a constant provider and also requires extensive battery back up..

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
C. Barnes

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I scanned the report to ensure that the recommendations appeared safe and practical. My major concerns are safety regarding the storage and eventual transportation of spent radioactive fuel. While I realize that these "best practices" storage options are invariably positioned as "safe," you'll forgive my skepticism, given PG&E's sorry safety record with its gas lines in San Bruno as well as the recent wild fires. Frankly, anybody can "say" anything. That is no assurance that these important matters will ultimately get the serious care and follow-through in the real world that they deserve. To me, the most likely scenario is that PG&E will try to do the decommissioning "on the cheap," as soon as the public turns its attention elsewhere. I fear that the company, in particular, will try to save money by not hiring enough qualified personnel to oversee the day-to-day safety of this process. Conversely, if the company literally DID everything they have implied they will do to maintain public safety over the many decades of decommissioning, then I would be satisfied. It is the follow-through that I question. Their safety track-record sucks! Do you blame me? They need to work very hard to be transparent with the public and the County Board of Supervisors every step of the way. Oversight is key as is meaningful accountability. I don't trust them at all.

My final comment is about re-purposing the buildings on site for educational and research purposes, particularly in the area of marine science. I think this is an excellent idea. I also like the suggestion that the buildings be made available to Native tribes for use as offices, headquarters, etc. Can the de-sal plant be put to use for our own water needs? I don't know enough to answer that question, but if that or any similar way exists to help secure our local water supply, I'd hope that would be on the table for consideration.

The land should NOT be sold to developers for private gain but should be for public benefit! No condos, no shopping centers, no fancy hotels and golf courses. Open space, hiking, environmentally low-impact uses, education, research, giving back to Native American tribes are all good uses of this land and facilities.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Looks reasonable at first glance, but I don't really know enough to have an educated opinion.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Keep the land for public use as much as safety permits.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Marine research, education, gifting buildings for use by Native tribes, low-impact public use are all great ideas.

General Comments or Questions
No condos, luxury real estate, golf courses, shopping malls or major for-profit enterprises!!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
William Carter

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
The Canyon Villa

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I am sure everyone knows what they are doing. I am not educated enough on this matter to comment.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Lori Slater

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
It's not clear about the need of a "successor entity" for the decommissioned plant.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
The long term benefit of decommissioning is obvious. The short term job loss should be mitigated with a green New Deal program to employ as many people as possible.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Kathryn Lorbiecki

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Resident of City of Arroyo Grande, San Luis Obispo County, CA

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
This proposal seems vague at best.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
We, the people of San Luis Obispo County, should have an active and visual role in the process. We can only be responsible for the outcome of the process in which we participate.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
The proposal is vague as to the cost to the ratepayer during and after the closure of Diablo Canyon. I think that it is imperative that the commission give details as to what these cost are projected to be. When speaking about billions of dollars from the Federal, State and Local governments, the idea of the amount of tens to hundreds of dollars per billing period is often overlooked. Additionally, the future use of the property and the cost/revenues projection be also defined.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
I would like to see that PG&E, et al, be require to fund a reserve for unseen issues after the decommissioning is complete

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
When/where will these meetings be held? What is the process of previewing the agendas?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I may be in the minority, but I am having second thoughts regarding the decommissioning of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant. I think the public and the employees of the plant would best be served by continuing the operation of the plant. Replacing the power plant's enormous output of power may be impossible.

Mike Lifter

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Ray Smith

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
The sooner PG&E closes diablo canyon and relocates spent nuclear waste the better off the whole central coast will. Santa Barbara is just downwind from the disaster of a nuclear accident. As far as PG&E customers paying for the decommission, it's obscene that they reap all the profits and then raise rates to

pay for their mistake....it's not unlike our equipment caused the fire but now we must raise rates to pay for the damage done by the fire....ridiculous
Get rid of PG&E's excuses and don't expect the public to pay for every mistake they make....treat them just like you treat the oil companies..
Horribly, shamefully bad...
Oil spill...clean up and move on. Nuclear disaster...pay the price for 100's of years. Common sense is lacking in all levels of government!!!!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Maciej Makowski

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The Diablo Canyon Power Plant should not be decomissioned. It will result in a power shortage in the area, loss of jobs and an increase in environmental contamination as fossil fuel power plants take up the load. All in all a bad decision.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
See Above. We should not close this plant.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Once again, the plant should not be decomissioned.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments
Useage vision looks fine. Plant should not be shut down. Land use could and should be extended without Plant shutdown.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

If you insist in persisting in this bad decision, this is probably as good a way to do it as any. Best Answer - Continue Power Generarion at Diablo Canyon.

General Comments or Questions

Don't Cose the Diablo Canyon Power Plant - This is a bad decision for the area, the economy and the environment.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Judith Schaab

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Valerie Zachary

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Do NO environmental damage. None!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Pro-environment and pro Native American

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Least taxes involved, no environmental damage.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Protect, protect, protect.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
Protect the environment. No business use.

General Comments or Questions

Get this beautiful, precious area back to it's pristine condition and don't mess it up with business plans. There will be a grab for profits. Don't let that happen.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Dennis Nord

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
I've been opposed to Diablo canyon since before construction started. I still am. No delay on clean up is only reasonable option after decommission. This is a potential disaster from beginning to end. Lets getnit done before theres a seismic "cleanup" like the Japanese suffered!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Any attempt by PG& E to walk away from this becomes more probable with time. Before they declare this bankrupt or too costly move as quickly as possible to full and total cleanup. There must not be any opportunity for them to shrug off responsibility for cleanup by selling the property to anyone else who might later claim they didn't cause all and complete contamination they bought.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments
I might agree but o don't see a plan here for protecting with a specific agency or party that will be held accountable. Perhaps like SW dual advising ration of Park Seevice and Native Americans at Chaco and Canyon de chelle

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

So glad to see this poorly conceived liability come to the point of decommission. We have been lucky, lucky at the beginning that design flaws were discovered before construction was completed and lucky there's been little seismic activity during the active period. Tear it down and clean it up as fast as possible!!!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
ronald siskey

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
30,000 low cost housing units for all of your illegals invading the country

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Peter Byrne

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
NA

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
I think that is absolutely ludicrous to shut down Diablo and not extend the license of a perfectly adequate state of the art facility.

Keeping the plan open will solve the loss of revenue issues.

Shutting the plant down is a perfect example of politically correct lunacy.

Do not decommission the facility!

Thank you for your time.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Extend the license and do not decommission the facility

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Extend the license

General Comments or Questions
I think that is absolutely ludicrous to shut down Diablo and not extend the license of a perfectly adequate state of the art facility.

Keeping the plan open will solve the loss of revenue issues.

Shutting the plant down is a perfect example of politically correct lunacy.

Do not decommission the facility!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
bruce wilson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
To start with, I oppose the early decommissioning of the Diablo canyon power plant. This plant is a source of good paying jobs for the area, and also a dependable , non-polluting primary electric power source. If current industry safety standards and procedures are followed, there should be no reason for early decommissioning.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
And once again, I oppose the early decommissioning of the Diablo Canyon Power plant.
Having elected officials on the advisory panel would present a problem with their selection, what segment of the population they would represent. We know some elected officials, cities and county have very strong positions for or against the decommissioning process. How will the other members of the "DAP" be selected, what will their requirements be, Who gets to make these selection and requirement decisions.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions
As I have stated before, I oppose the early decommissioning of Diablo Canyon Power plant...

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Stephen White

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
AUSLO

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
I have little knowledge about the decommissioning process which is why I voted neutral. I do believe however that nuclear energy is the only possible way to support the quick removal of fossil fuels use while the reusable sources come on line. Nuclear energy has a remarkable safety record especially compared to coal and oil. I consider that politicians who have supported the abandonment of nuclear energy due to badly informed opposition have done the country a disservice.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Robert C Montgomery

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Donna DDDDD

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I ONLY support solar or wind turbines for clean energy to be on the decommissioned Diablo site.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Gary Gall

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Sooner means less spent fuel.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
I want to see wind turbines out 50 miles making clean energy.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments
He public should be able to enjoy the land.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Thomas Reynolds

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
We should not decommission Diablo until more renewable sources of generation are on-line and available. It provides clean energy for the current time being.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Gail K Lightfoot

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Libertarian Party

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
It is a dumb idea to cecommission at all. Reuse spent fuel and store miniamounts of spent fuel.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
Should not be done at all

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments
Find a way to keep plant operating. We need clean power.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments
Bad idea to decommission.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments
Rebuild an operating nuclear plant.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
BERNICE CASPERSON

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
When I work for BETCHEL CORP. in Whitter California. It was my job to pay the bills for Diablo Plant. and I just not think it is a good idea to close it because it is very safe place. It was well put together and no leaks or broken pipes. If the people who are so worried about it. They don't need to be. I work there in 1994 during the outages. It was very safe. Please we need this plant for all good reasons. Thank You.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Diablo needs to be shut down - delay is not in the best interests of those who live and work in its shadow. There have been so many studies done - please support the public's request to shut it down now - and then the removal of the plant's contents can be worked on.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
more meetings and less action - we've already been through this

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
How much longer do we have to wait to shut Diablo down

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Steven Ryburn

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Desalination plant should be preserved, remain operational, serve south SLO County water needs

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
David Seltzer

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
David C Seltzer, M.D., inc

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Why not use the land, and existing connections to the electrical grid, to construct a large solar energy generating plant to replace the nuclear plant?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Edith Kay

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
concerned citizen

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I'm assuming that any and all plans generated will take in the future effects of climate change and the rising ocean

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Norman Hardy

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I am one who regards nuclear power as being a viable alternative to fossil fuels, especially when such a plant is already in place and operating safely.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I object to plans to decommission the plant

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Quinn

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Do not decommission Diablo Cayon or any other nuclear power plant until a new one is built to replace it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Maryellen Simkins

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Need definition of "Public Interest"; why 'mostly' elected officials?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
Particularly support the coordinated conservation proposal - don't make it more complicated than it needs to be.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
What's parcel P?

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I've wondered what foreign weapons designers have planned for the aging allied nuclear infrastructure.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
CJ

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Mr.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
It is a disservice to everyone to shut down this facility. Cheap, non poluting energy is needed. Let them recycle the spend fuel like other countries do. Why do we prohibit it and create a lasting storage issue. There is NO way to become 100 % renewable energy by 2045 without grossly decreasing the electricity used. Everyone will pay.... Do not forget the massive inflow of money to the community will stop further hurting those in the county. Stupid.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Robert Matthews

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
California is missing a vital, non-carbon power source by disregarding nuclear energy. If our government is serious about reducing green house pollutants while assuring a strong economic future, Diablo Canyon should be licensed to operate, and nuclear energy should be a component of California's future electrical power mix.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Gsry Halvorsen

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
This problem was forced on PG&E by our governor and state legislature. They required that all electricity be generated by "clean" methods. These methods did not include nuclear, so PG&E was forced to shut down sooner than their license allows.

This means that the state should take full responsibility for whatever happens. They should be responsible for the shut down or continued running of Diablo.

Every thing else is just people grabbing at whatever money they can get out of this closure.

I hope you realize this is the truth and the state should pay for it.

Gary Halvorsen

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions
This is a monumental California style mistake. Someone who is not invested in the nuclear industry or looking to get rich over this fiasco should look at it and make unbiased recommendations of whether this should happen.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Gerard Ages

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Pro Trade Service

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Pge and it shareholders should ultimately be responsible for Dcpp decommissioning costs clear and simple. Ratepayers have already contributed sufficiently to pge profits. PGE has not been a great company and has a dismal track record as far as social responsibility.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Pge has employed alot of people in this county. They have contributed substantially to the local economy. PGE has profited immensely from DCPP its ratepayers paying one of the highest rates in the country. The responsibility of shuttering the facilty and repurposing the plant and costs associated should be borne solely by PGE and its shareholders.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I was one of the original protesters against building the DCNPP. Finally SLO county will be free of this mess. I was living out of the US for some time and having returned I am SO glad to hear of the plans for doing something positive at this site.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
I strongly disagree with the US Bureau of Land Management having any jurisdiction over the future preservation of this sacred land. As we have seen, they are the arm of the federal government that is currently recklessly and irresponsibly selling the use of national parks and other public lands for the corporate profit and not the welfare and safety of local communities or the future preservation, use and enjoyment of important nature habitat.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
I suggest there will be oversight on PG&E's exploring and recommendations for repurposing of site facilities.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The vast majority of the land should be sold off to help pay for the Decommissioning of the facility!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
There should be absolutely no delay in decommissioning. The DCDEP or another entity should be continued through cessation of operations and also through entire decommissioning process. Technical experts should definitely be included.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
Assets acquired through ratepayers should be returned to ratepayers, not given back to PG&E to clean up the mess we didn't want to begin with.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
Last statement is confusing. Can't find anything in documentation to clarify.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
11/30/2018

Name (Optional)
Chuck Tribbey

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Sierra Club

Comment
The Santa Lucia Chapter of the Sierra Club commends the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel for crafting a Draft Strategic Vision that clearly states the longstanding desire of the people of San Luis Obispo County for the preservation of the lands surrounding the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant as natural habitat and open space.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Andrew "Drew" Cook

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Retired State Employee

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Plant should be left in shutdown in case power is needed

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
The plant's shutdown will not reduce danger to the community. All the used fuel rods will remain there due to environmental regulations. In addition, the highly trained staff will be gone. DCPP is the largest employer in the county, with the highest paid salaries. The loss of that income will devastate the county, and put business in a recession. STOP THE SHUTDOWN!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
TAMI RABSKA

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Quit over regulating

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Lisa O'Niahll

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I am pleased at the initial steps and statements made by PG&E and my main concerns (Conservation, lost jobs, re-repatriation for Native Americans) seem to be being dealt with as well as possible. I am also pleased to see the possibility of its use consistent with something similar to Montano de Oro State Park.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Paul Burri

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Can't help wondering WHY PGE wants to decommission it. Is it because it's unsafe? Or because it is no longer fiscally viable/profitable? Also, how effective is the storage of nuclear waste and how effective and safe will be their disposal? (To where?)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
Don't know.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
don't know

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments
Don't know

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments
Glowing, radio-active sage brush will be interesting to hike trhough.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Mark McNeil

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Desalination facility would be great

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Desalination

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Kathy Longacre

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
San Luis Obispo Parks Open Space and Trails Foundation, Trails Alliance SLO

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
I strongly support conservation of all 12,000 acres with 2 non motorized trails, interior and coastal, protecting the natural resources.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
The DCDEP has done a great job but the process is long and time consuming and it is much more than a volunteer panel should be asked to commit to. I strongly recommend the CPUC pursue a advisory panel with funding and a stronger voice.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
It is going to be a long and costly process. I hope to see the conservation of Wild Cherry Canyon put to the top of the list as a "saleable" asset and negotiations with both Eureka Energy (PG&E) and Home Fed started as soon as possible.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Thank you to all the DCDEP's hard work, they have done an excellent outreach to the public.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Kathleen Phelps

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Public Lands Director, Los Padres Unit, Backcountry Horsemen Of California

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Re-purposed facilities should generate income sufficient to maintain them and hopefully replace lost tax revenue to SLO County.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Land should be managed by one agency (National or State Park, Land Conservancy, etc) with the experience & ability to conserve large tracks of wildlands, establish a trail system & trailheads, and make them available for the public's use & enjoyment (including Native Americans). Trails & trailheads should be established as soon as practicable & made available for multi-use to equestrians, hikers, runners and mountain bikers. There should not be separate entities managing the lands. Grazing or other uses should also be managed by this one agency.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Support

Comments
Facility repurposing should help to grow the economy of SLO County when possible.

General Comments or Questions
The Backcountry Horsemen of California, Los Padres Unit has over 200 members. We support of conservation of the 12,000 acres, including public access on the two multi use trails. Please make it clear that the coastal and inland multi-use trails include equestrian use, as multi use does not always include Equestrian use. Please establish a Trailhead parking area that will accommodate horse trailers; and some trails that link the coastal and inland multi use trails so loops of various lengths can be made.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Betty Hartig

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Concerned Citizens for Avila

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Avila has been the home of the Diablo Nuclear Plant since 1985. We ask for mindful decisions regarding the impacts on Avila during and after the decommissioning process. Avila has only a solitary road for ingress and egress. Safety and respect for the community and environment of Avila is critical. We ask for the following recommendations to be strengthened:

Under Section A

- Recommend to the CPUC that PG&E be directed to thoroughly investigate and evaluate potential for both ship and truck transport of dismantled facilities from the site and communicate findings to the DCDEP and CPUC.
- Recommend to the CPUC that PG&E be directed to avoid transporting any demolished facilities and materials through Avila and surrounding communities during times of peak traffic
- Recommend to the CPUC that PG&E be directed to avoid transporting radioactive spent fuel through Avila and surrounding communities during times of peak traffic

Under Section C

- Recommend that the CPUC direct PG&E to ensure that a management/access plan for the Diablo Canyon Lands is developed when transferring land that at a minimum includes, a multiuse non-motorized trail system, restricted access in the sensitive intertidal zone, low intensity rotational grazing, habitat restoration, protection of cultural sites and minimizing traffic through Avila and surrounding communities' times of peak traffic

Under Section D

- Recommend that the CPUC require PG&E or a successor interest to incorporate into leases the requirement that uses do not generate traffic through Avila and surrounding communities during times of peak traffic

Thank you for your considerations.
Betty Hartig, President
Concerned Citizens for Avila

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Donna Niles

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
Is there a residual effect after radiological contamination is removed? Can it penetrate the ground and rise to the surface at a later date or affect ground water even after removal?

General Comments or Questions
The document reflects an excellent job and congratulations are in order. I understand Diablo Canyon is surrounded by earthquake faults and one is strongly predicted. What preparations are in place in the event of an earthquake during decommissioning? Is this a good time to consider widening the exit roads?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Eleanor Crane

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
I feel Diablo Canyon property is separate from properties owned by other entities and should not be commingled in decisions. Diablo Cayon's 12,000 acres should remain undeveloped and separate.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
As a former employee at DCPP, I desire the land to remain open and wild. If the buildings can be repurposed without impacting the surrounding acreage, I Jane no objection.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Carolyn Shepherd

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
Preserve the surrounding lands with minimal recreation development.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
Existing fragile above ground storage casks need to be substantially hardened to protect against breakage by earthquake and terrorist activity. Renewable solar, wind, and wave action energy production should be incorporated to serve local communities.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Mark Frink

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
It would be great if land, utilities, and de-Sal water could be provided for Pacific Wildlife Rescue. The facility could be expanded beyond its Morro Bay size and could become an educational center for the community to understand the native wildlife in our region.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
It would be great if land, utilities, and de-Sal water could be provided for Pacific Wildlife Rescue. The facility could be expanded beyond its Morro Bay size and could become an educational center for the community to understand the native wildlife in our region.

General Comments or Questions

Is there any way the de-Sal water could be routed to the 5 cities area?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Trish Wilson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
I have lived under the threat and danger of this plant for too long. We do not need to be dependent on nuclear energy.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
ollie appel

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Mr.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Randall Rightmire

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
John

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Resident of slo couty

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Potentially harmful energy needs to go. No dangerous successors and no irresponsible or toxic replacements.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
The people not a committee should decide the fate of the plant and its lands.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Native peoples interests should be recognized, along with public access.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments
The facility should be dismantled except for roads and parking.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/1/2018

Name (Optional)
Wallace Mccray

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Landscape Architect, ASLA

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
What administion or who makes the decision to accept, or modify these words

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Adjectives or words like " mostly, some" are subjective..Use of percent of "elected officials..ie 22 percent of EO, or 10 percent of Env is specific and tied it down better.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
When do or can the words "should be" be edited to read "shall be or maybe or will be, can be" is there a time table when?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
One agency should take the lead. There should be a project management design, or implementation team of 5 folks max. Each agency or interest group to have 1to 3 member review members meeting at a sustainable time intervals.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Strongly Support

Comments
Yes identify and retain un contamsted structures..When does a team of technical planners start to "draw" or identify the natural existing land zones, use access areas, wild only, etc ON a physical map.?

General Comments or Questions
These great well written words all need a visual chart showing who, what, when and who is responsible to implement all these recommendations. Simply put.make the process look clean..and easy to read.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Mark Henry

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The closure of Diablo Canyon will be a social and economic disaster for our community, which stands to lose over $700 million in local tax revenue over a 20-year extension, billions more in indirect economic benefits, well over a thousand high-paying jobs, and the closure will force the relocation of hundreds of our neighbors and their families who have lived and worked in our community for decades. The politically-influenced CPUC should not have allowed the closure, and their decision needs to be investigated and reversed.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The land is private property, and the public has no legal interest unless the public is is willing to purchase ownership, which will not be possible due to the severe economic impact of the loss of revenue upon the community.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Strongly Oppose

Comments
The public has no legal interest in the property, so any discussion is moot.

General Comments or Questions
For the sake of our community and the environment, the CPUC decision to allow the closure of Diablo Canyon must be reversed.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Jean Z

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
It is essential that the CPUC ensure the establishment of a panel of technical, financial & environmental experts as well as local government officials (at the bare minimum), empowered to monitor and guarantee this process is funded adequately and able to perform its duties for the next 75 years at least. Projects like these often degenerate to non-existence over time. That would be unacceptable and unsafe. If, at the end of 75 years, there are NO ongoing or new issues, the panel can be disempowered/dissolved with a unanimous vote of its members and/or a vote by the public in the affected counties with at least 60% of voters in support of its dissolution.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
I do NOT support that PGE should be the entity researching the most cost-effective methods for the decommission of Diablo Canyon. This process demands more objectivity than this. Allowing PGE to sell assets such as any of the Diablo Canyon Lands to cover the costs they knew would exist in order to decommission is unfair to the ratepayers.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
I think an independent and trustworthy organization such as the Land Conservancy of SLO is a good guardian for the lands. The other agencies mentioned are generally dependent on funding from the

public as they are government agencies. This land needs to have a guarantee of its status in perpetuity and not rely on the unpredictable financial status of government (witness funding issues of the Ca State Park System). A single agency managing the land is ideal; carving out parcels for different entities to manage is certain to generate conflict. The Land Conservancy of SLO appears to be the only non-governmental agency available in the list above.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
I'm unclear on what Parcel P is. I did not see it on any of the maps.

General Comments or Questions
I think the best use of the Diablo Canyon Lands and buildings would be maintaining open land for the public to enjoy, developing the facility into education and research facilities and some type of education facility for Native American history. I do not think it would be a good site for a mental health facility given there are safety issues with the on-going storage of radioactive materials there. As an educational/nature facility, security might be easier since such facilities could be closed at night (or at least have very limited access). Additionally, I cannot stress enough the need to ensure adequate funding and monitoring for many years into the future. If we have learned anything about large infrastructure in CA, it is that we have to plan for the future of all large projects (eg maintain, rebuild, replace bridges - not adequately planned for and now we have many unsafe bridges...) Finally, I think that this draft document has many fine recommendations, but none of them are guarantees or commitments. Asking PGE to commit to anything that may increase their expenses seems pointless. PGE will want to minimize its costs. The people of SLO and SB counties will have to stand firm to get what they want.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Tom F Delaney

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
ron roach

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
CCCMB

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The land should be not be owned by anyone group not even local Native American community , It should be public property open to all.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
We need a stronger re-purpose vision with a absolute commitment to sustainable energy like wind a solar.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Doesn't seem appropriate for PG&E to appoint members

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Pamela Reading

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I am not sure I totally understand what the alternative vision is an alternative of. Based on the information provided above, it is my understanding that the engagement panel is only active for 3 years and then will be terminated. The alternative vision is proposing to establish an advisory panel that will remain active for the life of decommissioning. If my understanding is correct, I strongly support an active citizenry in the decommissioning of DCPP for a longer period of time.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

I would like to thank all the members of the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel for their time and hard work in helping to establish a vision for the future of the DCPP and surrounding lands. This is critical work and your sacrifice of time and energy is an invaluable gift to the community. Thank you again.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Lynn JOhnson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
i am glad for the decommissioning...it is crazy to have a

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
i am glad for the decomissioning. there should never have been a nuclear power plant near an earthquake fault

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Diablo neds to be shut down asap. Workers tobe offered opportunities to work
in the clen/green energy field. ie: installing windmills, working on solar installations.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Energy production should completely abandon (nationwide0 nuclear production and fossil fuels (oil).
Workers to be rettrianed in solar and wind energy production

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Decommissioning,fine. But costs should not be passed on to the rate paying public. Ideally the new entity providing energy to the area (or state) should be nationalized (ie run by the state of California), taken out of private hands.
The PUC has never really been able to control enormous companies like PG & E,
or Edison.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Preservation, yes, but there is room here for solar plants and wind farms, without harm to the environment or sacred Indian sites.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
Repurposing , yes. BUT do not leave that in the hands of PG & E.
The public and its/ our representatives should decide IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

General Comments or Questions
You hav emy answers.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Adrianne Davis

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Fuel pools of spent fuel rods must be amply hydrated on a continual basis/schedule and be safely protected. This stuff must stay in place until it can be safely transported to an even safer location if there is one and guarded for a million years!

Give all those who have worked or are presently working at the plant the opportunity to work in an efficient decommissioning that doesn't drag in time to complete. There needs to be a firm time schedule for this enterprise. Citizens must not pay for a forty-five to sixty-five year completion date. The 13 faults will not wait.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Barry A Price

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Mr.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
The major focus appears to be on economic interests, but other values must also be addressed fully, particularly environmental, social, and tribal values. Repairing the scarred landscape, healing psycho-spiritual effects on the local Chumash community, and preventing further scarring should have equal weight with resolving the economic impacts of closure.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
Same comments as above.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
There should be a clear prohibition on the sale of any Diablo Canyon lands to any private entity.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

Strongly support the yak tityu tityu yak tilhini Northern Chumash Tribe's request for facilities and property. Any gift of facilities and/or property should be accompanied by financial remuneration sufficient to maintain such facilities.

General Comments or Questions

To help mitigate the economic impacts of closure and decommissioning, complete preference should be given to local businesses when contracting for environmental, engineering, construction, and all other services. General hiring/employment also should favor local residents and unionized trades. There should be a concerted, public, and effective effort to employ those who are currently unemployed and unhoused in our County. Only when there are absolutely and demonstrably no local sources for services and labor should PG&E be allowed to engage non-local, non-union resources.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
Support the concept of an one overarching body, but including mostly elected officials may lead to turnover which would be detrimental to continuity and effectiveness.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
strongly support public preservation and access to surrounding lands

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Strongly support repurposing facilities rather than destruction

General Comments or Questions

Thanks to the panel for their hard work. However, very disappointed in the lack of time given for the public to consider and provide input on the important topics covered by this dense 15-page report. Also very disappointed that this is being done piecemeal when all of the items relating to closure have not been considered by the panel, particularly long-term storage of fuel and economic impacts of plant closure.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Richard Wishner

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
In the near term additional desalination production capability should be installed and use Diablo power.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Marlene Mills

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
-

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I am pleased that the decommissioning will be done safely and completely.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Kyle Ahlgren

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Leadership SLO, Class 25

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Donald L. Athon Jr.

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
These should be public lands. Please no commercial enterprises.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Randy Knight, Ph.D.

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
1. I strongly support your vision for the Diablo Canyon lands. The public sentiment - that these lands should be protected forever for their scenic/natural value and for public use - was as near to unanimous as I've ever heard. These are truly unique lands, and PG&E's efforts to steward and preserve them over the last 30 years are to be commended. It's now time to ensure that these lands are protected in perpetuity.

2. The marine resources are not as readily visible as the land resources but are just as spectacular and unique. Every effort should be made to give equal permanent protection to the marine resources. The marina, in particular, should not be turned into a public marina for boat launching or storage. Not only does such intensive use threaten nearby marine resources, but this is an extremely rough and dangerous coastline. There are two well-protected harbors very close by, so there's no justification for any use of the marina other than by specialized facilities (e.g. a marine mammal rescue center) or as a port of safety. Efforts should be undertaken to ensure that the coastal ecosystems and marine mammals receive full protection.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/2/2018

Name (Optional)
Douglas Tait

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
I am in agreement, and support the recommendation that the 12,000 acres that surround the DCCP should be conserved while allowing for managed public access – emphasis on the work "managed".
The Vison Statement – "The public should be ensured access to the greatest extent possible, while protecting and preserving sensitive habitats, cultural sites, and other resources. That statement has two goals that could contradict one another. The first goal of ensuring access to the greatest extent possible, and the second goal of protecting and preserving sensitive habitats, cultural sites and other resources may be difficult to accomplish simultaneously. I believe that one goal would need to take precedent over the other goal, and in my opinion 'protecting and preserving' trumps 'allowing access to the greatest extent possible'.
Having said that, one proven method on how the two goals could work together would be to follow the proven land stewardship methods of PG&E. PG&E has recognized that access must be managed to protect and preserve the spectacular resources and character of these Diablo lands.

In the 'Land Transfer and Use' section. . . the Diablo Canyon Lands should be owned and managed by a conservation entity or entities experienced in land management, resource protection, and managed public use – is critical. I do not believe California State Parks would be a wise choice, and the best fit to manage these lands. I say this from experiencing the state's management of Montana de Oro State Park. Many of the sensitive habitats of Montana de Oro have been overrun with visitors, the park's trails are lacking in maintenance, there are vehicle parking issues on most weekends, and enforcement due to staffing is lacking.
I believe we would lose what make these Diablo Lands so special – it's wildness – if these 12,000 acres were an extension of Montana de Oro State Park.

Also, under the section 'Land Transfer and Use'. . . the establishment of two trails – one along the Pecho Coast, and one through the interior seems like a good choice to provide a diverse group of trail users opportunities for renewal, discovery, and learning. However, as noted in the draft, it is critical that the coastal trail be protected to a higher degree to ensure the conservation of the more fragile marine, tidal, and coastal environment. That protection 'to a higher degree' can be accomplished in part by a strong, enforceable managed access program.
In the interior, and along a proposed interior trail, camping should be prohibited not only as inconsistent with resource protection, but also because of increasing fire danger in California.

Cultural Heritage. As the Chumash, who occupied these lands for thousands of years, remind us. . . "all life is a gift". Now is the time to return the favor by working with the Native American community to explore ideas that achieve the goals of conservation and managed public use, with the needs of the Chumash People. I endorse the idea brought forth of a Chumash Cultural Center.
Marine Facilities. I agree that the long-term health of the marine ecosystem and coastal areas should continue to be monitored by PG&E throughout the decommissioning process. I believe that this is important not only near the power plant, but also north along the Pecho Coast. Cooperation with the California Dept. of Fish and Wildlife in monitoring the Marine Protected Area, (MPA), adjacent to Diablo Lands is also of importance.

Regarding the Alternative Vison brought forth by Alex Karlin. I do agree that any Decommissioning Advisory Panel, (DAP), should be sustainable and vigilant for the long haul of decommissioning. However, I do believe incorporating local volunteers – with their passion – along with technical and resource experts, and government officials would provide a strong and robust DAP that Mr. Karlin advocates.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
sybil ashley

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
I think 2025 is a ridiculously long time to shut down the plant.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Since wind, solar and other sustainable energies are now technically available and the technology can be taught to workers, it is imperative that we not wait until 2025 to shut down this old, dangerous and dirty plant. Unfortunately, there are employees in the community who would rather be dead than take a possible small cut in their big paychecks. Let us rise above this argument and be leaders in the GREEN NEW DEAL which will transform our energy systems worldwide. The residents, children, wildlife and marine life will all be around to enjoy the fruits of this labor.

Thank you for your outreach to the public for their opinions and comments.
Let it not be in vain.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Andrea K. Lueker

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Port San Luis Harbor District

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
Please see general comments (below) were you find a link to Port San Luis Harbor District presentation on their interest in Diablo Canyon Lands, Facilities and Equipment.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
Please see general comments (below) were you find a link to Port San Luis Harbor District presentation on their interest in Diablo Canyon Lands, Facilities and Equipment.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments
Please see general comments (below) were you find a link to Port San Luis Harbor District presentation on their interest in Diablo Canyon Lands, Facilities and Equipment.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments
Please see general comments (below) were you find a link to Port San Luis Harbor District presentation on their interest in Diablo Canyon Lands, Facilities and Equipment.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments
Please see general comments (below) were you find a link to Port San Luis Harbor District presentation on their interest in Diablo Canyon Lands, Facilities and Equipment.

General Comments or Questions

On Saturday, September 15, 2018, the Harbor District participated in one of 4 public workshops hosted by the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel [DCDEP] to gather public input on potential future uses of Diablo Canyon lands and facilities. The DCDEP was created to foster open and transparent dialogue between members of the local community and PG&E on matters related to the upcoming Diablo Canyon Power Plant decommissioning in 2025.

On behalf of the Harbor Commission, Harbor Manager Andrea Lueker attended the September 15th workshop and presented identified Diablo Canyon/PG&E assets of interest for possible use by Port San Luis Harbor District. A copy of that presentation is available for review here and provides a broad brush approach to the interests of the Harbor District whose property is directly adjacent to the plant. The District is looking forward to further discussions on their interests before a final decision is made on the disposition of the land, facilities and equipment.

http://www.portsanluis.com/CivicAlerts.aspx?AID=135

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Brent Jorgensen

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Creekside Environmental Consulting, LLC

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
I look forward to applying for a position on the new DAP if it materializes and hopefully being instrumental in adding constructive input to the redevelopment of the Diablo Canyon site.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Craig Chandler

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
The facility should not be decommissioned...California needs its own energy generation resources.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
This is bureaucratic baloney.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
If repurposed, find a way to generate more energy within California.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Use this remote land to construct a new form of energy generation.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

I'm looking for one answer...how/where will we generate power to replace that lost with the decommissioning of Diablo?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
I have toured Diablo. It's doing very well and will save us on more electricity expenses.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
It will mean more spending of our taxes for more public offices dealing with the removal of it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Government always spends more than private companies.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Flo Gordon

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
None

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
I agree that "the Diablo Canyon Lands should be owned and managed by a conservation entity or entities, such as State or National Parks, the Wildlands Conservancy, the San Luis Obispo Land Conservancy...". Developers should not be allowed to build private homes or hotels there,

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
I oppose and support some of the items intentioned in the decommissioning process. Timing, and how many people will be placed on the unemployment rolls should be highly considered.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments
Tax payers SHOULD NOT have to suffer because of increased taxes. Those whose bright ideal this was should be solely responsible for the funding.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
It is NATIVE lands and that should be a priority consideration as far as future activities. Preserve the land for museums and other historical places

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
With the exception of the last paragraph. NOTHING should be developed there.

General Comments or Questions
Long term repercussions should be considered. Future generations, over exposure to what was left there, even though land is supposed to be safe should be considered. The dollar amount received for selling or use of the land for private companies should not be considered. Those companies build hotels, homes, then 15-20 years down the line, people die from exposure or develope cancer.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
I think that this is a good start. I am especially interested in the preservation of permanent open space, immediate start on decommissioning, and repurposing of buildings. It would be great if we could get wind power in the ocean and solar panels on all houses and use Diablo as a power collector and distribution center.

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Ms.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments
The customers will pay no matter what. We have already paid 2/3 of the funds. Why not apportion the amount that we have paid and will pay and give us proportionate shares of PG&E stock?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Bill Doak

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
We have an opportunity to do something special by placing the Diablo Canyon lands into a conservancy, the benefits of which will be enjoyed for generations in perpetuity. There is no better course of action for this environmental treasure, ironically protected for decades by the placement of a nuclear power plant. If part of our responsibility is to future generations (our posterity), then the conservation of these 12,000 acres very well could be our greatest public act in San Luis Obispo County.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Andy Greensfelder

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Retired

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
See below - I favor points in the alternative vision

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Harvey Schwartzman

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments
See my comments under facility re-purposing to insure the vision statement must include possible additional sources of funds from 3rd party buyers/leasers/on-going operators of the existing electric grid & desalination plant.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments
The vision statement must detail the specific plans, options, US Navy waivers, timelines & RFQ's to insure continued use of the existing 230KV & 500KV electrical grid in conjunction with installing either or

both off-shore & on-shore wind turbine/generators. The same applies to use @ full capacity of the desalination plant.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
chris cusworth

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
self interest and community

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
I grew up in this area my whole life with the fear of that falling into the ocean on a huge earth shake. I felt they always needed to depend on solar and water energy. We need to consider the safety of our environment. I am glad we are all moving forward with new technology.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Jill

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
I think that the DCDEP should continue - in some form - after decommissioning; there will still be much to monitor and many decisions to be made.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
It appears that the current panel has been working well, and that the panelists are putting in a tremendous amount of time. They must educate themselves and collaborate with others. I believe that assigning elected officials to this task would be less effective because these working people are too busy with their paid jobs! If there are problems with certain individuals, perhaps there can be a process of replacement.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments
I understand that PG&E intends to use decommissioning funds for the bonus pay to retain employees. Although important, I strongly agree that the money put aside for decommissioning should be protected.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
It would be wonderful if those beautiful lands could be preserved in perpetuity for the enjoyment and recreation of all. I would like them to be managed to ensure sustainability.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I would like to see Diablo Canyon shut down before 2024-2025. I assert that the power is not needed and that safety may be compromised as we get closer to those years due to employee loss and the cost incentives to delay needed maintenance and repair.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments
Without knowing what Parcel P includes, I can’t really answer this.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
Can’t make an intelligent recommendation, not knowing which buildings are included and which are excluded from Parcel P area.

General Comments or Questions
The decommissioning panel must be independent from PG&E and must not be selected by PG&E or its proxies.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
Can’t make an intelligent recommendation, not knowing which buildings are included and which are excluded from Parcel P area.

General Comments or Questions
The decommissioning panel must be independent from PG&E and must not be selected by PG&E or its proxies.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Linda Allen

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
We will loose precious electricity. Many men and women will loose there jobs. BAD idea. I worked there during an outage. It is a sad day for San Luis Obispo County. BAD decision for California.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
It does not discuss the ideas of Jobs and electric bills.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
JOBS AND ELECTRICITY

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Timothy Kensinger

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
A lot of thought has gone into this paper. I do not agree with separating portions of the land exclusively for special interest groups. It should remain a treasure for all Californians in total. It also does not address the perpetual maintenance and operation of the switchyards necessary for projects and which should remain PG&E property.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
I do not agree with separating the land for exclusive use of special interests.
That only creates discontent on all sides. This treasure should be for the use of all Californians in total.
Recognized sacred areas such as graves should be protected using established protocol of the National Park Service.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Neutral

Comments
It seems to ignore any repurposing for industrial development besides wind farms that would pretty much ruin the asthetics of the whole property.

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Mary Ann Foster

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
I respectfully recommend that the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel consider the results of the recent findings of the Fourth National Climate Assessment and its dire warnings regarding drought and water shortages in California. With this in mind, and considering that Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant's purpose was to serve a public need for low cost, clean energy, it seems congruent and particularly timely to consider an expansion of the on-site desalination plant (an estimated $58 million in 2014 Prop. 1 water bond funds are still available) to serve the future needs of the community and to also consider alternative energy uses—solar and/or wind farms. Cal Poly's Science, Engineering, Environmental Sciences Departments could be involved in creating the on-site renewable energy research and development facility as well.

I do not belong to any special interest group. I relocated to SLO in 1985 with my 6 children in order to provide them with a healthier life. I am an attorney specializing in juvenile law.

Thank you for the opportunity to express my thoughts on your draft report.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
David Georgi

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
After Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant is finally closed, it is essential to provide public access to Wild Cherry Canyon. The area is vital for local and tourism recreation and to honor the Chumash people who lived there for thousands of years. The land needs to be protected from development which would be disastrous when the threats (fire, earthquake, tsunami, debris slides, terrorism) are combined with the lack of access or escape in the event of a large emergency. Connecting recreational lands from Montaña de Oro State Park to Morro Bay parks would have enormous value for the local economy and culture.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Larry Parker

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
The second bullet under safety concerns me as a customer and local resident. It describes how the spent fuel should be stored. The current independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) has already been licensed by the NRC and largely implemented. The current wording "... should be stored in the safest and most technologically advanced manner possible..." is irresponsible. It could be interpreted to require re-packaging the fuel that is already in dry storage because some vendor is advertising a new and "safer" storage system. Unnecessarily handling of the used fuel is neither safer nor cost effective.

The following wording meets the intent, without being susceptible to abuse.

The ISFSI will continue to be secured, monitored and maintained in accordance with the license, applicable regulations and regulatory commitments. When feasible, cost effective and safe alternative(s) become available, high priority should be given to remove the spent fuel from the site.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/3/2018

Name (Optional)
Brad Snook

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Surfrider - SLO

Comment
Surfrider Foundation is dedicated to the protection and enjoyment of the world's ocean, waves, and beaches through a powerful activist network. Surfrider Foundation's San Luis Obispo chapter appreciates the efforts of the volunteers on the Engagement Panel, and we value the opportunity to comment on the visions, goals, and recommendations of the DCDEP. Our comments below are focused on promoting a vision for the Diablo Canyon that strives for conservation of its natural resources and promotes effective governance and stewardship of the County's ocean, waves, and beaches.
As Surfrider Foundation volunteers at the County level, our chapter is extremely familiar with the challenges and responsibilities our volunteers encounter, and we are in general support of the point made by Alex Karlin in his Alternate Vision: CPUC should charter a more robust and sustainable Advisory Panel. Our chapter values the important decision making that will take place in the next several decades and the DCDEP has offered a good start to this process. However, various powerful and conflicting interests (political and economic) are involved and the stakes are high. Building a more robust and accountable Advisory Panel, financially supported by the CPUC as PG& E's long-term financial interests in DCPP decrease, could make more of DCDEP's long-range goals attainable. So, we ask the DCDEP to reconsider this point and follow Mr. Karlin's advice.
Our chapter also recognizes the challenges an Advisory Panel will face regarding limitations of permitting from the California State Lands Commission, California Coastal Commission, and Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. Citizens from outside SLO County also have an interest in protecting these resources, and our path forward will necessarily be consistent with decisions these agencies have made elsewhere. "Repurposing", in many cases, will require re-permitting. As an example, the desalination facility functions as a part of the Once-Through Cooling (OTC) system's ocean intake and outfall. Because of the operational mixing of desalination brine with the OTC outfall, the environmental impact of the brine is
minimal. However, in the future, when the OTC system is no longer operational, the desalination plant will face the same obstacles all desalination plants face: What will it do with all that brine?
Our chapter believes the DCDEP should recommend a potential partnership for water and sewer services with Avila Beach Community Services District (CSD). The CSD already has a water source (Lopez Lake and State Water Project) but has been unable to finance tertiary treatment for wastewater recycling. We believe the filtration system from DCPP's desalination plant could be dismantled and repurposed for wastewater recycling, and the ocean outfall at Diablo could be phased out (thereby eliminating the cost of re-permitting the intake and outfall for desalination purposes). Also, recycling water is less energy intensive than ocean

desalination.
Further, the ocean, waves, and beaches of SLO County have been impacted for decades by DCPP's OTC system. When the system is dismantled, our chapter does not believe stakeholders should allow the coastal scars of OTC to remain, while the utility (in this case, PG&E) is not held accountable for reinstating the original character and functionality of the impacted coast. So, we ask the DCDEP to recommend PG&E restores the coastline presently impacted by Diablo's OTC system to match (insofar as practicable) the surrounding coast for character and functionality.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
I strongly believe on the positive, clean, and efficient use of nuclear energy and believe it is better than oil as a major energy source.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Costs should never be prioritized over safety.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I strongly believe that the sooner Diablo Canyon is shut down, the better it will be for the community as well as for the decommissioning process. Also spent fuel storage should be changed to the more robust international standard of casks immediately. Although they will cost more right now, in the end, it will

prove to be far less costly to PG&E. We are already learning from the mistakes SCE have made regarding SONGS. I appreciate that there will be an opportunity for community input regarding spent fuel in 2019.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)
Peter W Hunt

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
Diablo Canyon provides clean and efficient energy at a reasonable cost to the middle class. Please do not shut it down.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Diablo Canyon provides clean and efficient energy at a reasonable cost to the middle class. Please do not shut it down.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Diablo Canyon provides clean and efficient energy at a reasonable cost to the middle class. Please do not shut it down.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

Diablo Canyon provides clean and efficient energy at a reasonable cost to the middle class. Please do not shut it down.

General Comments or Questions

Diablo Canyon provides clean and efficient energy at a reasonable cost to the middle class. Please do not shut it down.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Oppose

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
The sooner PG&E closes diablo canyon and relocates spent nuclear waste the better off the whole central coast will. Santa Barbara is just downwind from the disaster of a nuclear accident. As far as PG&E customers paying for the decommission, it's obscene that they reap all the profits and then raise rates to pay for their mistake....it's not unlike our equipment caused the fire but now we must raise rates to pay for the damage done by the fire....ridiculous
Get rid of PG&E's excuses and don't expect the public to pay for every mistake they make....treat them just like you treat the oil companies..
Horribly, shamefully bad...
Oil spill...clean up and move on. Nuclear disaster...pay the price for 100's of years. Common sense is lacking in all levels of government!!!!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)
Randy Derhammer

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Sierra club Audubon Society

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
We live in an earthquake prone area making a possible catastrophe of our area in the case of an earthquake of a strong magnitude. Another problem is nuclear waste which can't be stored safely.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)
Watson Gooch

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Oppose

Comments
I strongly believe the Point Buchon trail should continue to be managed similar to how it is currently managed: open to the public Thursday - Monday; closed on Tuesday & Wednesday. In addition, the public (pedestrians only) should continue to be required to check in at the kiosk and be informed that all are required to stay on existing trails and beach access is limited to Coon Creek Beach and informed about wildlife and habitat related updates, Etc.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)
Jamie Brady

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Citizen

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
60 years waiting for cleanup is ridiculous- it should start immediately with a clear vision of completion within a 10yr timeline and independent advisory council for overseeing federal and state standards!

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Cpuc stands for what exactly? Not specifically noted in overview.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Protection of land, oceans, people, animals, and marine life should be major factors to consider.

General Comments or Questions

What cpuc stands for.

Total costs for cleanup- bearances on pg&e and localities should be spelled out for public information.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)
Linda Poppenheimer

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
It would be prudent to plan for expended fuel to remain on site indefinitely as the federal government is not even close to having a solution.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I am in favor of the DAP alternative because I think there needs to be a specific organization responsible for seeing through the decommissioning process over the decades it will take. Including local community members, indigenous people, and environmentalists are important.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Since ratepayers are funding the decommissioning process, there needs to be oversight to ensure PG&E is making appropriate decisions for ratepayers and not just focusing on their investors.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

Dismantling infrastructure is an imprecise activity that will generate a ginormous amount of waste and air pollution. Thousands of truckloads navigating through Avila Beach and on Highway 101 seems dangerous and extremely disruptive to residents and visitors. An environmental impact statement should be completed. Negative economic impacts on businesses and tourism need to be considered, too.

Repurposing the facilities seems like a good idea. Since there is already a power plant on the site, it should be considered for renewable energy wind or solar project.

General Comments or Questions

I appreciate the efforts of the DCDEP. Thank you. I do think you could improve your efforts to get the word out in the community.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)
Greg Bettencourt

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Central Coast Concerned Mountain Bikers

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Carefully designed trail systems (SLO City, SLO County, State Parks, LCSLO- Pismo Preserve) all over SLO County and the U.S. have proven that public access and protection of natural and cultural resources are compatible.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)
Jennifer Jozwiak

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Surfrider and CCCMB

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I concur with the proposal to allow multi-user access to trails and protect Native Chumash artifacts and sites. However, I disagree with the proposed potential usage of desalinated water, as desal is a practice

known to be detrimental to marine life and ecosystems. An improved water filtration system should be researched in the meantime prior to decomissioning.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)
Dan Thompson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
CCCMA

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I applaud your work.
I would hope that the land would not be developed with homes.

Johnson Ranch/Irish Hills connector for recreation use to the coast would be a extremely nice addition to MDO and invite many out of the area out door enthusiasts.
I have my solar electric panels working well to offset the eventual rate hike.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Open space is critical to the well being of this community

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)
Christie Withers

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
I recently toured the area around the plant. I'm a 5th generation Californian, a hiker and camper, and I wish to see more camping opportunities in our area. The coast line their is so beautiful we should allow sensitive opportunities to all Californians to get out and see it. No large RVs, but tent and small trailer access and day use! Thank you for the opportunity to be heard!

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)
Mark Tomes

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
I am in general support of the Engagement Panel's recommendations for what to do with the Diablo/PG&E lands after the power plant closes, although I suggesting restricting the use of the land more than the panel recommended, to wit, a majority of the land should be treated as wilderness, i.e., allow public access, but only via foot traffic - no bicycles, no horses, and certainly no motorized vehicles. Other lands, especially close to the coast, should be open to foot and bicycle traffic. In other words, all the lands should be returned to public ownership and management, but also most of it should be managed to allow it to return to its natural state of coastal marine terrace and scrubland, and forever protected as such.

I especially concur with the recommendation that any Native American cultural or religious sites be protected and managed by the local Chumash tribal leaders.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/4/2018

Name (Optional)
Joel Orth

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
Thank you for working on the Diablo Decommissioning project. While there are many complicated issues surrounding the plant's decommissioning, I was happy to see an emphasis on protecting this coastal landscape. I would like to strongly advocate for including an extensive trail network through the decommissioned property and connecting Montana de Oro with Port San Luis. This should include multiuse trails and DIRECTIONAL BIKE ONLY trails. This would increase participation and use, and allow many eyes on the land while minimizing off-trail impacts.

I would provide work hours and financial support to make this happen. I know many other San Luis Obispo County residents that feel likewise.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/5/2018

Name (Optional)
Eric Greening

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments
There is reference in the plan to insuring the safest possible movement of dismantled and demolished buildings, and ultimately of high-level waste. No actual DESTINATION for any of these things is given. If any of these things is to be moved, we should take responsibility for risks and impacts to all communities enroute to said destination, and at the destination, whatever the distance, with analysis of the entire route and identification of the means of transportation to be used.

Everything besides the fuel elements that constitute "high-level waste" is labelled "low-level" but that does not mean the risks of movement and ultimate storage are trivial. At one time, it was envisioned that "low-level" materials would be taken to Ward Valley in the Mojave Desert; this plan was rightly abandoned. Currently, some "low-level" waste is being stored in salt formations in southeastern New Mexico, and problems have developed there; it is not assured that this repository will continue to receive waste. Many communities lie along routes between here and there. If we are going to impose risks on those communities, we should alert them and give them a voice, including a meaningful voice of refusal if safety can't be assured.

There is not yet, and may never be, a national repository to take high-level waste. Billions were spent studying Yucca Mountain, Nevada, and further work was ultimately abandoned due to geology far more active and porous than had been hoped, although there are political pressures to restart work on this money pit. Were a repository to open there or anywhere, transportation of high-level waste by any means and any route entails huge risks. Communities on which those risks would be imposed need a voice in any decisions we make locally that could affect them.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

I do support conservation of all the lands that have served as a buffer to the nuclear plant, including Wild Cherry Canyon. While there have been conceptual development proposals floated as trail balloons, and development interests have not gone away, it is unconscionable to seriously entertain any of these proposals in the wake of the holocaust at Paradise, which offered more egress for escaping wildfire than any planned development in the Diablo area has to date, and in which nonetheless dozens of people were incinerated in their cars while seeking in vain to escape. To approve any development, local government is required to make health and safety findings, and these findings cannot be made here. Providing multiple egress options would be hugely expensive, and might still be inadequate for a fast-spreading wildfire in our changing climate. For this reason, conservation must be seen as the ONLY option, and the main question becomes under what entity and with what regulations would it happen.

The ideal situation would be a national seashore onshore abutting the Chumash Heritage National Marine Sanctuary offshore. Chumash co-stewardship should be formalized both offshore and onshore, given the massive disruption of cultural resources that attended the construction of the nuclear plant, and the abiding importance of this area to its original inhabitants. (The word "Diablo" in a part of California colonized by the Spanish generally indicates places the indigenous people sought to protect from the profaning presence of outsiders by seeking to frighten them away by warning them of what they were most afraid of: the devil.)

Because of the lack of human disturbance, much of the buffer zone has become a refuge for all sorts of wildlife. Any public access must be subserviant to the importance of this refuge for species for which such places of refuge are rare.

We need to stay away from the arrogance that comes with terms like "wildlife management" and "prescribed fire." It must be recognized that any interference with natural processes is an experiment, and no experiment is meaningful without a control, which means a comparable area reserved for NOT interfering, so that the effect of interfering is measurable against this control. Current fads for aggressive thinning and control burning need not govern here, if there are no human residents whose homes would be the excuse for aggressive "management," and the guidance of the California Chaparral Institute should be sought given their more humble, science-based (rather than fad-based) orientation to land stewardship.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/5/2018

Name (Optional)
Martin Robbins

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/5/2018

Name (Optional)
carolyn mcmickle

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
I regret folks losing jobs, but I think we have to move to safe and sustainable energy, moving from dangerous atomic energy and climate-disastrous fossil fuel. I am a court reporter for the state, and my job is threatened REGULARLY by politicians that want to save money and replace us with substandard record-keepers. Just because they are stupid doesn't mean it won't happen, and I don't see ANYONE coming to our rescue just because we lose our careers, which is part science and part art. LOSING JOBS IS NOT A GOOD REASON TO IGNORE OUR HEALTH, SAFETY, AND CLIMATE SUSTAINABILITY.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/5/2018

Name (Optional)
Patrick McGowen

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
CDCR

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Silliest thing I have ever seen. No carbon footprint. It generates 10% of California's power. It is renewable. The excess power can be used for Desalination projects for San Luis Obispo county cities. Job, revenue and tax loss to San Luis Obispo county and the State and Federal Gov't. All in all a bad deal for the citizens of the State of California and the residents of San Luis Obispo, Monterey and Santa Barbara Counties.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
If the ratepayers pay for the decommissioning then it's again a net loss to the citizen's of California

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Neutral

Comments
Another land grab by elitist "green" organizations to capture open land for their private use and unavailable to the general public. Open the land up and use it.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments
You really can't repurpose a nuclear power plant. Use it as designed

General Comments or Questions
Stop the decommissioning process and get the plant on track to be a carbon free alternative to back up carbon generation facilities.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/5/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/6/2018

Name (Optional)
Mary Power Giacoletti

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
In considering the future of the land, please do not leave it in the hands of either the State or a National park. They will add campfires, off-road vehicles, or other deleterious activity. The land should be conserved, not destroyed.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/6/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/6/2018

Name (Optional)
Dana Lilley

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
No company

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
I strongly support public access for hiking, mountain biking and horseback riding (although I am not an equestrian myself).

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
I have long wished for a hiking route from Avila Beach to Los Osos.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/6/2018

Name (Optional)
Travis Norton

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments
The less impact we have on the land the better. Continue to manage the lands with preservation in mind. Limited access to the public.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/6/2018

Name (Optional)
Audrie Krause

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/6/2018

Name (Optional)
Lil Clary

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
This process will not be cheap but it is the best interests of the CPUC to support continuing efforts for community input and monitoring.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
The increasing population of the central coast means increased pressure on public lands. These are a precious resource and need to be conserved but they also must be made available to all. Local partnerships with non-profit recreational groups should be encouraged.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

I am not speaking officially on behalf of local equestrian organizations but I do want to encourage continued outreach to Backcountry Horsemen of California and the Brass Oaks Driving Society. These groups have a track record of concern for and care about public access. They also have excellent volunteer structures.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/6/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/7/2018

Name (Optional)
Andrew Smith

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Local Resident

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Decommissioning process should attempt to hire/utilize as many local resources as possible.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
One overarching group with a charter that extends beyond the decommissioning process will be important.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments
We need reassurances that PGE will not simply sell off lands to the highest bidder (developers) in order to appease ratepayers at the expense of the local community.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
The lands should be preserved, not sold off for expensive coastal homes.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

Local infrastructure is not really adequate for heavy use of resources at the site.

General Comments or Questions

The local community must be held in the highest regard during this process. We have been living with the plant for decades, we cannot be stuck with the bill because the rest of the state does not like the idea of nuclear power. We will be losing head of household jobs and millions in local funds, that will be painful enough without any other burdens this may impose.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/7/2018

Name (Optional)
Lee Mann

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
Closing down Diablo is just wrong. To shut down a clean source of electricity.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
If the state or county want the property, they should pay for the property.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

I am all for green power. I have 16 solar panels on my roof since 2002 and I know that it will take a combination of many different sources of energy to meet the needs of the people's. We need to keep all options open, until the time comes when we have something better.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/7/2018

Name (Optional)
Kirby Gordon

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
"The decommissioning of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant (DCPP) is a significant and important issue for our community and region. The City of San Luis Obispo sincerely appreciates Pacific Gas and Electric Company's-(PG&E) formation of a community-based engagement panel and forum for meaningful and productive dialogue, as well as the opportunity to provide our written comments on the resultant draft A Strategic Vision.
As background to our comments, on July 19, 2016 our City Council approved a set of guiding principles to serve as the policy foundation for the City's involvement in the closure process. In short, those principles include:
1. Ensure the continued safe operation of the DCPP through the decommissioning process, so as to protect the health and safety of the community.
2. Foster creative solutions that will lessen the short and long term economic, fiscal and environmental impacts to the community.
3. Investigate opportunities for job creation and economic diversification.
4. Develop a plan to address the potential long-term impacts of closing a nuclear facility.
5. Explore options for the future use and protection of land and marine resources, cultural resources, historic sites and related facilities.
The Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel's draft A Strategic Vision appears consistent with our City Council's prior recitation and adoption of our guiding principles. We especially appreciate the continued care and commitment to safety, economic development, land conservation and stewardship, as well as forward looking opportunities that lie in adaptive reuse of the existing facilities for enhancing the resilience of our regional water supply and continuing California's transition to renewable energy sources. A Strategic Vision also articulates ways to move forward while addressing social and cultural equity and inclusivity in a manner that is laudable.
We also acknowledge that community and stakeholder empowerment and governance options should be carefully evaluated and all options should be explored that foster regional collaboration. Implementing a long-term engagement structure appears warranted given the long-term time horizons associated with decommissioning and the known and still yet unknown impacts it will have on our community and region far into the future.
City of San Luis Obispo Comment Letter Page 2
In closing, the City of San Luis Obispo is supportive of the recommendations contained in the draft A Strategic Vision and the submittal thereof to the California Public Utilities Commission with PG&E's Triennial Report."

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/7/2018

Name (Optional)
Derek Johnson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
City of San Luis Obispo

Comment
The decommissioning of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant (DCPP) is a significant and important issue for our community and region. The City of San Luis Obispo sincerely appreciates Pacific Gas and Electric Company's-(PG&E) formation of a community-based engagement panel and forum for meaningful and productive dialogue, as well as the opportunity to provide our written comments on the resultant draft A Strategic Vision.
As background to our comments, on July 19, 2016 our City Council approved a set of guiding principles to serve as the policy foundation for the City's involvement in the closure process. In short, those principles include:
1. Ensure the continued safe operation of the DCPP through the decommissioning process, so as to protect the health and safety of the community.
2. Foster creative solutions that will lessen the short and long term economic, fiscal and environmental impacts to the community.
3. Investigate opportunities for job creation and economic diversification.
4. Develop a plan to address the potential long-term impacts of closing a nuclear facility.
5. Explore options for the future use and protection of land and marine resources, cultural resources, historic sites and related facilities.
The Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel's draft A Strategic Vision appears consistent with our City Council's prior recitation and adoption of our guiding principles. We especially appreciate the continued care and commitment to safety, economic development, land conservation and stewardship, as well as forward looking opportunities that lie in adaptive reuse of the existing facilities for enhancing the resilience of our regional water supply and continuing California's transition to renewable energy sources. A Strategic Vision also articulates ways to move forward while addressing social and cultural equity and inclusivity in a manner that is laudable.
We also acknowledge that community and stakeholder empowerment and governance options should be carefully evaluated and all options should be explored that foster regional collaboration. Implementing a long-term engagement structure appears warranted given the long-term time horizons associated with decommissioning and the known and still yet unknown impacts it will have on our community and region far into the future.
City of San Luis Obispo Comment Letter Page 2
In closing, the City of San Luis Obispo is supportive of the recommendations contained in the draft A Strategic Vision and the submittal thereof to the California Public Utilities Commission with PG&E's

Triennial Report.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/7/2018

Name (Optional)
David Weisman

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
A4NR

Comment
As requested by the DCDEP, the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility (A4NR) is taking the opportunity to comment on the Public Review Draft of the A Strategic Vision by the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel, dated November 2018.
Our comments are divided into two sections. Part I requests clarification of certain terms and statements made in the Draft; Part II, more broadly, consists of three recommendations regarding the future of the DCDEP going forward.
Part I
All the items of our interest or concern are referenced by page number from the Draft paper.
Page 3-4:
Vision Statements
· The CPUC should continue the DCDEP at a minimum until cessation of operations of the DCPP
Recommendations
Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel
· Recommend that the CPUC formally expand the charter of the Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee (DCISC) to include any technical support that may be requested of them by the DCDEP
PO Box 1328
San Luis Obispo, CA 93406
(858) 337-2703
(805) 704-1810
www.a4nr.org
2
A4NR COMMENT:
With regard to the Vision Statement requesting the CPUC continuing the DCDEP until cessation of operations at DCPP, A4NR disagrees. We will present a more detailed rationale in Part II of our comments.
With regard to the request that the CPUC formally expand the charter of the DCISC to include technical support, we do not believe this is necessary. At the combined DCISC and DCDEP meetings of October 24-25, 2018, members of the DCISC publicly offered their support and assistance to the DCDEP. Any work being undertaken at or about DCNPP during the remaining

years of operation of the plant (including spent fuel management at the current time) already falls under the remit of the DCISC. A4NR has first-hand experience of the DCISC engaging with external stakeholders and conducting their own inquiries, as evidenced by the case of the tsunami study of Dr. Robert Sewell, which A4NR brought to the DCISC s attention, and to which the DCISC followed up by commissioning their own evaluation including bringing the author Dr. Sewell to San Luis Obispo. There is no reason the DCISC could not continue to perform the same service for the DCDEP, should it be continued.

Page 5:

· Recommend to PG&E that the potential for both ship and truck transport of dismantled facilities from the site be investigated and the data communicated to the DCDEP and CPUC

A4NR COMMENT: It was A4NR s understanding, based on a conversation with a PG&E docent on a DCDEP public tour conducted in August that PGE was not considering barging for a variety of technical and maritime reasons. PGE should clarify this issue to the DCDEP at this time, or A4NR will file as a data request in the upcoming NDCTP.

Page 6:

· The sale of assets acquired through ratepayer assessments could be used to offset decommissioning costs

A4NR COMMENT: What assets are being considered? Is this a reference to a used equipment yard sale such as was held at SONGS? Or is it a reference to actual buildings and pieces of physical infrastructure? Or, could this involve the disposition of the northern lands, which are under CPUC jurisdiction, and include the range and grazing lands between the plant and the border of Montana de Oro State Park?

Page 7:

· Recommend that the CPUC ascertain if PG&E has adequately researched and considered costs and community impacts of both land and sea transport of facility components from the site

3

A4NR COMMENT: See similar comment for Page 5. Issue of barging needs clarification.

Page 9:

· The disposal of Diablo Canyon Lands should recognize PG&E s fiduciary responsibility to their ratepayers and shareholders

A4NR COMMENT: Has PG&E explained to the DCDEP the specifics regarding how disposal of Diablo Canyon Lands will serve PG&E s fiduciary responsibility to its ratepayers?

Page 12:

· The breakwaters and associated harbor should remain in place consistent with the environmental quality and safety of the area and region

A4NR COMMENT: Has PG&E studied what the effects of climate change on sea level will be 50 years from now, and how any changes will impact the design, engineering and longevity of the breakwaters and intake structures? A4NR has brought these concerns to the attention of Southern California Edison in filing its Protest in the SONGS NDCTP (http://a4nr.org/?p=4154) The timing and budgeting of work to be done involving subsurface and underwater removals of breakwaters, seawalls and conduits will be challenged in the SONGS NDCTP, and likely in PG&E s if inadequate research is devoted to anticipating the challenges of erosion and the

coastal effects of climate change.
Page 13:
· Recommend that PG&E maintain the existing desalination plant without compromising environmental quality
A4NR COMMENT: For how long and for what specific purpose should the desalination plant remain in operation? Is it needed after the establishment of the spent fuel island? Will it be needed to supply water du

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/7/2018

Name (Optional)
Linda Wyant

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
I believe its a bad idea to decommission Diablio Power Plant. California needs the power it produces. I do not want higher power rates or random power outages which both seem likely with the closer of the power plant. I think PG&E is yielding to a minority of ill informed and short sighted people/politicians. Maybe PG&E wants out, but maybe California would be better served if Diablio continued to produce power, just owned and operated by another corporation.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/8/2018

Name (Optional)
John Schutz

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
The Engagement Panel has been been effective thus far in the process, and is to be commended. As Mr. Karlin points out, however, there is much important work still to be done, and a more permanent, paid Advisory Panel would have greater resources and motivation to follow up on important issues as they continue to arise.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/8/2018

Name (Optional)
Stephen Lakowske

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Process Example Timeline, while understandably general, lacks any indication of planning/execution of Diablo Canyon Lands use (specifically, multi-use trail system) on a non-interference basis. Non-coastal trails design and even preliminary construction could begin well before shutdown. Funding would be available from the Trust Fund.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments
Need to understand more about how DAP would be staffed and by whom. Community input must be assured and it's unclear that the proposed mix will accomplish this.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
Current $2.8B seems like ample on the surface. Transparency and accountability of use of funds is essential.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Native American request for land ownership seems problemmatic. Public access "to the greatest extent possible" is essential.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/8/2018

Name (Optional)
George Hansen

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Let's use the site to develop ocean wave or current energy that is environmentally sensitive! New jobs, new energy, safe. It could at least be a research facility.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/8/2018

Name (Optional)
Lauren Jefferson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/8/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Will the spent fuel be stored on site or sent to a central repository as the federal government has promised?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/9/2018

Name (Optional)
Carmela Vignocchi

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
community member

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I agree with the first 3 dot points as written.
With regard to Dot Points 4 and 5, I would like to see much stronger language encouraging the CPUC to provide funding to continue the DCDEP until operations of DCPP cease and provide funding to continue the similar to DCDEP with augmentation or a successor entity that is expanded. The exact composition of this post PG&E operations panel needs to be financed in part by PG&E and CPUC.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I support the alternative vision to create as part of 2018 ratemaking charter a robust and sustainable DAP.
Why? – Independence: I agree the DCDEP lacks independence and resources.
It also lacks "an Inspector General" who will oversee the "agreements between PG&E and the CPUC" that will work on behalf of ratepayers and citizens of CA to insure that all processes are in the best interest of ratepayers and not PG&E investors, and ensure no back room deals are made.
HorsePower: I believe volunteers are dedicated, invested in the outcome due to living here, and may be limited to the amount of time they can devote to the 20 – 60 year process. I support the idea of hiring support staff, whose job it is to serve the DAP and the community.
Recommended Membership of DAP: I do not see the need to codify the makeup of the DAP at this early juncture; and would rather see the idea of DAP be explored in more depth by the DCDEP. I also am not sure about replacing the DCISC and DCDEP with "one strong & dedicated entity". Also, I did not see a definition of IPRP in the document so I refrained from commenting on its inclusion in a potential DAP.
Benchmark for stronger DAPs: I also support looking at what other Nuclear Power Plants have done as far as monitoring the decommissioning process.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
I agree with the dot points 1-3 & 5. I would like the wording of Point 4 to require proceeds from any sales of assets acquired through ratepayer assessments be used to offset the ratepayers decommissioning costs! We ratepayers paid for these assets, it would be criminal to have the proceeds from the sale of ratepayer "property" used for any other purpose other than saving ratepayers from paying more.
Goals: I agree with the dot points 1-3 in theory but I would like wording to be included that "all expenditures, contracts, and transactions be itemized and substantiated with documentation, and totally transparent. No backroom deals in foreign countries, no agreements written on cocktail napkins or hotel stationary.
Recommendations: I do not believe the CPUC protects consumers or safeguards the environment, as stated on their website. Based on the behavior of the prior president Michael Peavey, and recent decisions, I have no faith that the CPUC's priority is to protect consumers, safeguard the environment and obtain the lowest possible rate for service that ratepayers will be charged with regards to PG&E. PG&E was approved to increase ratepayers bills dramatically! Between 2011 and 2018, based on evidence compiled by SMUD, rate increases requested by PG&E and approved by the CPUC jumped 46% compared to 21% for rated increases by SMUD.
The CPUC's history has been one of protecting the interests of PG&E over that of ratepayers. Their culture has allowed cozy deals to be made that benefit PG&E at the expense of ratepayers.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Vision Statement: I agree with the 6 dot points and would encourage inclusion of the CA Coastal Trail and collaboration with other land conservancy organizations to support the purchase, preservation, and maintenance of these lands.
Goals: I agree with the 6 dot points and recommend changing the wording in point 5 from "should include public input… " to "must seek public input…"and changing the wording in point 6 from "should include a multi-use non-motorized trail system" to "must include coordination to designate and establish linkage with the CA Coastal Trail".
Land Transfer and Use: I agree with the 14 dot points as stated.
Cultural Heritage: I agree with the 3 dot points
Recommendations: I support the DCDEP sending a letter to CPUC seeking to lift the prohibition of PG&E taking action regarding DC lands for the specific purpose of enabling conservation discussions for Wild Cherry Canyon.

Land Transfer and Use: I agree with the 9 dot points, but wonder why the Land Conservancy of SLO is included in point 6 and not the organization that has been working on establishing the CA Coastal Trail since 1972.
Cultural Heritage: I agree with the 3 dot points as stated.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Vision Statements: I agree with the 6 dot points as stated.
Goals: Existing Facilities - I agree with the 9 dot points as stated.
Marine Facilities - I agree with the 5 dot points.
Specific Uses - I agree with the 5 dot points.
Recommendations:
Existing Facilities: I agree the 8 dot points as written.
Marine Facilities: I agree the 3 dot points as written. DAP
Specific Uses: I agree the 8 dot points as written.

General Comments or Questions
Thank you for the opportunity to submit my comments regarding DCDEP preliminary recommendations.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/9/2018

Name (Optional)
Mark Skinner

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
The DAP should be overseen by the State Auditor.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
Establish an endowment from the decommissioning fund to eliminate invasive species from Diablo Canyon Lands.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

The outflow area has been the site of chronic marine organism deaths. What kind of restoration will take place there?

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/9/2018

Name (Optional)
Sally Krenn

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
Upon reviewing the Public Review Draft of the Strategic Vision document, I was pleased that the PG&E Engagement Panel had recognized the importance of protecting the resources of this spectacular coastline by:

- Restricting access in the sensitive intertidal zone,
- Maintaining a "high intensity" (not low intensity - correction to your draft) and short duration rotational grazing program, and;
- Ensuring habitat restoration and protection of the cultural sites

As I presented to you in your workshop that was held in Pismo Beach, I am very familiar with this section of the coastline as I have worked along the Diablo Canyon Lands since 1976, managed the Pecho Coast and Point Buchon Trails, and continue to assist with natural resource studies. Throughout all of these years, I have come to recognize the importance of limiting public access to sensitive natural and cultural resource sites. The tide pools located along this section of the coastline are difficult to access as the algal abundance is prolific due to the very limited public access. The expansive grass lands that are enhanced by rotational grazing provide habitat to the prey for raptors and owls. The cultural sites are protected and spectacular due to PG&E's land management plan.
I understand that State Parks is a neighbor and should be involved in this process, but I recommend that the panel highly consider other conservation entities, such as Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo and/or The Wildlands Conservancy. I volunteer as a docent for State Parks and am very supportive of their docent programs and goal of protecting the resources. But when one walks along sections of the coastline at Montana de Oro State Park (MDO), there are large areas of bare rock due to the trampling effects, the presence of sometimes 80 to 100 visitors to these areas on a low tide day. It is not uncommon to see someone harvesting mussels, limpets or prying sea stars off the rocks in the tidepools located south and north of the Diablo Canyon Lands. With a sport fishing license, one is legally able, at places such as MDO, to collect 10 pounds of mussels and up to 35 invertebrates such as crab or limpets. Andrew Zimmern with his television show "Bizarre Foods" cruised up a most scenic highway in America on a seafood-sampling "adventure". Along the way he harvests goose neck barnacles and his journey takes him to MDO to forage for juicy Due to the increase of our population in California, harvesting invertebrates in the intertidal is not a sustainable fishery. In the tide pools located along the Diablo Lands, the large owl limpet (a tasty mollusk) is abundant and can reach the length of over 4 inches. There are also areas along the Diablo Lands with populations of black abalone, which are a rarity along MDO.
I also know how much effort PG&E has committed to the development of their short duration and high intensity grazing program, which was initiated in 1990. Rotational short-duration (management-

intensive) grazing is a good way to improve pasture health and native grass communities while increasing cattle performance. The animals are penned in paddocks that have one electric wire, replacing barb wire that sometimes mortally snags owls as they are chasing their prey. These grasslands are also habitat for rodents that provide prey for the many predators, such as burrowing owls, bob cats, coyote, hawks and golden eagles.

I recommend that the panel consider a field trip to the "Pecho" or North Ranch," with Bob Blanchard, who currently holds a license agreement with PG&E to graze the 5000-acre parcel. Bob runs a grass fed and organically certified herd and has had an ongoing relationship with PG&E's Land Stewardship team since 1990. A first-hand viewing of this program will highlight why it provides an important component to PG&E's stewardship program.

In order to protect the cultural resources, there must be a managed access program, as there are numerous untouched sites that would be attractive for looters (or a polite name would be "collectors"). If the Pecho Coast and Point Buchon trail was opened without the eyes of trail attendants, these cultural sites that are easily accessible would have been severely negatively impacted.

I am impressed with your insight and strategic vision and appreciate the opportunity to review your draft. As you know this section of the coastline deserves protection, so I was pleased to know that initiating managed access programs for this coastline is a top priority in your vision of the future of the Diablo Canyon Lands.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
L.Owen

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
public citizen in Los Osos

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
I don't understand why the City of San Luis Obispo would be involved in the conservation efforts. The County of SLO perhaps, but I see no reason for any city to be a major player in conservation work.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments
While I totally support the DCDEP recommendations below, I strongly support the consideration of a future wind farm with the large scale, slow-moving wind towers that can supplement the loss of power production from the Nuclear facility closure. Whether PG&E operates it or an acceptable alternative

operator does , I believe that protecting the lands with the Chumash tribal sacred areas considered, will help bring about a safe energy alternative while making use of the existing distribution grid.

I would support some solar arrays where feasible.

In an ideal world the entire 12,000 acres would be returned to protected open space but as long as the distribution lines exist and we humans still need reliable energy, it seems like parts of the property could be served with wind generators and some innovative solar collection methods.

I understand that offshore wind generation is being considered for connecting to the Morro Bay and Diablo grid infrastructure but that may be a more expensive direction and will be likely damaging to the ocean flora and fauna, already in crisis.

1) Decommissioning and decontamination (DECON) should begin immediately upon shutdown.
2) Decommissioning should include cost saving approaches that save ratepayers money while assuring that the safety of the community is not compromised.
3) The 12,000 acres that surround the DCPP should be conserved while allowing for managed public access.
4) The amount of demolition should be reduced and as many on and off-site facilities should be repurposed as is feasible, while considering public safety, traffic concerns and the environmental quality of the region.

General Comments or Questions

I strongly support the consideration of a future wind farm with the large scale, slow-moving wind towers that can supplement the loss of power production from the Nuclear facility closure. Whether PG&E operates it or an acceptable alternative operator does , I believe that protecting the lands with the Chumash tribal sacred areas considered, will help bring about a safe energy alternative while making use of the existing grid.

I would also like to see some solar arrays where feasible.

In an ideal world the entire 12,000 acres would be returned to protected open space but as long as the distribution lines exist and we humans still need reliable energy, it seems like parts of the property could be served with wind generators and some innovative solar collection methods.

I understand that offshore wind generation is being considered for connecting to the Morro Bay and Diablo grid infrastructure but that may be a more expensive direction and will be likely damaging to the ocean flora and fauna, already in crisis.

Thankyou for the process and opportunity to comment. Nice collaboration and work!

Linde Owen
Los Osos

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Kaila Dettman

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
The Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
The recommendations of this section are well crafted and seem to adequately capture the overwhelming public support for conservation of the Diablo Canyon Lands. One item that seemed missing from the report is a recognition of the economic benefit pubic access/ecotourism can provide to the surrounding community. While further studies would be needed to understand the potential (and impacts), it should be noted that ecotourism could at least partially alleviate the economic effects of Diablo Canyon Power Plant shutting down.
In short, our organization believes that the Diablo Canyon Lands are a spectacular resource and are worth protecting in perpetuity for the benefit of the ratepayers and our community.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

We enthusiastically support the permanent protection of the Diablo Canyon Lands and urge PG&E to follow the recommendations in the report related to the Diablo Canyon Lands. We appreciate the Panel's emphasis on protecting the natural resources of the landscape while promoting public access to appropriate areas. We also appreciate that cultural resources were emphasized and consideration given to Native American groups. We agree that the protection of the coastline and the incredible diversity therein should be prioritized and that the creation of the coastal trail connections should be included in the overall vision. This report is a great starting point and adequately captures the general opinions of the community about the future of the Diablo Canyon Lands.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
christie cutter

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
access to lands and multi use non motorized opportunities are essential

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
evy justesen

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
"The sale of assets acquired through ratepayer assessments could be used to offset decommissioning costs"
Not sure just what this means. What are the "assets " addressed here?

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
It is imperative that two issues be addressed:
The dry-cask on site waste needs to meet much higher standards of safety than the current casks. HOSS is a possibility, though should not be considered as a permanent storage. The problem of the waste is ,of course, the main issue as nuclear power plants close down and no permanent site has yet to be found and developed.
Secondly, no land must be sold to developers. Avila already faces great peril in case of a wildfire, which given the surrounding mountains and brush, is definitely a danger. The one road in-and-out of Avila brings to mind the disaster of Paradise.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
John Ashbaugh

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
none

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments
1. Please note the mis-spelled term "Decommissioning" on the 2nd line of the first page.
2. Among the four recommendations stated in a bulleted list on page 1, the third bulleted item should be modified to read: "The 12,000 acres that surround the DCPP should be conserved to acknowledge its significant resource values including scenic, recreational, biological, archaeological, and historic resources, while allowing for managed public access

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
3. I would generally support the recommended "Alternative Vision" offered by Panel Member Carlin to provide a "more robust and sustainable decommissioning advisory panel (DAP), but I would recommend a slight variation to Mr. Carlin's alternative: I suggest that the DCDEP continue through the cessation of operations of the power plant (as recommended in the "Vision Statement" but that beyond that point, an ongoing community advisory panel be established to replace the Independent Safety Committee (DCISC). That panel has monitored the safe operation of Diablo Canyon since it was established by the PUC in 1997, and its credibility has been vital in seeing that its recommendations were implemented without controversy. That panel will no longer be needed after 2025, however; instead, its functions can be and should be transferred to a Decommissioning Advisory Panel with personnel and meeting schedules similar to that of the DCISC. It would be useful to include on this panel expertise in nuclear engineering and in particular, the safe disposal of nuclear waste. It would also be important, however, to have publicly-elected representation on this panel. Toward that end, I suggest that the panel include the elected County Supervisor for the district in which the power plant lies, or his or her alternate/designee.
4. At the bottom of page 6, the final bulleted paragraph includes a superfluous "The" at its beginning, which implies that we KNOW what is "adequate funding" of the Decommissioning Trust Fund. Unless we do, in fact, know what an adequate amount of funding is, let's not pretend that we do. And

while it is a desirable goal to have "the adequate funding," merely articulating this goal will not make it any more feasible.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments
5. Toward that end, at the top of page 7 there are three Recommendations that all rely upon the CPUC to take various steps to assure that adequate funding is available. Of these, the second recommendation is perhaps the least feasible: "Recommend that the CPUC cover the reasonable cost of completing all the decommissioning activities, including removal, transportation and disposal in a way that minimizes cost, risk and disruption to local communities." The CPUC is NOT expected to "cover" these costs! This recommendation must be modified to reflect reality: The CPUC must assure that Trust Funds are made available, either through ratepayers, stockholders, or other sources, to accomplish these ends.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
6. I strongly support the six bulleted points under the sub-heading "Vision Statements". The fifth bullet point notes that "conservation activities" should be coordinated with various owners of nearby open space lands; however, the Nature Conservancy is conspicuously absent from this list. It's my understanding that they still maintain title to some of the lands they acquired in the Irish Hills in the 1960s and 70s; however it is possible their interests have been transferred to other entities. If they are still among the owners of any of these lands, they should be included in this list. (I find it interesting that the City of San Luis Obispo is among those listed; I'm not aware of any properties in this area that are owned by the City).
7. On page 8 under "Goals" "Land Stewardship", second bullet point, reference is made to a "CPUC order" that is to be "lifted." Please provide explanatory text here to alleviate confusion by those of us who are not aware of what order is being referenced here. (An explanation is provided at the top of page 10, and that explanation can merely be moved earlier).
8. At the bottom of page 8, under "Land Transfer and Use," reference is made to a "conservation entity or entities." Yet on Page 9, the second bullet point cites "State or National Parks, …". These two goal statements need to be better coordinated. It is likely that protection of the Diablo Canyon Lands will be accomplished in the short term with interim management by a non-profit conservation entity; however the goal should be longer-term ownership and management by the Federal or State government, with Native American sites protected and even (ultimately) transferred to a qualified and Federally-recognized tribal entity that can reasonably claim that a site or sites were part of their tribal heritage.
9. On the sixth bullet point on Page 9, reference is made to the "Irish Hills… trail system". I'm not aware of any Irish Hills trail system at this time, except for the City's trails well to the northeast. If that is the intent, please so state.

10. Toward the bottom of this list of bullet points on page 9, reference is made to the lease by HomeFed to the San Miguelito Mutual Water Company for wastewater facilities. This entire operation should be reviewed by consultants for the Regional Water Quality Control Board to determine optimal wastewater disposal operations for the tributary area to Avila Bay. Mere "screening, location and technology" issues are insufficient for the scope of this study. A larger engineering alternatives analysis should be conducted to determine solutions that could lead to a superior solution involving the community of Avila Beach as well as San Luis Bay Estates.

11. At the bottom of this list on page 9 is reference to a 1,200-acre area near Point San Luis that is to be "deed restricted by PG&E consistent with conditions established by the California Coastal Commission". Please explain this reference; why has such a deed restriction not already been recorded?

12. On page 10, reference is made to coordination with a variety of nearby landowners, including "Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County, State Parks, Bureau of Land Management, (and) the Andre Ranch owners…" See my comment #6 above relative to The Nature Conservancy and (which may belong on this list) and the City of San Luis Obispo (which may not belong).

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

13. With respect to the Vision Statements, Goals, and Recommendations for Repurposing of the Diablo Canyon Facilities on pp. 11-15, I support the Specific Uses Goal that is stated on the top of p. 13, "a public-private collaborative research and development facility (National Laboratory) with emphasis on marine sciences, renewable energy development technologies, energy storage, optimum storage for irradiated waste, desalinization and other technology innovation". I would suggest, however, that this list is rather restrictive and should be modified to be more generalized. I would point to the existence of Jackson Laboratories, for example, adjacent to Acadia National Park in Maine, which focuses on bioengineering. There will be a substantial amount of office space and related facilities that might be perfectly suitable to a variety of research and development purposes that we are entirely ignorant about, at this time. Let's approach these possible R&D uses with some humility, shall we?

14. Finally, and perhaps most importantly, there is no reference in these final four pages to the status or operation of the access road leading to or from Parcel P to and through the NORTH RANCH. This road is now being upgraded for emergency access purposes. Consideration should be given to the use of that road for public access purposes from Montana de Oro, but only as a ONE-WAY access for scenic, recreational, and guided tour access from north to south, with stops along the way, but exiting to Avila Beach Road ONLY. In case of emergencies, then, this road could also be used to EVACUATE Avila Valley; however I would recommend that it never be developed for routine two-way travel.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Milton Carrigan

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Robert Mitchell

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Cal Energy Development Company

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions
Dear Decommissioning Panel Members,

Congratulations on writing the Draft Report. In addition to what is presented, we are requesting you include a specific reference in the Repurposing of Diablo Canyon Facilities section to include the transmission switchyard and related assets to support a major transmission project. The switchyard and its utilization by Cal Energy Development Company's (CEDC) is one of the most valuable existing assets available for continued use. We have not learned of any other company proposing to utilize the switchyard and by so doing the CEDC transmission project will create jobs, new tax revenue for the SLO

county and schools and enable offshore wind to be developed that will otherw獩潮⁴敢愠慶汩扡敬昜
沟獥桴牰橯捥⁴獩錪灵潰瑲摥戠⁹桴悹酨渨⊥獮薅獬愠祡湮晙磁湯楴慴湥獥牰晙湘袚
牡乩敳昜摥獯灯牰敨瑯⁹渨瑩眠柿槭敦牓瑮椠瑵潦瑩眠敳畯桥牡眠摮愠柿摠汩畢捩晦漠敨琠湩
湩⁵溙獯灯牰眠w眷吊ㄊ祴楮牯疇牯灰漠摥獳業㎡椠湯楴慺楬楴略爟獩桴獬琠散湥牓晥爟捩晚捥夡
敲瀠污獯灯牰潩瑯穩汩瑵敲桴⁴牯灰畳⁹汥癩瑣愠罷偃桴⊥渨]Ꝑ倠瑯桴⊥湥浭潣傲ㄜ□柿楷潬
牓暨琠湯楳獩淯渨牴摲慹档瑩睦湩瑳楸攠敨琠景獵摵汈湩汩眠瑯桴汩敢慰桴獬琠摥瑮敳
敵湥癥爟砿琠睥渠整慲敢敧獢潪瑯敲挠菾楷⁴捥橯牰楨琠敶敎汥戠敗†械朠敨琠潴⊥湩眠敲潦
牯扲慨桴湩慴敫琠湲敢獬愠摮猊慰散椠獬桴景楦散戠極摬湩渨⊥慷敲潦獵楷桴畯⁴湩整晲牓
湩楷桴愠袺漠桴牓瀠淵潰敳⊥獵†桔牰灯獯摥瀠淵敪瑣眠汩汩污潳爟灥牽潰敳琠敨猊瑩景琠
敨㈠ / 猊扵瑳瑯潩桴瑯椠硥数瑣摥琠敢爟浥癯摥潰淵瀠獩数潬敶敭潩琠敨吠
牓摩獮潤畯磁牯映畯磁垚慨吊ㄊ∮湯楬汩洠＼鳩捡淵灰愠菾楷瑮敳敶淵灭椠敬扡砿琠摮愠污瑩
敭桴椠瑮慴牯灭椠祲敶戠菾楷据敲敦敲楨琠柿摠畬据釷敲慵柿慬楨琠敭畬据椠潴椠潩
瑮敳灯汥癥膗祧牓湅汩惝ㄊ敲瑲悹□蘩柿摠湲瀠ᵉ汥档瑩麌瑲敢潒ᶢ扯箋ㄊ卒蘩敨琠景湯楴慲敢楬
ㄲ社)坳 /ˇ心 /ˇ㈠浯掷拊汈摥捀菾敨捻業爊祜慰浯蘩
_汩楬浡吠浯湡明瑩浯湡畋瞬浧榆湡ţ悹楣楦湡愠槭敲刪渨睥扡敬w魋韽Y酷敭瑮晚楹柿映湲摠柿
映牯氠湡獥愠煣極楳楴湯椠畣牲渨汴⁹湲湫暋吠楨畧瑳戠獥慴汢獩敨蓽砀椀猀琀椀濟最 蠡愀
挀椀氈椀琀椀攀猀□㖞刀攀挀漀滑滑攀濟搀 琀梔愀琀 琀梔攀 緺倀唬緺 攀濟挀漀甄嚇愀最攀 倀
譅☀葴 琀漀 嚇攀瀀甄嚇瀀漀猀攀 愀猀 滑愀濟磵 戀甄椀氈搀椀濟最猀 愀濟搀 愀猀猀攀琀猀
愀猀 昀攀愀猀椀戀氈攀 明椀琀梔漀甄琀 挀漀瀀瀀嚇漀滑椀猀椀濟最 瀀甄戀氈椀挀 猀愀昀攀琀
磵卜 愀濟搀 挀漀濟猀椀搀攀嚇椀濟最 挀漀滑滑甄濟椀琀磵 琀嚇愀昀昀椀挀 挀漀濟挀攀嚇濟猀
愀濟搀 琀梔攀 挀漀琀椀濟甄攀搀 攀濟瘀椀嚇漀濟滑攀濟琀愀氈 焀甄愀氈椀琀磵 漀昀 琀梔
攀 嚇攀最椀漀濟□㖞刀攀挀漀滑滑攀濟搀 琀梔愀琀 倀譅☀葴 瀀嚇攀瀀愀嚇攀 愀 氈椀猀琀 漀
昀 氈氈 戀甄椀氈搀椀濟最猀 愀濟搀 愀猀猀攀琀猀 愀瘀愀椀氈愀戀氈攀 昀漀嚇 嚇攀瀀甄嚇
瀀漀猀椀濟最卜 椀濟挀漀氈甄搀椀濟最 搀攀琀愀椀氈攀搀 搀攀猀椀嚇椀濟椀猀 椀濟搀 琀梔

攀 昀愀振椀氈椀琀磵卄 琀栀攀 琀磵瀀攀 漀昀 昀愀振椀氈椀琀磵 椀攀攀揀 漀昀昀椀振攀卄 明愀嚇攀栀漀甄猀攀卄 攀琀振↠卄 猀焀甄愀嚇攀 昀漀甄愀最攀 漀昀 琀栀攀 昀愀振椀氈椀琀磵卄 愀最攀 漀昀 琀栀攀 昀愀振椀氈椀琀磵卄 愀渀搀 明栀攀渀 琀栀攀 昀愀振椀氈椀琀磵 明漀甄氈搀 戀攀振漀渀攀 愀瘀愀椀氈愀戀氈攀 昀漀嚇 嚇攀瀀甄嚇瀀漀甄猀椀氈渀最□呈刀攀振漀渀渀攀渀搀 琀栀愀椀琀 張譯☀蔆 搀攀瘀攀氈漀瀀 愀 振漀渀瀀嚇攀栀攀渀搀猀椀氈瘀攀 猀琀嚇愀椀琀攀最磵 昀漀嚇 昀甄琀甄嚇攀 甄猀攀 琀栀攀 嚇攀瀀甄嚇瀀漀甄猀攀搀 戀甄椀氈琀椀氈渀最猀□呈刀攀振漀渀渀攀渀搀 琀栀愀椀琀 張譯☀蔆 渀愀椀氈琀椀氈渀 椀氈氈 昀愀振椀氈椀琀椀氈攀猀 甄渀琀椀氈 琀栀椀渀搀攀 猀甄振栀 琀栀椀渀搀攀 愀猀 琀栀攀 昀愀振椀氈椀琀椀氈攀猀 愀嚇嚇攀 嚇攀瀀甄嚇瀀漀甄猀攀搀 漀嚇 搀攀瀀甄嚇瀀漀甄猀攀搀 搀攀瀀甄嚇瀀漀甄猀攀搀

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments
I do not believe you should shut it down or decommission it. It is such a waste.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
William Toman

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Pacific Marine Renewables, LLC

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments
Identifying funding for lands acquisition is currently unknown. This must be established.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments
Existing Facilities
 Recommend that the CPUC encourage PG&E to repurpose as many buildings and assets as feasible without compromising public safety, and considering community traffic concerns and the continued environmental quality of the region

 Recommend that PG&E prepare a list of all buildings and assets available for repurposing, including detailed descriptions of the facility, the type of facility (ie: office, warehouse, etc), square footage of the facility, age of the facility, and when the facility would become available for repurposing
 Recommend that PG&E develop a comprehensive strategy for future use of the repurposed buildings
 Recommend that PG&E maintain all facilities until such time as the facilities are repurposed or determined to not be feasible for a sustainable repurposing tenant to ensure that the facilities do not degrade over time

General Comments or Questions

Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel has worked very hard and provided a lot of new information to aid decommissioning decision making. Great job!!

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
William Almas

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
General Comments:
The Panel has put a lot of effort into the "Strategic Vision" and it provides a good preliminary upper level view of what could be the future of the facilities and lands surrounding the Diablo Plant. In general I endorse the Recommendations made. However, I do have some specific comments that may help as more specific details are developed.
Goals - Panel Composition: The costs associated with Recommendations made by the Panel (if adopted by PG&E) will significantly effect rate payers across the State of California. It seems reasonable to have balanced consensus recommendations that represent the breadth of community stakeholders, there be a representative who's focus is on rate payer interests. I believe that the addition of a representative with this focus will add to a balanced discussion and enhance the possibility of ultimate adoption of recommendations.
Alternate Vision as expressed by Alex Karlin: Many of the points Mr. Karlin makes are valid and should be considered. The DCDEP (or successor) needs to have a budget, access to resources and a well balanced Panel composition with more expertise in the various disciplines needed to be applied during decommissioning. It seems reasonable that members change over time as different stages of the project are reached. A particular need in this early stage is an attorney who can advise the panel concerning land use and public utility regulation issues. Also, I agree that the Panel should be independent of PG&E's direct influence and management.
However, I believe the Panel should remain a volunteer sounding board for community issues, not a government oversight body as envisioned by Mr. Karlin. There are numerous agencies and a plethora of regulations that will need to be addressed by PG&E, and the addition of another layer of bureaucracy would be detrimental to both the community's issues and PG&E.

Goals-Land Stewardship and Land Transfer:
Native American Ownership or Lease: I support Native American ownership or lease of a portion of the conservation property, with appropriate deed restrictions or agreements limiting use of the property to educational and ceremonial uses. The Native American heritage on the Central Coast needs to be recognized and honored after four hundred years of cultural oppression. That being said, there are no Federally recognized Tribal organizations with direct ancestry in the Diablo area and there may be several native American non-profit organizations that claim to be representative of the descendants of the local indigenous people. Regardless of who PG&E views as the most legitimate entity to deal with, I suggest a phased legal agreement with clear deliverables by both the non-profit and PG&E. The non-

profit needs to demonstrate the financial and management capability to take on care of the land and execute on plans for educational and ceremonial facilities prior to gaining permanent control of a portion of the land.

Land Conservation: I support the conservation of the vast majority of the 12,000 acre PG&E land holdings. I also wholeheartedly support the repurposing of existing buildings and infrastructure to the maximum extent possible. That being said, I do have several qualifying remarks.

PG&E must be given fair market value for the land. The rate payers are owed this. Value should be determined through appraisal by a qualified appraiser specializing in rural land. For undeveloped land, the appraisal should not be assigned just on a per acre basis as agricultural land. Several assumptions could be made that hypothecate light development for residential and recreational uses. For example, San Luis County and CCC zoning and regulations allow at least one primary residence on every 320 acres within the Agricultural zoning category. Therefore, it would be reasonable to assign value assuming at least 37 residences over the property (some reduction from this due to Plant Site), if access and infrastructure to support the homes could be built. There may be feasible scenarios for recreational development of portions of the property that should also be considered.

Also, funds for the capital improvements allowing public access and use of of the property, and maintenance and operations funding must be secured before land transfer takes place. If the area is not taken by a National, State or County agency and private funds are used, an endowment trust of some sort will be needed to ensure that these costs are covered for perpetuity. Montana de Oro State Park had an operations budget of roughly $2.4 million in 2015. The PG&E Diablo lands are 50% larger at 12,000, so if costs are roughly comparable between the two properties, an annual operations and maintenance budget of $3.5 to 4.0 million could be expected. If a principal sum was held in trust and had an average annual yield of 5%, a minimum principal amount of $72,000,000 would be needed to generate this amount. Endowment funds must not come from the rate payers.

Adding up a purchase price of the land at a fair market value, capital improvements and endowment funds result in a significant sum in the hundreds of millions of dollars. The feasibility of raising this amount of money and identification of the potential sources of funds needs to be determined early in the process to avoid false starts.

Additionally, PG&E needs to maintain some flexibility with respect to property land use and transfer until Decommissioning Plans have been finalized. I am primarily thinking of potential on-site disposal of debris and/or unanticipated circumstances that could result in the need to utilize a portion of the property not currently part of the Plant area for decommissioning activities.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Gerry Finn

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
REVIEW ITEM NO. 1

It seems the panel ignored the elephant in the room – The length of time that will be taken before the spent nuclear fuel rods can be taken to a repository for storage and disposal.

The Yucca Mountain Repository is now being checked to see if they are the source of the radioactive material that has recently been discovered in the Pahrump Valley Aquifer. If it is found that Yucca Mountain is the source of the radioactive material in the aquifer then a new search for a repository site will be undertaken, some 5 or so years at best, to find a location that is scientifically found to be sound rather than the political study which settled on Yucca Mountain as the repository site. They would probably look at the original three sites looked at in the 70's, Basalt Flows in Washington, Granite mountains in New England, and the Sal Beds in Texas.

The Washington Basalt flows have had test shafts and drifts drilled to determine the feasibility of Basalt drilling as well as determine any water flows in or out of the Basalt. The Granite mountains in New England would need to have that type testing done at the selected site.

The Texas Salt Beds would only need a site picked as operational testing has been and is being done at the Department of Energy's Waste Isolation Plant at Carlsbad, New Mexico.

The Yucca Mountain Repository, a repository at Hanford, WA in the Basalt Flow, and a repository in the New England Granite mountains would all require a facility to prepare the high level radioactive material for incorporation into any of the repository's inventories. This facility has been planned to be a Vitrification Plant and supporting facilities as successfully used the French Nuclear Consortium for the past forty or so years. Unfortunately, there is no operating Vitrification plant in the United states even though there have been a number of attempts in the past forty years to construct such a plant at the Department of Energy's Hanford Site as well as at its Savannah River site, Georgia. This means there will be another delay of some 5 or 10 years before a repository would begin receiving material for storage and disposal. Another set of problems for these type repositories is the need for tight access control and high level cooling systems for the next thousand or so years.

There is one other option being worked on designed to reduce the total volume of high level radioactive waste. That other option is the Transmutation of Spent Nuclear Fuel Rods to Inert and/or Low Level

Radioactive material. To date this option has been proven doable but unfeasible because of the huge amount of energy used to accomplish the transmutation. When this comes to pass as feasible it will eliminate the need for any cooling system but there will still need to be tight access control for a few hundred years.

All this leads up to asking why there is such a hurry to begin decontamination when the spent fuel rods won't be leaving the site for 20 or so years.

REVIEW ITEM NO. 2

The lack of specificity about the area making up each element of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant complex, e.g., the Power Plant, the Marina, the Desalination Facility, and the 500 KV Yard. Additionally, the lead/controlling entity for general site infrastructure, marina infrastructure, and so on has not been defined. To generate any plans one should know where the interfaces are and which organizations are involved.

REVIEW ITEM NO. 3

Hire an editor to make this document easily readable and more useful to the reader. There are too many acronyms used for no good purpose, differing formats and fonts, and a glossary is needed if you persist in the use of acronyms.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Jackie Crabb

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Coastal San Luis Resource Conservation District

Comment
The Board members and staff at the Coastal San Luis Resource Conservation District ("CSLRCD") have followed the deliberations of the Panel ("Panel") with interest We endorse the recommendations of the Panel and hereby offer our services in implementing those parts of the plan that best fit with our expertise.
CSLRCD is a special district that has been in existence since the 1950's. We operate in the coastal portion of San Luis Obispo County from Morro Bay south to the Santa Barbara County line and inland to Los Padres National Forest. Our Board members are appointed by the County Board of Supervisors .. We work with individuals, private groups, and government agencies to undertake various conservation and management activities on lands of these parties for the purposes of habitat, vegetation, land, and water conservation .. Our expertise has grown considerably over the years. For example, we can and do:
*own and manage land;
*hold conservation easements restricting land to certain activities, such as agriculture and wildlife habitat, and monitor those easements;
*undertake enhancement and restoration projects of various scales using both in-house and contracted engineering expertise and labor;
*provide services such as analysis of water supply and delivery for agricultural systems, water quality control, and balancing resource use with the needs of wildlife;
*provide support to other parties charged with environmental stewardship who may be unable to do so themselves;
*administer public access and agricultural leases on lands we control; and
*utilize both public and private grants and contracts to undertake such projects.
We compliment the Panel for its dedicated work, and keeping the public informed of that work We look forward to collaborating with PG&E and other partners in the preservation and management of the Diablo
Canyon lands.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Jane Swanson

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
SLO Mothers for Peace

Comment
A. Decommissioning Process
a. San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace (SLOMFP) strongly supports the recommendations of the Decommissioning Panel to begin decommissioning immediately upon shutdown of the plant.
b. SLOMFP concurs with the goal that the health and safety of the community is paramount during this process. It agrees with the recommendations to:
i. the CPUC that the spent fuel stored on-site be monitored at all times using real-time radiation monitoring
ii. the CPUC that the implementation of technologically advanced storage methods be implemented as identified and found feasible
iii. PG&E that both ship and truck transport of dismantled facilities be investigated and the data communicated to the DCDEP and CPUC
iv. PG&E that the transport of demolished facilities through surrounding communities be avoided during times of peak traffic
c. SLOMFP supports the continuation of the DCDEP – or like entity – throughout the decommissioning process.
d. SLOMFP opposes the alternative vision for the panel.
i. It appears that the current panel has been working well, and that the panelists are putting in a tremendous amount of time. They must educate themselves and collaborate with others.
ii. SLOMFP believes that assigning elected officials to this task would be less effective because these working people are too busy with their paid jobs! If there are problems with certain individuals, perhaps there can be a process of replacement. There is also the possibility of a combination of panelists – from public office as well as private citizens.
B. Decommissioning Funding
a. SLOMFP strongly supports the DCDEP statement: “Although funding the costs for decommissioning should be guided by the principle of avoiding imposition of undue burdens on ratepayers, the safety of the community, both now and in the future, should never be discounted.” Again, SLOMFP highlights SAFETY FIRST.
b. The DCDEP also states that the existing trust fund should be protected and preserved. SLOMFP understands that PG&E intends to use decommissioning funds for the bonus pay to retain employees. Although important, SLOMFP strongly agrees that the money put aside for

decommissioning should be shielded.
C. Diablo Canyon Lands
a. SLOMFP strongly supports the vision statements in this document. It would be wonderful if those beautiful lands could be preserved in perpetuity for the enjoyment and recreation of all. SLOMFP would like them to be managed to ensure sustainability. These lands must NOT be exploited for private gain.
b. SLOMFP agrees with the proposal to seek the lifting of the CPUC order prohibiting PG&E from taking action regarding the lands. This would be for the purpose of enabling conservation discussions to proceed before decommissioning.
D. Facility Repurposing
a. SLOMFP strongly supports the recommendations of the DCDEP; highlighting that the repurposing of facilities should be consistent with SAFETY AND SECURITY of the site. SLOMFP is particularly concerned with radiological contamination from operations and from fuel storage.
b. SLOMFP is particularly encouraging of the idea of repurposing the facilities for renewable energy production.
Other Comments
a. SLOMFP urges that Diablo Canyon be shut down before 2024-2025. The electricity generated is not needed, and safety may be compromised as we get closer to the end of current licenses due to employee loss and the cost incentives to delay needed maintenance and repair.
c. SLOMFP strongly opposes the transport of radioactive waste by truck, train or barge until and unless a permanent repository is designated by the Department of Energy. It would be unconscionable to subject communities along our roads, railroads and coastlines to the risks of high level radioactive wastes more than once. This is what would happen if “interim storage” sites are used before a suitable permanent repository is prepared.
b. SLOMFP encourages the continuation of emergency planning and services until all the fuel is out of the pools and removed from the property.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Tony Skinner

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Tri Counties Building and Construction Trades Council

Comment
I support the Decommissioning Panel's recommendation that a Project Labor Agreement be utilized for all decommissioning operations. The work involved on this project represents one of the largest undertakings in the history of San Luis Obispo County.A PLA is the only way to ensure that local workers aren't left out of the process. A PLA will also ensure that the work will be completed in a timely manner without labor unrest or disruptions. The workforce that not only built the facility but maintained it over the years would be the most qualified to decommission the plant

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Mila Vujovich-LaBarre

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
Thank you for your dedication and hard work. It is my understanding you are reaching the final time for input from the community.

At the one public meeting I was able to attend, I spoke about my desire to keep a majority of the land in open space, use part of it for tiny homes for veterans, use a portion for three separate facilities to help teens and adults with issues surrounding mental health, drug addiction and eating disorders, use a portion for public recreation, provide for emergency services and allow for some limited commerce. These ideas are detailed here for your consideration.

It would be spectacular for a majority of this land to be preserved. Part of this open space could easily be groomed to have a fitness trail on it.

This trail would ideally be accessible for the handicapped. It would also be great to have a park somewhere there, similar to the County recreation areas for people who have handicapped children or who are in charge of adults that are handicapped.

As I mentioned at the previous public meeting, I also envision a very small portion of this land to be open to a tiny home development. I would like to see all of the tiny homes to be restricted to veterans. These veterans could provide some maintenance for the trails or the area or serve as docents for the area for a reduced rent.

I also talked about the importance of using this land for three separate facilities that our community desperately needs. They are 1) A facility for mental health treatment - Available to adult and teens. 2) A facility for alcohol and other drug addiction - Available to adults and teens 3) A facility for eating disorders - Available to adults and teens.

As a public school teacher for over 35 years and as an active community member, I have been in touch with people who have had to take loved ones out of the area for treatment for depression, suicidal ideation, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, drug addiction, anorexia, and bulimia. I have witnessed the trials and tribulations of families that have had to deal with teens and family members who have been in need of treatment. People have had to seek help at facilities in Ventura, Los Angeles, Stanford, San Diego, Santa Barbara, and Fresno. The distance to transport the patient or client is traumatizing and

costly for the patient and the family members. Also, the distance does not promote family unity or ongoing support for the person receiving treatment.

Traveling out of town causes a significant stress on the integrity of the family structure.

A local facility for people with each of these respective challenges would allow patients to get treatment and ease back into their normal life. Patients would also be able to receive outpatient treatment after their discharge from some of the same treatment providers that helped them in crisis.

These local treatment facilities would allow local therapists and doctors to treat patients and communicate with the families and/or loved ones on an ongoing basis.

Each of these facilities on the Diablo Canyon site would provide for treatment in a place that is discreet and quiet.

These treatment facilities would provide business for local doctors, therapists, food service personnel, the construction trades, housekeeping, and teachers. These facilities would provide an increase in business to local establishments in the Avila Beach area.

The adjacent open space and recreation trails would be perfect for the clientele being treated with supervision.

I sincerely believe that these treatment facilities would provide a positive cash flow given both insurance payments and private payments for people with these aforementioned challenges.

There should also be an emergency response station in the vicinity for emergency treatment by the fire department and sheriff.

If possible, there should be a launching site and parking lot for people who like to kayak or stand up paddleboard.

If the area of the Diablo Power Plant is available for any small businesses it would be great to have at least one reasonable restaurant, a coffee house, and a yogurt shop.

Ideally, the restaurant would be decent enough in quality so that if there is an area in the open space that is suitable for events whether it be a wedding or celebration of life, that food and drink could be dispensed from there. The restaurant could also be a destination point for anyone hiking or biking on the trails.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Andrea Lueker

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Port San Luis Harbor District

Comment
I have reviewed the Visions, Goals and Recommendations that are contained in the Public Review Draft of the Strategic Vision by the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel. According to the document, the recommendations reflect the public input received by the Engagement Panel since May 2018. After reviewing, I am pleased to provide the following comments.
Port San Luis Harbor District is the largest Special District (governmental entity) in San Luis Obispo County, encompassing much of the City of San Luis Obispo, extending south to the county line and eastward. Over 80,000 registered voters reside within the Port San Luis Harbor District boundary. The Harbor District is one of the closest neighbors to the plant property and in many cases share property lines. As soon as the Harbor District became aware of the decommissioning, our elected, five- member Board of Commissioners began discussing potential opportunities the decommissioning project could create for not only the Harbor District, but local residents and visitors as well.
The Harbor District Board of Commissioners have held three separate public meetings with the topic of "What Diablo/PGE assets may be of interest to the District as they relate to Harbor District Operations". Our Board of Commissioners have all visited the Diablo site as well as many of our staff in order to be well versed in our discussions about assets. The public meetings held by the Board of Commissioners included various discussions on a diverse set of interests including land assets, repurposing of facilities and equipment.
Harbor District Staff and/or Harbor District Commissioners have attended several of the Engagement Panel meetings, made public comment as well as provided a comprehensive presentation at the September
15th Public Workshop to Engagement Panel Members. As the District has stated several times, a broadbrush
approach was chosen regarding the discussion of what assets the District would be interested in.
During the creation of the list of assets that were of interest to the Harbor District, it was important that all
items have a direct connection to District operations. The list is comprehensive and somewhat allencompassing
and like many others, the Harbor District is looking at this as a "once in a lifetime"
opportunity
In looking at the Draft Strategic Vision, noted inclusions from the Harbor District list of assets included the area north of the Harbor Terrace Development - noted in the Diablo Canyon Lands/Goals section to relocate uses that are not coastal dependent that are currently located on the Harbor Terrace Site,

including, Fishermen's Gear Storage, Harbor Yard and Trailer Boat Storage. With this relocation, visitor service opportunities would be expanded at the HT site including low-income accommodations expanded.

Also noted was the potential for a deed restriction for the 1,200 acres near Point San Luis, but the language in that Diablo Canyon Lands/Recommendation section is somewhat vague and may related to the ask the District had regarding the Point San Luis Lighthouse. Point San Luis Lighthouse is owned by the Harbor District and operated by a nonprofit organization. Travel to the lighthouse is on a trail and road, and its use is provided by easement. Full control of the trail and road would enable District to increase coastal access and expand the use of the Lighthouse, providing more visitor serving opportunities.

Also noted in the Repurposing of Diablo Canyon Facilities/Goals section was the marina/harbor should be available as a safe harbor, but that did not carry through to the Recommendations section.

In terms of the remainder of the document, it appears that large majority of the areas the Harbor District expressed interest in, were not included in either the vision statements, goals or recommendations. To reiterate the interest the Harbor District has, provided is the following list of assets and their relationship to District Operations.

1. Harbor/Marina (including extra tri bars and tri bar forms for breakwater) - this is a very interesting site to the Harbor District. Potential uses include but are not limited to slips, transient docks, co-op with university/research, day use sailing destination, United States0 Coast Guard satellite facility (their nearest location is Morro Bay with an AOR or area of responsibility from Cape San Martin (land wise close to Lucia in Big Sur) to Point Conception (land wise between Lompoc and Santa Barbara) and mariculture. This site also provides an excellent opportunity for compatible uses with other organizations. The position of the marina and breakwater result in significant protection from wave action for mariners.

2. Intake Area near Marina (on land) - hauling out and launching of vessels is necessary for several reasons including repair, maintenance, emergency response and environmental protection. Port San Luis currently operates the only hoist that can remove a vessel up to 60 tons in the area between Moss Landing and Ventura. In addition, the Boatyard, where vessel owners can do their work or contract the work out, is one of very few along the coast. Additional facilities or relocation and expansion of these necessary facilities may be a good use in this area. Also, dry storage for vessel owners is extremely limited and may be a good compatible use here as well. These uses serve both the commercial and recreational boaters. In Port San Luis alone, commercial fishing continues to rebound with almost 3 million dollars of fish brought in over the dock in 2016.

3. Wild Cherry Canyon (inland) - this property boarders the Harbor Terrace site. As previously stated, Harbor Terrace is soon to be developed as a RV and tent camping site. The District is interested in what happens to this property which is adjacent to District property and what uses could enhance the Harbor Terrace site.

4. Wild Cherry Canyon (flat area near Avila Beach Drive) - this area is currently used for the Lighthouse tours and parking of trolleys, which is extremely important. It is also located directly across from Olde Port beach which is one of the only dog friendly beaches in the County and very heavily used. Additional public parking, day-use storage for hand launch vessels such as hobies, sail boat and kayaks are of interest in this area.

5. Front Entrance Gate and nearby property - in conjunction with ownership of the trail to the Lighthouse and Lighthouse road, this area is important for public use areas and/or potential relocation

of the harbor uses from the Harbor Terrace Project, which would allow for an enhancement of the camping experience.

6. Plant Site Buildings - at this time, the District does not have a list of specific plant site buildings they may be interested in. Uses that have a nexus to District Operations would be dry boat storage, cooperative use with an educational institution and/or uses associated with the marina. The District can "fine tune" this area to specific facilities in the future.

7. Flat Properties farther past Front Gate (including flex-equipment storage area) - approximately 2.7 miles from the entrance gate, the roadside property flattens out. Some of these areas could provide another alternative for removal of the harbor uses from the visitor serving Harbor Terrace site and relocate them. While these harbor uses are certainly coastal related, they do not necessarily need to be directly on or adjacent to the water.

8. Equipment (trucks, generators, etc.) - the Harbor District performs a significant amount of both heavy and light construction such as pile driving, pier reconstruction and dredging as well as maintains sewer lift stations, water and fire lines using a myriad of cranes, trucks, generators, lifts and other similar equipment which leads to the interest in similar equipment that may be available.

9. PG&E Education Center - this site was added by the Harbor Commission at the last of the three-public meeting regarding what assets the District had interest in. While there is interest in the facility for potential cooperative uses, the land and associated acre feet of water are also of interest to the District.

In conclusion, the Mission of the Harbor District is to serve the public with an array of commercial and recreational boating, fishing and coastal related opportunities while ensuring an environmentally responsible, safe and well managed and financially sustainable harbor that preserves our marine heritage and character. As shown in this correspondence, the District's interests, at this time are vast. As we continue to march through the decommissioning process, the District asks to be re-included in the discussion of the disposition of assets, partnerships, visitor serving opportunities, increasing coastal access to all and conservation.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Michael Manchak

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
SLO EVC

Comment
On behalf of the Economic Vitality Corporation ("EVC") Board of Directors, a regional economic development organization, we wish to provide input on the above project as it pertains to the wellbeing of our local communities and economy.
We know that the decommissioning of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant will large economic impact on the region, and we want to provide input and what impacts that projects like this one could have on the existing challenges facing our community, businesses and economy. The potential opportunities from the decommissioning and repurposing of the plant are potentially tremendous and long-term.
As evidenced by the EVC's advocacy on public policy, and relative to the countywide economy strategy that the EVC manages, we cannot stress enough that the decommissioning consider every aspect of the health and diversity of our current and future economy and how they impact systemic challenges and opportunities of our region. We urge that the decommissioning and repurposing of all Diablo Canyon assets look to the production of renewable energy, particularly with Governor Brown's signing SB-100 into law to create a renewable portfolio standard requirement of 100% by 2045.
What's more, California Wind Connection Transmission Project (or "CEDC Project") is an example of renewable energy project that should be given serious consideration along with evaluating any positive economic benefits that could ensue for the repurposing of PG&E's Diablo Canyon Transmission infrastructure.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Geri LaChance

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
On behalf of the San Luis Obispo Chamber of Commerce, I am writing to thank you for your commitment to our
community's future shared in your draft report and to convey core strategies embedded in the Chamber's 2019
economic vision: Imagine San Luis Obispo.
You are in a powerful and unique position to influence the future of the Central Coast and it is with a mind to that
promising future that we bring forward support for reuse activities that foster innovation, that consider opportunities
for our entire region and that defends our pristine coast, and its bio-diversity.
Certainly, the financial impacts of the plant's closure loom large and even now are being thoroughly analyzed. Our
collective ability to leverage opportunities and position the region for a new economy will require creativity,
collaboration and innovation.
At the heart of Imagine San Luis Obispo is the philosophy of 'We Before Me.' In considering the strengths and
opportunities shining in the unique communities of the Central Coast we know that we can help each other tackle
shared challenges and harness opportunities to preserve and enhance our collective quality of life.
To that end our SLO Chamber policy priorities are to:
• Advocate for coordinated regional planning between the county and cities to address challenges such as
housing, infrastructure, transportation, water, energy and disaster preparedness.
• Advocate for the best long-term economic and community use of the facilities and surrounding lands of Diablo
Canyon Power Plant upon plant closure and decommissioning.
We know that entrepreneurship is at the heart of San Luis Obispo's economy. Our historic agricultural roots and
pioneering spirit along with our natural and economic assets make the region a launchpad for innovators and
entrepreneurs of all ages. To that end our Chamber policies prioritize the following:
• Promote SLO County as a haven for innovation through both intrapreneurship and entrepreneurship.

• Leverage unique regional assets to foster future-oriented industries such as cyber security, renewable energy,
data science, defense and agritech innovation.
• Support Cal Poly's innovation efforts to help create new businesses.
Locals call it "The SLO Life." It's the unique combination of the region's natural beauty, spectacular weather, agricultural
heritage, abundant open space, great schools, low crime rates, quality arts and culture, and a highly-engaged citizenry.
These factors are part of our tremendous economic advantage and deserve constant care and attention. To that end,
our vision details the following:Advocate for protection of and access to San Luis Obispo's signature landscapes, community open space, and
public parks; the maintenance and enhancement of public facilities; and investment in our urban forest, public
streets, plazas and trails.
• Support efforts to create a network of multi-modal trails throughout the region to connect people with the natural
and historic assets, wine country, and local communities.
• Oppose development or activities that significantly harm the local or regional environment.
• Support efforts and legislation to keep agriculture, an important driver of our regional economy and an integral
part of the fabric of our community, thriving.
• Support policies and programs that ensure San Luis Obispo remains a safe community.
Caring for our land and natural resources is embedded in our community culture. Now more than ever, our collective
future depends on furthering sustainable solutions that support healthy economic growth and ensure community
resiliency. Our opportunity lies in careful stewardship of our resources and embracing new technologies so that we
sustain our quality of life for generations to come. Our SLO Chamber our adopted policies call on us to:
• Protect the area's air and water quality, scenic beauty and character, recognizing that they are intrinsic to the
region's appeal to residents and tourists alike.
• Encourage the research and development of small and large-scale renewable energy opportunities that would
position our region as a leader in renewable energy innovation.
• Advocate for the decommissioning of Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant upon closure and a federal long-term
solution for the storage of spent fuel.
• Promote the development of alternative fueling, electric charging stations and battery storage technologies.
Your engagement panel has a weighty task in making your final recommendations to PG&E for future land and facility

use. As leaders in the community that have been tapped to envision the future for this unique asset in our region, I
encourage you to explore all of the possibilities in the realm of renewable, sustainable energy solutions, to be advocates
for innovation, champions for environmental stewardship and to apply a regional view to the very important task at
hand.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
John B. Ashbaugh

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment

1. Please note the mis-spelled term "Decommissioning" on the 2nd line of the first page.
2. Among the four recommendations stated in a bulleted list on page 1, the third bulleted item should be modified to read: "The 12,000 acres that surround the DCPP should be conserved to acknowledge its significant resource values including scenic, recreational, biological, archaeological, and historic resources, while allowing for managed public access
3. Regarding the issue presented on pages 4 and 5 as to the future of the DCDEP, and whether there is to be a continuing and ongoing role for some type of engagement panel: I would generally support the recommended "Alternative Vision" offered by Panel Member Carlin to provide a "more robust and sustainable decommissioning advisory panel (DAP), but I would recommend a slight variation to Mr. Carlin's alternative: I suggest that the DCDEP continue through the cessation of operations of the power plant (as recommended in the "Vision Statement" but that beyond that point, an ongoing community advisory panel be established to replace the Independent Safety Committee (DCISC). That panel has monitored the safe operation of Diablo Canyon since it was established by the PUC in 1997, and its credibility has been vital in seeing that its recommendations were implemented without controversy. That panel will no longer be needed after 2025, however; instead, its functions can be and should be transferred to a Decommissioning Advisory Panel with personnel and meeting schedules similar to that of the DCISC. It would be useful to include on this panel expertise in nuclear engineering and in particular, the safe disposal of nuclear waste. It would also be important, however, to have publicly-elected representation on this panel. Toward that end, I suggest that the panel include the elected County Supervisor for the district in which the power plant lies, or his or her alternate/designee.
4. At the bottom of page 6, the final bulleted paragraph includes a superfluous "The" at its beginning, which implies that we KNOW what is "adequate funding" of the Decommissioning Trust Fund. Unless we do, in fact, know what an adequate amount of funding is, let's not pretend that we do. And while it is a desirable goal to have "the adequate funding," merely articulating this goal will not make it any more feasible.
5. Toward that end, at the top of page 7 there are three Recommendations that all rely upon the CPUC to take various steps to assure that adequate funding is available. Of these, the second recommendation is perhaps the least feasible: "Recommend that the CPUC cover the reasonable cost of completing all the decommissioning activities, including removal, transportation and disposal in a way that minimizes cost, risk and disruption to local communities." The CPUC is NOT expected to "cover" these costs! This recommendation must be modified to reflect reality: The CPUC must assure that Trust Funds are made available, either through ratepayers, stockholders, or other sources, to accomplish these ends.

6. The most important of my comments concern Sections C and D, the Diablo Canyon Lands and the Repurposing of Diablo Canyon Facilities. Toward that end, I strongly support the six bulleted points under the sub-heading "Vision Statements". The fifth bullet point notes that "conservation activities" should be coordinated with various owners of nearby open space lands; however, the Nature Conservancy is conspicuously absent from this list. It's my understanding that they still maintain title to some of the lands they acquired in the Irish Hills in the 1960s and 70s; however it is possible their interests have been transferred to other entities. If they are still among the owners of any of these lands, they should be included in this list. (I find it interesting that the City of San Luis Obispo is among those listed; I'm not aware of any properties in this area that are owned by the City).

7. On page 8 under "Goals" "Land Stewardship", second bullet point, reference is made to a "CPUC order" that is to be "lifted." Please provide explanatory text here to alleviate confusion by those of us who are not aware of what order is being referenced here. (An explanation is provided at the top of page 10, and that explanation can merely be moved earlier).

8. At the bottom of page 8, under "Land Transfer and Use," reference is made to a "conservation entity or entities." Yet on Page 9, the second bullet point cites "State or National Parks, …". These two goal statements need to be better coordinated. It is likely that protection of the Diablo Canyon Lands will be accomplished in the short term with interim management by a non-profit conservation entity; however the goal should be longer-term ownership and management by the Federal or State government, with Native American sites protected and even (ultimately) transferred to a qualified and Federally-recognized tribal entity that can reasonably claim that a site or sites were part of their tribal heritage.

9. On the sixth bullet point on Page 9, reference is made to the "Irish Hills… trail system". I'm not aware of any Irish Hills trail system at this time, except for the City's trails well to the northeast. If that is the intent, please so state.

10. Toward the bottom of this list of bullet points on page 9, reference is made to the lease by HomeFed to the San Miguelito Mutual Water Company for wastewater facilities. This entire operation should be reviewed by consultants for the Regional Water Quality Control Board to determine optimal wastewater disposal operations for the tributary area to Avila Bay. Mere "screening, location and technology" issues are insufficient for the scope of this study. A larger engineering alternatives analysis should be conducted to determine solutions that could lead to a superior solution involving the community of Avila Beach as well as San Luis Bay Estates.

11. At the bottom of this list on page 9 is reference to a 1,200-acre area near Point San Luis that is to be "deed restricted by PG&E consistent with conditions established by the California Coastal Commission". Please explain this reference; why has such a deed restriction not already been recorded?

12. On page 10, reference is made to coordination with a variety of nearby landowners, including "Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County, State Parks, Bureau of Land Management, (and) the Andre Ranch owners…" See my comment #6 above relative to The Nature Conservancy and (which may belong on this list) and the City of San Luis Obispo (which may not belong).

13. With respect to the Vision Statements, Goals, and Recommendations for Repurposing of the Diablo Canyon Facilities on pp. 11-15, I support the Specific Uses Goal that is stated on the top of p. 13, "a public-private collaborative research and development facility (National Laboratory) with emphasis on marine sciences, renewable energy development technologies, energy storage, optimum storage for irradiated waste, desalinization and other technology innovation". I would suggest, however, that this list is rather restrictive and should be modified to be more generalized. I would point to the existence of

Jackson Laboratories, for example, adjacent to Acadia National Park in Maine, which focuses on bioengineering. There will be a substantial amount of office space and related facilities that might be perfectly suitable to a variety of research and development purposes that we are entirely ignorant about, at this time. Let's approach these possible R&D uses with some humility, shall we?

14. Finally, and perhaps most importantly, there is no reference in these final four pages to the status or operation of the access road leading to or from Parcel P to and through the NORTH RANCH. This road is now being upgraded for emergency access purposes. Consideration should be given to the use of that road for public access purposes from Montana de Oro, but only as a ONE-WAY access for scenic, recreational, and guided tour access from north to south, with stops along the way, but exiting to Avila Beach Road ONLY. In case of emergencies, then, this road could also be used to EVACUATE Avila Valley; however I would recommend that it never be developed for routine two-way travel.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Margaret Gordon

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
Dear Panel, I am not in favor of closing this plant, although I live in Avila. Its going to be a great loss to our community. My great wish would be to enlarge the Desalination plant to produce fresh water that could be sold. I understand that there is a Desalination plant on site that will be retained for "the new tenant" I'm just wondering what lucky new tenant gets the fresh water rights? How much can they sell the water for?
Water is our greatest struggle now in the eighth year of the drought. There is 400 year historical data, based on tree ring identification, that shows the American West has a pattern of 30 year drought cycles. I believe we are close to just the first decade of a 30 year drought. This is important information, because a desalination plant is a time and money consuming investment. The linear logic has always been; by the time we get the desalination plant running, the drought will be over. This is the same issue Santa Barbara struggled with and they decided to go ahead and build their Desalination plant there. However, now, there is no denying the Earth is in a planetary climate change, and that overlay should diminish the short sighted view that we will pull out of the drought by the time the plant is built.
We also have a golden opportunity with the Morro Bay plant. It should be converted to a Desalination plant as well. One or the other of them needs to provide fresh water for the state.
Water is and will continue to be a diminishing asset. We must create a new water and energy sources for our survival.

Thank you for listening.

Thank you for running an amazing facility for all these years. You all did it right.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Milton Carrigan

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
After reviewing "A Strategic Vision" (November 2018) by the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel (DCDEP), I've complied the following list of recommendations and goals that, in my view, warrant the highest attention.
Members of the DCDEP are to be lauded for their efforts in producing such a thoughtful, comprehensive document.
Milt Carrigan, 2250 King Ct. Unit 7, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401
A: Decommissioning Process
Recommendations - Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel
• Recommend that the CPUC formally expand the charter of the Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee (DCISC) to include any technical support that may be requested of them by the DCDEP.
• Recommend that the CPUC consider extending the existence of the DCISC beyond conclusion of power generation at the DCPP so that their independent technical and safety expertise would continue to be available to the DCDEP and to the communities in San Luis Obispo County into the decades of decommissioning when having independent safety and technical resources would continue to be valuable
• Recommend that the CPUC consider extending the existence of the DCISC beyond conclusion of power generation at the DCPP so that their independent technical and safety expertise would continue to be available to the DCDEP and to the communities in San Luis Obispo County into the decades of decommissioning when having independent safety and technical resources would continue to be valuable
B: Decommissioning Funding
• It is the intent of the DCDEP to ensure the safety of the community is not compromised, while minimizing impacts to ratepayers.
• The existing Diablo Canyon trust fund should be protected and preserved to assure that it remains stable and sufficient in order to adequately finance decommissioning
• The sale of assets acquired through ratepayer assessments could be used to offset decommissioning costs
C: Diablo Canyon Lands
Vision Statements
Goals
Land Stewardship

• The 12,000 acres of Diablo Canyon Lands surrounding the DCPP are a precious treasure and a spectacular natural resource that should be preserved, in perpetuity, for the public and for future generations
• The preservation of sacred Native American sites should be assured
• The request for land ownership by the local Native American community should be recognized, while at the same time considering the overwhelming public testimony that the Diablo Canyon Lands be conserved and available to the public for managed use
• The existing biological, geological and archeological data should be made available by PG&E to conservation entities who may be future stewards of the Diablo Canyon Lands
• The preparation of a plan for the ongoing management, preservation and public access of Diablo Canyon Lands should be developed through a collaborative process with appropriate governmental and non-governmental organizations to assure all available funding is pursued and ongoing stewardship is maintained
• The preparation of the management/public access plan should include public input and take into consideration the effects of use of the Diablo Canyon Lands on local traffic and safety
Land Transfer and Use
• The long-term protection of ecological, scenic, and cultural resources and the well-being of local communities should be a primary consideration in determining the appropriate level of public access to the Diablo Canyon Lands
• The coastal section of the Diablo Canyon Lands should be protected to a higher degree, as needed to ensure the conservation of the more fragile marine, tidal, and coastal environment
Cultural Heritage
• The importance and legacy of the Native American community to the Diablo Canyon Lands, including methods to provide acquisition or access to those lands should be explored
• The preservation of cultural and archeological sites and artifacts, including burial grounds should be ensured
• The transfer, by easement or fee title, of a portion of the Diablo Canyon Lands for exclusive use by the Native American community should be considered, with protection by conservation easement or other such means that would allow limited development consistent with local zoning and the preservation of environmental and cultural resources in perpetuity

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/10/2018

Name (Optional)
Bruce Campbell

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment

It is quite disturbing that the 15-page document called Strategic Vision by the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel tries to distract people's attention from the reckless incompetence shown by the California investor-owned utilities in their choosing of extremely thin canisters to supposedly contain their radioactive waste (just a half inch thick at Diablo Canyon), by the safety violations in loading many of the thin Holtec Company canisters particularly at Diablo Canyon, and from the admission by Holtec executive Dr. Singh that their radioactive waste canisters cannot be monitored, repackaged, or transported.

This is shown by holding over a half year of panel meetings and yet still not addressing the elephant in the room so to speak -- assessing containment alternatives for spent nuclear fuel. That crucial matter has been postponed until March 2019

Part of this distraction is evident by the 15-page document which appears to regard how to contend with spent nuclear fuel as merely an afterthought we can get to next year, rather than the crucial essence of whether there will be public safety for celled organisms and whether various decommissioning and decontamination procedures at the Diablo Canyon site are successful.

What is the rush to decommission and decontaminate on the first possible day? Unless workers have real good radiation suits, what is the harm in at least letting many of the shorter-lived radionuclides decay some more before seriously embarking on decommissioning and decontamination? While I do not inherently object to "efficiency, saving ratepayers money" or beginning decom and decon immediately upon reactor shutdown, but there is too much of an emphasis on keeping the cost low which will likely come at the expense of safety.

If indeed there are serious assurances to limit public expenditures for Diablo decom and decon, then I CALL FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS OF INVESTOR-OWNED UTILITIES SUCH AS PG&E TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS RELATED TO DECOMMISSIONING AND DECONTAMINATION OF THE DIABLO CANYON SITE IF THERE IS A SERIOUS ACCIDENT OR ACCIDENTS INVOLVING SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL ROD ASSEMBLIES. I saw a wind-rose map which showed that air from the Diablo Canyon can reach all the way from beyond the Mexican border up to Redding. If the site was still more or less occupyable, it would need to have a much larger decommissioning and decontamination effort than undertaken in the get to it right away and save taxpayer funds mantra. If you want to save taxpayer funds, then no taxpayer or ratepayer

funds for decommissioning and decontamination beyond the initial few decades of efforts! Once is enough!

While I sure don't have faith in the Diablo (delayed) shutdown deal, but at least I hope it helps guard against some of the worse aspects of San Onofre shutdown which was in SCE laying off considerable number of safety personnel not long after closure of San Onofre's reactors.

I just read the 15-page Strategic Vision by the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement Panel, and I am struck that the cart is coming before the horse. Unless one contains the real hot radwaste in a responsible manner at the site likely into the 2060s, it is a joke to think that one can fairly successfully decommission or decontaminate the facility in the meantime. And even if one does do a fine job of decommissioning and decontaminating, the radiation can increase by millions of times within seconds or minutes due to mishaps with the Holtec thin canisters which they admit can crack through the canister 16 years after a crack is discovered (and some have been discovered in canisters loaded within the two years before their examination).

PG&E is being reckless with what container was chosen, with how the containers were loaded with spent fuel rod assemblies, or one is pulling a bait and switch by the over-emphasis on efficiency, saving ratepayers money, and on beginning decommissioning and decontamination procedures immediately upon shutdown of the Diablo Canyon reactors. While I do not inherently object to "efficiency, saving ratepayers money" or beginning decom and decon immediately upon reactor shutdown, but there is too much of an emphasis on keeping the cost low at the expense of safety.

During a fairly near future phase for your panel, as part of seeking public input in regards to spent nuclear fuel, please discuss the advantages, disadvantages, and challenges in regards to the containment of "high burn-up" radioactive waste.

Your panel must recognize that to have any sort of semblance of public safety around the Diablo Canyon facility, regulations must be reputably changed to allow for as safe as possible recontainment of Holtec canisters so that the transportable cask can be transported someday. Yet it is too hot to place a canister of high-burn-up waste into a cask, so will they ever be transported from the Diablo and San Onofre sites?

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/11/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/11/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I support the shut down of all commercial nuclear reactors in California.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/11/2018

Name (Optional)
Warren Sinsheimer

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
Simply put, there needs to be more thought given to public transit into the plant and its surrounding area. Secondly, I for one believe that both the existing MDO state park and any addition to it that may come from decommissioning should be revisioned to allow for more access for everyone, including people with disabilities. In addition, and I believe this can be done while protecting natural conditions and values, there should be more amenities. I have in mind places to camp, rest rooms, and even modest concessions such as a snack bar/dining hall.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/11/2018

Name (Optional)
Jeff Thomas

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 403

Comment
I am the Business Manager for San Luis Obispo county Plumbers and Steam Fitters Local# 403 in San Luis Obispo. I represent more than 350 skilled Pipe fitters, Welders, HVACR and Service Techs in the piping industry many of whom work full time or part time at Diab lo Canyon. I am writing in support of the panel's recent draft vision statement. Specifically, I strongly support the panels recommendations for putting safety first and for the use of a Project Labor Agreement for all decommissioning work. The skilled craft workers at Diablo Canyon are among the best in the industry. Maintaining this level of skill and knowledge into decommissioning is paramount to maintaining the highest level of safety and quality at Diablo. Without an agreement, out of area Contractors can come into the area and bring with them low paid, low skilled workers who undercut our local workforce, hurt our local economy and increase the odds of unsafe conditions for the workers, the plant and the public. Thank you for supporting the local workforce.

Comment Submitted via Email

Date
12/11/2018

Name (Optional)
Larry Murray

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

Comment
I just wanted to give my support to the panel for the recommendation that the use of a project labor agreement be used during the decommissioning of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Plant.A "PLA" will help to insure that the work will be completed in a timely manner, and on schedule.It will also help to assure that local workers are utilized in all aspects of the demolition.Please use a Project Labor Agreement!

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/12/2018

Name (Optional)
Rebecca Wright

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
The PG&E lands, Wild Cherry Canyon should be preserved and protected from residential and commercial development.

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/12/2018

Name (Optional)
Harold Marcuse

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)
University of California

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/13/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/13/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Neutral

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/14/2018

Name (Optional)
Rachael Barnett

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments
I would like to see the DAP be even more representative of community interests.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
I most strongly support the request for land ownership by the local Native American community. Theirs is the most legitimate claim and should be honored as such.

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/17/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions
PG&E must be held to the highest standards and not be allowed delays so they can subvert their responsibilities, attempt to sabatoge the agreement or declare bankruptcy to avert responsibility recent fires and the San Bruno explosions demonstrates their propensity to cheat the public about safety and they need to be watched and supervised and the PUC needs to be the partner of the public not the company

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/19/2018

Name (Optional)

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Neutral

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments
Priority should be given to grant public access to trails and roads to access the adjacent Point San Luis light house property

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/26/2018

Name (Optional)
Troy

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Support

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Support

Comments

General Comments or Questions

Comment Submitted via Online Form

Date
12/28/2018

Name (Optional)
Katt Winter

Organization or Affiliation (Optional)

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft Alternative Vision for the DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING?
Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for LANDS?
Strongly Oppose

Comments

To what extent do you support or oppose the draft vision statement, goals and activities for FACILITY REPURPOSING?
Strongly Oppose

Comments
PG&E needs to keep out.

General Comments or Questions